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## 1. Introduction

Let $X$ be a projective scheme over an algebraically closed field. Given a vector bundle $\mathscr{E}$ on $X$, we can consider various notions of positivity for $\mathscr{E}$, such as ample, nef, and big. As a particular example, consider a smooth projective variety $X$ and its cotangent bundle $\Omega_{X}$. When $\Omega_{X}$ is ample, $X$ has some very nice properties. For example, all subvarieties of $X$ are of general type and $X$ is algebraically hyperbolic; so, in particular, $X$ does not contain rational or elliptic curves and there do not exist nonconstant maps $f: A \rightarrow X$ where $A$ is an abelian variety and $X$ is Kobayashi hyperbolic [3]. Requiring that the cotangent bundle be ample is certainly a very strong property, and for a long time there were few examples of such varieties even though they were expected to be reasonably abundant. One such example was constructed by Michael Schneider.

Theorem 1.1 [17]. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a smooth projective nonisotrivial morphism, where $X$ and $Y$ are smooth projective varieties over $\mathbb{C}$ of dimensions 2 and 1, respectively. Suppose that, for all $y \in Y$, the Kodaira-Spencer map $\rho_{f, y}: T_{Y, y} \rightarrow$ $H^{1}\left(X_{y}, T_{X_{y}}\right)$ is nonzero. Then $\Omega_{X}$ is ample.

Note that certain Kodaira surfaces satisfy the stipulated conditions. In this paper, we generalize Theorem 1.1 to varieties of higher dimensions. To do so, we will introduce a slightly weaker notion of ampleness, which we call "quasi-ample" and "quasi-ample with respect to an open subset $U$ " (see Definitions 1.9 and 1.13). Using this notion, we extend Schneider's result to varieties of higher dimension.

Theorem 1.2. Let

$$
X^{n} \xrightarrow{f_{n}} X^{n-1} \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} X^{n-2} \xrightarrow{f_{n-2}} \cdots \xrightarrow{f_{3}} X^{2} \xrightarrow{f_{2}} X^{1},
$$

where each $X^{i}$ is a smooth projective variety over $\mathbb{C}$ of dimension $i$ and each $f_{i}: X^{i} \rightarrow X^{i-1}$ is a smooth projective morphism with $\operatorname{Var}\left(f_{i}\right)=i-1$. Then $\Omega_{X^{n}}$ is nef and quasi-ample with respect to an open $U_{n}$ (as defined precisely in Theorem 2.11), and $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}\left(\Omega_{X^{n}}\right)}(1)$ is a big line bundle on $\mathbb{P}\left(\Omega_{X^{n}}\right)$.

We also extend this result to towers of varieties

$$
X^{n} \xrightarrow{f_{n}} X^{n-1} \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} X^{n-2} \xrightarrow{f_{n-2}} \cdots \xrightarrow{f_{3}} X^{2} \xrightarrow{f_{2}} X^{1}
$$

where the $f_{i}$ are not necessarily smooth (Theorem 2.16), and show that $\Omega_{X^{n}}^{1}(\log D)$ is quasi-ample with respect to an open set, where $D$ is a suitable divisor taking into account the singularities of the given morphisms.

In Section 3 we construct a tower of varieties satisfying the conditions of Theorem 2.12 by using a construction due to Kodaira that, for any $n$, produces a $g$ for which $\mathscr{M}_{g}$ contains a complete $n$-dimensional subvariety.
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## Definitions and Examples

Recall that a vector bundle $\mathscr{E}$ on a proper scheme $X$ is ample if, for every coherent sheaf $\mathscr{F}$, there is an integer $m_{0}>0$ such that, for every $m \geq m_{0}$, the sheaf $\mathscr{F} \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^{m} \mathscr{E}$ is generated as an $\mathscr{O}_{X}$-module by its global sections. Equivalently, $\mathscr{E}$ is ample if the tautological line bundle $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathscr{E})}(1)$ on $\mathbb{P}(\mathscr{E})$ is ample.

A vector bundle $\mathscr{E}$ on a proper scheme $X$ is nef (or semipositive) if, for every complete nonsingular curve $C$ and map $\gamma: C \rightarrow X$, every quotient bundle $\mathscr{Q}$ of $\gamma^{*} \mathscr{E}$ has degree at least 0 . Equivalently, $\mathscr{E}$ is nef if the tautological line bundle $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathscr{E})}(1)$ on $\mathbb{P}(\mathscr{E})$ is nef.

Vector bundles that are ample or nef have many nice properties. For example, quotients of ample (resp. nef) vector bundles are ample (resp. nef), and extensions of ample (resp. nef) vector bundles are ample (resp. nef). For more properties, see [8] or [15, Sec. 6.1A and 6.1B].

Let $\mathscr{E}$ be a vector bundle of rank $r$ on an irreducible projective variety $X$ of dimension $n$. Following the work of Fulton and Lazarsfeld [5], we can introduce a type of numerical positivity. More precisely, starting with a weighted homogeneous polynomial $P \in \mathbb{Q}\left[c_{1}, \ldots, c_{r}\right]$, we get a Chern number

$$
\int_{X} P(c(\mathscr{E})):=\int_{X} P\left(c_{1}(\mathscr{E}), \ldots, c_{r}(\mathscr{E})\right) .
$$

Definition 1.3. Let $\Lambda(n, r)$ be the set of all partitions of $n$ by nonnegative integers less than or equal to $r$. Then for every $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}\right) \in \Lambda(n, r)$ we can form the Schur polynomial, $s_{\lambda} \in \mathbb{Q}\left[c_{1}, \ldots, c_{r}\right]$, of weighted degree $n$, which is the determinant of the $n \times n$ matrix

$$
\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
c_{\lambda_{1}} & c_{\lambda_{1}+1} & \cdots & c_{\lambda_{1}+n-1} \\
c_{\lambda_{2}-1} & c_{\lambda_{2}} & \cdots & c_{\lambda_{2}+n-2} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
c_{\lambda_{n}-n} & c_{\lambda_{n}-n+1} & \cdots & c_{\lambda_{n}}
\end{array}\right|
$$

where $c_{0}=1$ and $c_{i}=0$ if $i \notin[0, r]$.

In particular, if $P$ is a positive linear combination of Schur polynomials and $\mathscr{E}$ is ample (resp. nef), then $\int_{X} P(c(\mathscr{E}))>0($ resp. $\geq 0)$.

We next generalize the notion of "big" for vector bundles. Recall that a divisor $D$ is big if there exists a $c>0$ such that $h^{0}\left(X, \mathscr{O}_{X}(m D)\right)>c m^{n}$ for $m \gg 1$. Generalizing this notion to vector bundles is not consistent in the literature. As a first definition, we generalize the notion as we did with ample and nef. This is the definition given, for example, in [15] and [2].

Definition 1.4 [15, 6.1.23]. Let $\mathscr{E}$ be a vector bundle on $X$. Then $\mathscr{E}$ is L-big if $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathscr{E})}(1)$ is a big line bundle on $\mathbb{P}(\mathscr{E})$. (Here, L-big is used instead of big to avoid confusion with Definition 1.6.)

Example 1.5. As an example, consider the rank-2 vector bundle

$$
\mathscr{E}=\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}} \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(1)
$$

on $\mathbb{P}^{1}$. Since a direct sum of line bundles is L-big if and only if some $\mathbb{N}$-linear combination of the direct summands is a big line bundle [15, 2.3.2(iv)], we see that $\mathscr{E}$ is L-big. Note that in this example $\mathscr{E}$ is L-big but has a quotient $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}$, which is not big.

It is also useful to see that $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathscr{E})}(1)$ is big in a slightly different way (which we will refer to in Example 1.7). First note that $\mathbb{P}(\mathscr{E}) \simeq \mathbb{P}\left(\mathscr{E}^{\prime}\right)$, where $\mathscr{E}^{\prime}:=$ $\mathscr{E} \otimes \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(-1) \simeq \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}} \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(-1)$. Fix a section $C_{0}$ of $X=\mathbb{P}\left(\mathscr{E}^{\prime}\right)$ with $\mathscr{O}_{X}\left(C_{0}\right) \simeq$ $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}\left(\mathscr{E}^{g}\right)}(1)$, and let $f$ be a fiber. Then $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathscr{E})}(1)$ corresponds to a section $C_{1}$, which is linearly equivalent to $C_{0}+f$. Given $A=a C_{0}+b f$ ample, it follows that $a>0$ and $b>a[10, \mathrm{~V} .2 .18]$; hence $b C_{1}-A=(b-a) C_{0}$ is effective and so $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathscr{E})}(1)$ is big.

We next turn to a different generalization of big to vector bundles that was introduced by Viehweg. To do so, we first need a generalization of nef.

Definition 1.6 [19]. Let $\mathscr{E}$ be vector bundle on a projective variety $X$, and let $\mathscr{H}$ be an ample line bundle.
(i) $\mathscr{E}$ is weakly positive over an open $U$ if, for every $a>0$, there exists a $b>0$ such that $\operatorname{Sym}^{a b}(\mathscr{E}) \otimes \mathscr{H}^{b}$ is globally generated over $U$; that is, $H^{0}\left(X, \operatorname{Sym}^{a b}(\mathscr{E}) \otimes \mathscr{H}^{b}\right) \otimes \mathscr{O}_{X} \rightarrow \operatorname{Sym}^{a b}(\mathscr{E}) \otimes \mathscr{H}^{b}$ is surjective over $U$.
(ii) $\mathscr{E}$ is $V$-big (or ample with respect to $U$ ) if there exist an open dense $U$ in $X$ and a $c>0$ such that $\operatorname{Sym}^{c} \mathscr{E} \otimes \mathscr{H}^{-1}$ is weakly positive over $U$.

Equivalently, a vector bundle $\mathscr{E}$ is ample with respect to $U$ if and only if the tautological bundle $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathscr{E})}(1)$ is ample with respect to $\pi^{-1}(U)$ (where $\pi: \mathbb{P}(\mathscr{E}) \rightarrow X$ ); see [21, 3.4]. At first glance it may seem that these two generalizations of big to vector bundles may be equivalent. However, V-big is strictly stronger than L-big.

Example 1.7. Consider Example 1.5, where we view $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathscr{E})}(1)$ as $C_{1}=C_{0}+f$. Then $C_{1}$ is ample with respect to $V=X-C_{0}$. But $\pi(V)=\mathbb{P}^{1}$, so there is no open $U \subset \mathbb{P}^{1}$ with $\pi^{-1}(U)=V$. Thus $\mathscr{E}=\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}} \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(1)$ is L-big but not V-big.

In general, we have that if $\mathscr{E} \rightarrow \mathscr{Q}$ is surjective over an open set $U$ and if $\mathscr{E}$ is ample with respect to $U$, then $\mathscr{Q}$ is also ample with respect to $U$ [21, 3.30]. As noted in Example 1.5, L-big does not have this property, so this again shows that the notion of V-big is stronger than that of L-big.

Example 1.8. As a second example, let $C$ be a curve of genus $>1$ and let $X=$ $C \times C$, with projections $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$. In this case the cotangent bundle $\Omega_{X}$ is L-big (i.e., $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}\left(\Omega_{X}\right)}(1)$ is a big line bundle on $\mathbb{P}\left(\Omega_{X}\right)$ ), but since $\Omega_{X}$ surjects onto $p_{1}^{*} \omega_{C}$ we see that $\Omega_{X}$ is not V-big. Similarly, we can see that the two definitions of big are not equivalent for the tangent bundle. Consider the smooth quadric surface $Q$; here $T_{Q}$ is L-big, but $T_{Q}$ surjects onto $p_{1}^{*} \omega_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}^{-1}$ and so is not V-big.

In view of these different definitions, we will avoid saying that a vector bundle $\mathscr{E}$ on $X$ is "big" and instead say either that $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathscr{E})}(1)$ is a big line bundle or that $\mathscr{E}$ is ample with respect to some open set $U$.

We next define a new notion of positivity that is slightly weaker than ample but stronger than nef.

Definition 1.9. A vector bundle $\mathscr{E}$ on $X$ is quasi-ample if, for every nonconstant morphism $\gamma: C \rightarrow X$ from a complete nonsingular curve $C, \gamma^{*} \mathscr{E}$ is ample on $C$.

In the case where $\mathscr{E}$ is a line bundle, the terminology strictly nef has been used [18]. Many properties of ample vector bundles carry over to quasi-ample vector bundles, as the following theorem shows.

Theorem 1.10 [11, 4.3]. Let $\mathscr{E}$ and $\mathscr{E}$ be vector bundles on $X$.
(i) If $\mathscr{E}$ is quasi-ample, then any quotient of $\mathscr{E}$ is quasi-ample.
(ii) If $\mathrm{Sym}^{m} \mathscr{E}$ is quasi-ample for some $m$, then $\mathscr{E}$ is quasi-ample.
(iii) If $\mathscr{E}$ is quasi-ample, then $\mathrm{Sym}^{m} \mathscr{E}$ and $\mathscr{E}^{m}$ are quasi-ample for every $m>0$ and $\Lambda^{m} \mathscr{E}$ is quasi-ample for $m=1,2, \ldots, r$, where $r$ is the rank of $\mathscr{E}$.
(iv) If $\mathscr{E}$ and $\mathscr{E}^{\prime}$ are quasi-ample, then $\mathscr{E} \otimes \mathscr{E}^{\prime}$ is quasi-ample.
(v) Let

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathscr{E}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathscr{E} \rightarrow \mathscr{E}^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow 0
$$

be an exact sequence of vector bundles on X. If $\mathscr{E}^{\prime}$ and $\mathscr{E}^{\prime \prime}$ are quasi-ample, then $\mathscr{E}$ is quasi-ample.
(vi) Let $\mathscr{E}$ be quasi-ample, and let $Y$ be a subscheme of $X$. Then $\left.\mathscr{E}\right|_{Y}$ is quasiample on $Y$.

Proof. We give the proof of (i); the others follow similarly. Let $\mathscr{E}$ be a quasi-ample vector bundle on $X$ and let $\mathscr{Q}$ be a quotient of $\mathscr{E}$. If $\gamma: C \rightarrow X$ is any nonconstant morphism from a complete nonsingular curve, then $\gamma^{*} \mathscr{E}$ is an ample vector bundle with quotient $\gamma^{*} \mathscr{Q}$ and so $\gamma^{*} \mathscr{Q}$ is also ample. Hence $\mathscr{Q}$ is quasi-ample.

We also have the following criteria for when a quasi-ample bundle is ample, originally due to Gieseker; see [15, 6.1.7] for Gieseker's original statement and proof (or [11, 4.7]).

Theorem 1.11. Let $\mathscr{E}$ be a vector bundle on $X$, where $X$ is proper over a field $k$. Then $\mathscr{E}$ is ample if and only if the two following conditions are satisfied:
(i) there exists an $m_{0}>0$ such that $\mathrm{Sym}^{m} \mathscr{E}$ is generated by global sections for all $m \geq m_{0}$; and
(ii) $\mathscr{E}$ is quasi-ample.

Corollary 1.12. Let $X$ be a complex projective variety with at most canonical singularities. If $\omega_{X}$ is quasi-ample and big, then $\omega_{X}$ is ample.

Proof. Let $K_{X}$ be a canonical divisor corresponding to $\omega_{X}$. Since $K_{X}$ is quasiample, it is nef. Thus $2 K_{X}-K_{X}=K_{X}$ is nef and big, so by the base point free theorem [13,3.3] it follows that $\left|b K_{X}\right|$ has no base points for $b \gg 0$. Thus $\omega_{X}^{b}$ is generated by global sections and so, by (1.11), $\omega_{X}$ is ample.

In general, quasi-ample does not imply ample. Mumford constructs an example of a quasi-ample vector bundle that is not ample; see [9, Ex. 10.6]. Namely, he starts with a curve of genus $>2$ and a rank- 2 vector bundle $\mathscr{E}$ of degree 0 such that $\operatorname{Sym}^{m}(\mathscr{E})$ is stable for all $m \geq 0$. Then $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathscr{E})}(1)$ is a quasi-ample line bundle that is not big and hence cannot be ample. Ramanujam extends this example to produce a quasi-ample and big line bundle that is not ample; see [9, Ex. 10.8].

However, in certain cases quasi-ample implies ample. For example, it is not difficult to see that, for the tangent bundle of a projective variety, $T_{X}$ being quasiample implies that $T_{X}$ is ample and hence $X \simeq \mathbb{P}^{n}$. In the case of the cotangent bundle, it is unknown whether $\Omega_{X}$ being quasi-ample implies that $\Omega_{X}$ is ample. It also is unknown whether $\Omega_{X}$ being quasi-ample with $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}\left(\Omega_{X}\right)}(1)$ big implies that $\Omega_{X}$ is ample with respect to an open set. (Note: In Example 1.8, where $X=C \times C$, $\Omega_{X}$ is not quasi-ample.)

We can weaken the condition of quasi-ample as follows.
Definition 1.13. If $U \subseteq X$ is an open set, then $\mathscr{E}$ is quasi-ample with respect to $U$ if, for every nonconstant morphism $\gamma: C \rightarrow X$ from a complete nonsingular curve $C$ with $\gamma(C) \cap U \neq \emptyset, \gamma^{*} \mathscr{E}$ is ample on $C$.

We end this section by recalling the notions of isotriviality and maximum variation.
Definition 1.14. A morphism $X \rightarrow S$, where $S$ is a complete nonsingular curve, is isotrivial if $X_{s} \simeq X_{t}$ for general $s, t \in S$.

Note that if $X \rightarrow S$ is a smooth projective isotrivial morphism then there exists an étale cover $S^{\prime} \rightarrow S$ such that $X \times{ }_{S} S^{\prime} \rightarrow S^{\prime}$ is trivial. If $f: X \rightarrow S$ is nonisotrivial then, for general $t \in S$, the Kodaira-Spencer map at $t, \rho_{f, t}: T_{S, t} \rightarrow H^{1}\left(X_{t}, T_{X_{t}}\right)$, is nonzero.

More generally, let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a surjective morphism between smooth projective varieties; then $\operatorname{Var}(f)$ denotes the number of effective parameters of the birational equivalence classes of the fibers. For the rigorous definition of $\operatorname{Var}(f)$, see [12, 2.8] or [19, p. 329]. If $\operatorname{Var}(f)=0$, then $X_{y} \simeq X_{t}$ for general $y, t \in Y$. If $\operatorname{Var}(f)=\operatorname{dim} Y$, we say that $f$ has maximum variation. If $f$ is smooth and if for
all $y \in Y$ the set $\left\{p \in Y \mid X_{p} \simeq X_{y}\right\}$ is finite, then $\operatorname{Var}(f)=\operatorname{dim} Y$. Conversely, if $f$ is smooth and if $\operatorname{Var}(f)=\operatorname{dim} Y$, then there exists a moduli space for the fibers of $f$ and hence there exists an open set $U \subseteq Y$ such that, for all $y \in U$, the set $\left\{p \in U \mid X_{p} \simeq X_{y}\right\}$ is finite. In the case where a moduli space exists for the fibers of $f$, the variation of $f$ is equal to the rank of the Kodaira-Spencer map at a general point of $Y$; so, in particular, if $\operatorname{Var}(f)=\operatorname{dim} Y$ then the Kodaira-Spencer map at a general point of $Y$ is injective.

## 2. Positivity of Cotangent Bundles

If $X$ is a complex smooth projective variety, we can consider the case where the cotangent bundle $\Omega_{X}$ is ample. In this case, $X$ is both algebraically and Kobayashi hyperbolic [3] and so (a) $X$ contains no rational or elliptic curves and (b) any map from an abelian variety to $X$ is constant. If we consider the weaker case of $\Omega_{X}$ being only quasi-ample, then $X$ still has some nice properties.

Lemma 2.1. Let $X$ be a smooth projective variety with quasi-ample cotangent bundle $\Omega_{X}$. Then the following statements hold.
(i) If $Y \subset X$ is a nonsingular subvariety, then $Y$ has a quasi-ample cotangent bundle $\Omega_{Y}$.
(ii) If $f: Y \rightarrow X$ is any morphism where $Y$ is an abelian variety or $\mathbb{P}^{1}$, then $f$ is constant.

Proof. Let $i: Y \hookrightarrow X$, where $X$ has a quasi-ample cotangent bundle. Using $\mathscr{I}$ to denote the ideal sheaf of $Y$, we have the short exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathscr{I} /\left.\mathscr{I}^{2} \rightarrow \Omega_{X}\right|_{Y} \rightarrow \Omega_{Y} \rightarrow 0
$$

Because $\Omega_{X}$ is quasi-ample, $\left.\Omega_{X}\right|_{Y}$ is quasi-ample and hence so is $\Omega_{Y}$.
Suppose $f: Y \rightarrow X$ is a nonconstant morphism from an abelian variety $Y$ to a smooth projective variety $X$, with $\Omega_{X}$ quasi-ample. Let $C$ be a complete nonsingular curve, and let $\gamma: C \rightarrow Y$ be a nonconstant morphism such that $f \gamma: C \rightarrow X$ is also nonconstant. Then we have the commutative diagram

with $\beta$ and $\beta^{\prime}$ nonzero. Hence $\alpha: \gamma^{*} f^{*} \Omega_{X} \rightarrow \gamma^{*} \Omega_{Y}$ must also be nonzero. Since $\Omega_{X}$ is quasi-ample, $\operatorname{Hom}\left(\gamma^{*} f^{*} \Omega_{X}, \mathscr{O}_{C}\right)=0$, and since $Y$ is an abelian variety, $\gamma^{*} \Omega_{Y} \simeq \mathscr{O}_{C}^{\oplus d}$, where $d$ is the dimension of $Y$. Thus $\operatorname{Hom}\left(\gamma^{*} f^{*} \Omega_{X}, \gamma^{*} \Omega_{Y}\right)=0$, which forces $\alpha$ to be zero; this is a contradiction, so $f: Y \rightarrow X$ must be constant. If $f: \mathbb{P}^{1} \rightarrow X$ is nonconstant, we obtain a nonconstant map $\sigma: f^{*} \Omega_{X} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathbb{P}}$. If $\mathscr{E}$ is the image of $\sigma$, then $\mathscr{E}$ is ample because it is a quotient of $f^{*} \Omega_{X}$. But then,
since $T_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}$ surjects onto $\mathscr{E}^{\vee}$, it follows that $\mathscr{E}^{\vee}$ is also ample, which leads to a contradiction. Therefore, any $f: \mathbb{P}^{1} \rightarrow X$ must be constant.

We now begin our generalization of Theorem 1.1.

### 2.1. Smooth Towers of Smooth Projective Varieties

Theorem 2.2. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a smooth projective morphism, where $\operatorname{dim} X=$ $\operatorname{dim} Y+1$ and $\operatorname{Var}(f)=\operatorname{dim} Y$. Then, for all $y \in Y, X_{y}$ is a curve of genus at least 2 , and if $\operatorname{dim} Y=1$ then the genus of $Y$ is also at least 2 . In particular, $\omega_{X_{y}}$ is an ample line bundle on $X_{y}$ for all $y \in Y$.

Proof. Suppose first that $\operatorname{dim} Y=1$, so $\operatorname{dim} X=2$. Then, by [1, III.15.4], $g(Y) \geq 2$. Since $f$ is flat, the genus of the fibers is constant. If the genus is 0 then all the fibers are isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^{1}$; hence $f$ is isotrivial. By the existence of the $J$-fibration, the genus of the fibers cannot be 1 [1, Chap. V, Secs. 9 and 14]. Thus the genus of the fibers is at least 2 . If $\operatorname{dim} Y=n$ for $n>1$, let $S$ be a general curve in $Y$. Restricting $f$ to $f^{-1}(S)$ puts us in the previous case, so $X_{y}$ must have genus at least 2.

Given a surjective map of smooth projective varieties, $f: X \rightarrow Y$, of relative dimension $k$, we will use the positivity of $f_{*} \omega_{X / Y}^{m}$ and, more generally, of $f_{*} \Omega_{X / Y}^{k}(\log \Delta)^{m}$, where $\Delta$ is a normal crossing divisor on $X$. These deep results are found in the work of Viehweg [20] and Kollár [12]. In particular, we will use the following formulation.

Theorem 2.3. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a surjective map of smooth projective varieties of relative dimension $k$, with $\operatorname{Var}(f)=\operatorname{dim} Y$.
(i) $[24,3.4]$ If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is smooth and if $\omega_{X / Y}$ is $f$-ample, then $f_{*} \omega_{X / Y}^{m}$ is ample with respect to an open dense $V \subset Y$ for all $m>1$, where $f_{*} \omega_{X / Y}^{m /} \neq$ 0 . Furthermore, we can take $V$ to be the open set where the moduli map $\eta: V \rightarrow M_{h}$ is quasi-finite over its image.
(ii) [24, 3.6] Let $S \subset Y$ be a reduced normal crossing divisor containing the discriminant locus, and let $\Delta:=f^{*} S$ be a normal crossing divisor. Let $V:=$ $Y-S$ and $U:=X-\Delta$, so we have a smooth family $U \rightarrow V$. Suppose that $\omega_{U / V}$ is $f$-semi-ample, that the smooth fibers of $f$ are canonically polarized, and that the moduli map $\eta: V \rightarrow M_{h}$ is quasi-finite over its image. Then, for $m$ sufficiently large and divisible, $f_{*} \Omega_{X / Y}^{k}(\log \Delta)^{m}$ is ample with respect to $V$.

Theorem 2.4. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a surjective nonisotrivial morphism of smooth projective varieties with $\operatorname{dim} Y=1$, and let $\omega_{X_{y}}$ be an ample line bundle for all $y \in Y$. Then $\omega_{X / Y}$ is an ample line bundle.

Proof. The proof follows exactly as in [14, 2.5] once we know that $f_{*} \omega_{X / Y}^{m}$ is ample for some $m>0$ (cf. [12; 20]).

The following lemma is due to Gieseker.
Lemma 2.5 [6, Prop. 2.2]. Let $C$ be a nonsingular curve. Suppose that $\mathscr{F}$ is ample on $C$ and that we have a nontrivial extension

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{C} \rightarrow \mathscr{E} \rightarrow \mathscr{F} \rightarrow 0
$$

Then $\mathscr{E}$ is ample .
We first consider Schneider's original setup-that is, a nonisotrivial smooth projective morphism from a surface to a curve.

Lemma 2.6. Let $X$ and $Y$ be smooth projective varieties with $\operatorname{dim} Y=1$, and let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a smooth projective nonisotrivial morphism. Let $B:=\{p \in Y \mid$ $\alpha: H^{0}\left(X_{p},\left.f^{*} T_{Y}\right|_{X_{p}}\right) \rightarrow H^{1}\left(X_{p}, T_{X_{p}}\right)$ is not injective $\}$. Then, for any $y \in Y-B$, the short exact sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.\left.0 \rightarrow f^{*} \Omega_{Y}\right|_{X_{y}} \rightarrow \Omega_{X}\right|_{X_{y}} \rightarrow \Omega_{X / Y}\right|_{X_{y}} \rightarrow 0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

does not split.
Proof. Let $y \in Y-B$; then $\alpha: H^{0}\left(X_{p},\left.f^{*} T_{Y}\right|_{X_{p}}\right) \rightarrow H^{1}\left(X_{p}, T_{X_{p}}\right)$ is injective. To show that (1) does not split, it suffices to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.0 \rightarrow T_{X_{y}} \rightarrow T_{X}\right|_{X_{y}} \rightarrow f^{*} T_{Y}\right|_{X_{y}} \rightarrow 0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

does not split. Taking cohomology, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\cdots \rightarrow H^{0}\left(X_{y},\left.T_{X}\right|_{X_{y}}\right) & \rightarrow H^{0}\left(X_{y},\left.f^{*} T_{Y}\right|_{X_{y}}\right) \\
& \rightarrow H^{1}\left(X_{y}, T_{X_{y}}\right) \rightarrow H^{1}\left(X_{y},\left.T_{X}\right|_{X_{y}}\right) \rightarrow \cdots .
\end{aligned}
$$

If (2) splits, then $H^{0}\left(X_{y},\left.T_{X}\right|_{X_{y}}\right) \rightarrow H^{0}\left(X_{y},\left.f^{*} T_{Y}\right|_{X_{y}}\right)$ is surjective and so the image of $\alpha: H^{0}\left(X_{y},\left.f^{*} T_{Y}\right|_{X_{y}}\right) \rightarrow H^{1}\left(X_{y}, T_{X_{y}}\right)$ is zero. Thus im $(\alpha)=\operatorname{ker}(\alpha)=$ 0 , so $H^{0}\left(X_{y},\left.f^{*} T_{Y}\right|_{X_{y}}\right)=0-$ a contradiction. Therefore, (1) must not split.

Corollary 2.7. Let $X$ and $Y$ be smooth projective varieties over $\mathbb{C}$ of dimensions 2 and 1, respectively, and let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a smooth projective nonisotrivial morphism. Suppose $\gamma: C \rightarrow X$ is a nonconstant morphism from a complete nonsingular curve with $\gamma(C)$ contained in a fiber of $f$, say $X_{y}$. Moreover, suppose that $\alpha: H^{0}\left(X_{y},\left.f^{*} T_{Y}\right|_{X_{y}}\right) \rightarrow H^{1}\left(X_{y}, T_{X_{y}}\right)$ is injective. Then $\gamma^{*} \Omega_{X}$ is an ample vector bundle on $C$.

Proof. Suppose $\gamma(C) \subseteq X_{y}$ for some $y \in Y$. Since $\gamma: C \rightarrow X_{y}$ is finite and since the pull-back of an ample line bundle by a finite map is ample, it suffices to show that $\left.\Omega_{X}\right|_{X y}$ is ample. By Lemma 2.6,

$$
\left.\left.\left.0 \rightarrow f^{*} \Omega_{Y}\right|_{X_{y}} \rightarrow \Omega_{X}\right|_{X_{y}} \rightarrow \Omega_{X / Y}\right|_{X_{y}} \rightarrow 0
$$

does not split. Since $\left.f^{*} \Omega_{Y}\right|_{X_{y}} \simeq \mathscr{O}_{X_{y}}$ and since $\left.\Omega_{X / Y}\right|_{X_{y}} \simeq \omega_{X y}$ is ample by Theorem 2.2, it follows from Lemma 2.5 that $\left.\Omega_{X}\right|_{X_{y}}$ is ample.

Theorem 2.8. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a smooth, projective nonisotrivial morphism, with $X$ and $Y$ projective varieties over $\mathbb{C}$ of dimensions 2 and 1 , respectively. Let
$B:=\left\{p \in Y \mid \alpha: H^{0}\left(X_{p},\left.f^{*} T_{Y}\right|_{X_{p}}\right) \rightarrow H^{1}\left(X_{p}, T_{X_{p}}\right)\right.$ is not injective $\}$. Then $\Omega_{X}$ is quasi-ample with respect to $U:=f^{-1}(Y-B)$ and, for all $\lambda \in \Lambda(2,2)$, the Schur polynomial is positive; that is, $\int_{X} s_{\lambda}\left(\Omega_{X}\right)>0$. In particular, $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}\left(\Omega_{X}\right)}(1)$ is big.

Proof. We first show that $\Omega_{X}$ is quasi-ample with respect to $U$. Let $\gamma: C \rightarrow X$ be a nonconstant morphism from a complete nonsingular curve $C$ such that $\gamma(C) \cap U \neq \emptyset$. If $\gamma(C)$ is in a fiber of $f$ then, by (2.7), $\gamma^{*} \Omega_{X}$ is ample.

Now suppose $\gamma(C)$ is not in a fiber of $f$, so that $f \circ \gamma: C \rightarrow Y$ is nonconstant. We have the following short exact sequence:

$$
0 \rightarrow \gamma^{*} f^{*} \omega_{Y} \rightarrow \gamma^{*} \Omega_{X} \rightarrow \gamma^{*} \omega_{X / Y} \rightarrow 0
$$

Because $\omega_{Y}$ is ample, $\gamma^{*} f^{*} \omega_{Y}$ is ample on $C$. By Theorem 2.4, $\omega_{X / Y}$ is ample on $X$; hence $\gamma^{*} \omega_{X / Y}$ is ample on $C$. Therefore, $\gamma^{*} \Omega_{X}$ is ample and so $\Omega_{X}$ is quasi-ample with respect to $U$. Note also that $\Omega_{X}$ is an extension of two nef line bundles (viz., $f^{*} \omega_{Y}$ and $\omega_{X / Y}$ ), so $\Omega_{X}$ is nef.

We now will show that the Schur polynomials are positive. Let $\lambda \in \Lambda(2,2)$; then $\lambda=(1,1)$ or $\lambda=(2,0)$, and by definition we have

$$
s_{(1,1)}\left(\Omega_{X}\right)=c_{1}\left(\Omega_{X}\right)^{2}-c_{2}\left(\Omega_{X}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad s_{(2,0)}\left(\Omega_{X}\right)=c_{2}\left(\Omega_{X}\right)
$$

Using the short exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow f^{*} \omega_{Y} \rightarrow \Omega_{X} \rightarrow \omega_{X / Y} \rightarrow 0
$$

we see that

$$
c_{1}\left(\Omega_{X}\right)=c_{1}\left(f^{*} \omega_{Y}\right)+c_{1}\left(\omega_{X / Y}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad c_{2}\left(\Omega_{X}\right)=c_{1}\left(f^{*} \omega_{Y}\right) \cdot c_{1}\left(\omega_{X / Y}\right)
$$

Thus,

$$
s_{(1,1)}\left(\Omega_{X}\right)=c_{1}\left(\Omega_{X}\right)^{2}-c_{2}\left(\Omega_{X}\right)=c_{1}\left(f^{*} \omega_{Y}\right) \cdot c_{1}\left(\omega_{X / Y}\right)+c_{1}\left(\omega_{X / Y}\right)^{2}
$$

and

$$
s_{(2,0)}\left(\Omega_{X}\right)=c_{1}\left(f^{*} \omega_{Y}\right) \cdot c_{1}\left(\omega_{X / Y}\right) .
$$

Since $\omega_{Y}$ is ample, $c_{1}\left(\omega_{Y}\right)=\sum m_{i}\left[y_{i}\right]$, where $y_{i} \in X$ are points and $\sum m_{i}>$ 0 . Since $f$ is flat, $c_{1}\left(f^{*} \omega_{Y}\right)=f^{*} c_{1}\left(\omega_{Y}\right)=\sum n_{i}\left[X_{y_{i}}\right]$. Now, $\left.\omega_{X / Y}\right|_{X_{y}}=\omega_{X_{y}}$ is ample for all $y \in Y$ and $\operatorname{deg}\left(\omega_{X_{y}}\right)=2 g\left(X_{y}\right)-2 \geq 2$ is constant for all $y \in Y$. Thus,

$$
\int_{X} c_{1}\left(f^{*} \omega_{Y}\right) \cdot c_{1}\left(\omega_{X / Y}\right)=\sum n_{i}(2 g-2)>0
$$

Furthermore, $\omega_{X / Y}$ is an ample line bundle on $X$ by Theorem 2.4, so $\int_{X} c_{1}\left(\omega_{X / Y}\right)^{2}>$ 0 . Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{X} s_{(1,1)}\left(\Omega_{X}\right)=\int_{X} c_{1}\left(f^{*} \omega_{Y}\right) \cdot c_{1}\left(\omega_{X / Y}\right)+c_{1}\left(\omega_{X / Y}\right)^{2}>0 \\
& \int_{X} s_{(2,0)}\left(\Omega_{X}\right)=\int_{X} c_{1}\left(f^{*} \omega_{Y}\right) \cdot c_{1}\left(\omega_{X / Y}\right)>0
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\Omega_{X}$ is nef, to show that $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}\left(\Omega_{X}\right)}(1)$ is big it suffices to show that $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}\left(\Omega_{X}\right)}(1)$ has positive top intersection. But by [6, Lemma 1.8], this is equivalent to showing that $\int_{X} s_{(1,1)}\left(\Omega_{X}\right)>0$; hence $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}\left(\Omega_{X}\right)}(1)$ is big.

We will now consider the tower

$$
X^{n} \xrightarrow{f_{n}} X^{n-1} \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} X^{n-2} \xrightarrow{f_{n-2}} \cdots \xrightarrow{f_{3}} X^{2} \xrightarrow{f_{2}} X^{1},
$$

where each $X^{i}$ is a smooth projective variety over $\mathbb{C}$ of dimension $i$ and where, for $2 \leq i \leq n, f_{i}: X^{i} \rightarrow X^{i-1}$ is a smooth projective morphism with $\operatorname{Var}\left(f_{i}\right)=$ $\operatorname{dim} X^{i-1}$ 。

We first prove the following generalization.
Theorem 2.9. Let

$$
X^{n} \xrightarrow{f_{n}} X^{n-1} \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} X^{n-2} \xrightarrow{f_{n-2}} \cdots \xrightarrow{f_{3}} X^{2} \xrightarrow{f_{2}} X^{1},
$$

where each $X^{i}$ is a smooth projective variety over $\mathbb{C}$ of dimension $i$ and where each $f_{i}: X^{i} \rightarrow X^{i-1}$ is a smooth projective morphism with $\operatorname{Var}\left(f_{i}\right)=\operatorname{dim} X^{i-1}$. Then $\Omega_{X^{n}}$ is nef and, for all $\lambda \in \Lambda(n, n)$, the corresponding Schur polynomial is positive; that is, $\int_{X} s_{\lambda}\left(\Omega_{X^{n}}\right)>0$. In particular, $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}\left(\Omega_{X^{n}}\right)}(1)$ is big.

Proof. We will prove this by induction on $n$. By Theorem 2.8, the statement is true for $n=2$, and we assume it holds for $n-1$. Let $X:=X^{n}$; then we have the short exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow f_{n}^{*} \Omega_{X^{n-1}} \rightarrow \Omega_{X} \rightarrow \omega_{X / X^{n-1}} \rightarrow 0
$$

Now $\Omega_{X^{n-1}}$ is nef by induction, so $f_{n}^{*} \Omega_{X^{n-1}}$ is nef. Since $f_{n}$ is smooth and since $\omega_{X / X^{n-1}}$ is $f_{n}$-ample, it follows from [22, 6.22] that $\left(f_{n}\right)_{*} \omega_{X / X^{n-1}}^{m}$ is nef for all $m>0$. Additionally, since $\omega_{X / X^{n-1}}$ is $f_{n}$-ample, for $m \gg 0$ the natural map

$$
f_{n}^{*}\left(f_{n}\right)_{*} \omega_{X / X^{n-1}}^{m} \rightarrow \omega_{X / X^{n-1}}^{m}
$$

is surjective. Thus $\omega_{X / X^{n-1}}$ is nef and hence $\Omega_{X}$ is nef.
Let $\lambda \in \Lambda(n, n)$. Define $d_{i}:=c_{i}\left(\Omega_{X}\right), \alpha_{i}:=c_{i}\left(f_{n}^{*} \Omega_{X^{n-1}}\right)$, and $\beta:=c_{1}\left(\omega_{X / X^{n-1}}\right)$. Then, from the short exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow f_{n}^{*} \Omega_{X^{n-1}} \rightarrow \Omega_{X} \rightarrow \omega_{X / X^{n-1}} \rightarrow 0
$$

we have

$$
d_{i}=\alpha_{i}+\alpha_{i-1} \beta, \quad \text { where } \alpha_{0}=1 \text { and } \alpha_{i}=0 \text { for } i \notin[0, n-1]
$$

Thus, by [4, 5.2, Ex. 4],

$$
\begin{aligned}
s_{\lambda}\left(\Omega_{X}\right) & =s_{\lambda}\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{n}\right) \\
& =s_{\lambda}\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1}, \beta\right) \\
& =\sum_{\mu \subset \lambda} s_{\lambda / \mu}\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1}\right) s_{\mu}(\beta) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now $\beta=c_{1}\left(\omega_{X / X^{n-1}}\right)$, so if $\mu=\left(\mu_{1}, \ldots, \mu_{n}\right)$ and $\mu_{1} \neq 1$ then $s_{\mu}(\beta)=0$. Also, note that $s_{\left(1^{k}\right)}\left(\omega_{X / X^{n-1}}\right)=c_{1}\left(\omega_{X / X^{n-1}}\right)^{k}$. Therefore,

$$
s_{\lambda}\left(\Omega_{X}\right)=\sum_{k=1}^{n} s_{\lambda /\left(1^{k}\right)}\left(f_{n}^{*} \Omega_{X^{n-1}}\right) \cdot c_{1}\left(\omega_{X / X^{n-1}}\right)^{k} .
$$

Let $1 \leq k \leq n-1$ and $\mu \in \Lambda(n-k, n-k)$. Then

$$
s_{\mu} \cdot s_{\left(1^{k}\right)}=\sum_{\nu} c_{\left.\mu / 1^{k}\right)}^{\nu} s_{\nu} \quad \text { and } \quad s_{\nu /\left(1^{k}\right)}=\sum_{\mu} c_{\mu /\left(1^{k}\right)}^{\nu} s_{\mu}
$$

By [4, 1.1, Prop.], $c_{\mu /\left(1^{k}\right)}^{v}=1$ if $v$ can be obtained from $\mu$ by adding $k$ boxes, no two of which are in the same row, and equals zero otherwise. Thus, setting $v=\lambda$ yields

$$
s_{\lambda /\left(1^{k}\right)}=\sum_{\mu} s_{\mu}
$$

where the sum is taken over $\mu \in \Lambda(n-k, n-k)$ such that $\mu$ can be obtained from $\lambda$ by subtracting $k$ boxes, no two of which are in the same row. Therefore,

$$
s_{\lambda}\left(\Omega_{X}\right)=\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(c_{1}\left(\omega_{X / X^{n-1}}\right)^{k} \sum_{\mu \in \Lambda(n-k, n-k)} s_{\mu}\left(f_{n}^{*} \Omega_{X^{n-1}}\right)\right),
$$

where the second sum is taken over $\mu \in \Lambda(n-k, n-k)$ such that $\mu$ can be obtained from $\lambda$ by subtracting $k$ boxes, no two of which are in the same row.

Consider the first term, $c_{1}\left(\omega_{X / X^{n-1}}\right) \sum_{\mu} s_{\mu}\left(f_{n}^{*} \Omega_{X^{n-1}}\right)$. By induction, $s_{\mu}\left(\Omega_{X^{n-1}}\right)$ is a positive polynomial for $\mu \in \Lambda(n-1, n-1)$; that is, $s_{\mu}\left(\Omega_{X^{n-1}}\right)=\sum m_{i} y_{i}$, where $y_{i} \in X^{n-1}$ are points and $\sum m_{i}>0$. Now $\left.\omega_{X / X^{n-1}}\right|_{X_{y}} \simeq \omega_{X_{y}}$ is ample on $X_{y}$ for all $y \in X^{n-1}$, so

$$
\int_{X} c_{1}\left(\omega_{X / X^{n-1}}\right) \cdot s_{\mu}\left(f_{n}^{*} \Omega_{X^{n-1}}\right)>0 .
$$

Since $f_{n}^{*} \Omega_{X^{n-1}}$ and $\omega_{X / X^{n-1}}$ are nef, for $2 \leq k \leq n-1$ and $\mu \in \Lambda(n-k$, $n-k)$ as before we have

$$
\int_{X} c_{1}\left(\omega_{X / X^{n-1}}\right)^{k} \cdot s_{\mu}\left(f_{n}^{*} \Omega_{X^{n-1}}\right) \geq 0
$$

and

$$
\int_{X} c_{1}\left(\omega_{X / X^{n-1}}\right)^{n} \geq 0
$$

Therefore, $\int_{X} s_{\lambda}\left(\Omega_{X}\right)>0$. In particular, this holds for $\lambda=\left(1^{n}\right)$ and so, by [6, Lemma 1.8], $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}\left(\Omega_{X^{n}}\right)}(1)$ is big.

We continue to assume that we have a tower of varieties

$$
X^{n} \xrightarrow{f_{n}} X^{n-1} \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} X^{n-2} \xrightarrow{f_{n-2}} \cdots \xrightarrow{f_{3}} X^{2} \xrightarrow{f_{2}} X^{1},
$$

where each $X^{i}$ is a smooth projective variety over $\mathbb{C}$ of dimension $i$ and where each $f_{i}: X^{i} \rightarrow X^{i-1}$ is a smooth projective morphism with the property that $\operatorname{Var}\left(f_{i}\right)=$ $\operatorname{dim} X^{i-1}$. For $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, define

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{i} & :=\left\{p \in X^{i} \mid \alpha_{i}: H^{0}\left(X_{p}^{i+1},\left.f_{i+1}^{*} T_{X^{i}}\right|_{X_{p}^{i+1}}\right) \rightarrow H^{1}\left(X_{p}^{i+1}, T_{X_{p}^{i+1}}\right) \text { is not injective }\right\} \\
& \subset X^{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that, since each $f_{i+1}$ is of maximum variation, $X^{i}-B_{i}$ is an open dense set. Set $U_{1}:=X^{1}$, and for $2 \leq i \leq n$ define open sets $U_{i} \subset X_{i}$ as

$$
U_{i}:=f_{i}^{-1}\left(U_{i-1}-B_{i-1}\right)
$$

Lemma 2.10. In the setting just described, the moduli map

$$
\mu_{i-1}: V_{i-1}:=U_{i-1}-B_{i-1} \rightarrow M_{g}
$$

induced by the family $f_{i}: X^{i} \rightarrow X^{i-1}$ is quasi-finite onto its image.
Proof. It suffices to show that $F_{i-1}:=\left\{y \in X^{i-1} \mid X_{y}^{i} \simeq X_{p}^{i}\right.$ for infinitely many $\left.p \in X^{i-1}\right\} \subseteq B_{i-1}$. Let $y \in F_{i-1}$; then there exists a connected closed subscheme $Z \subset X^{i-1}$ with $y \in Z$ such that $f_{Z}: X_{Z}:=X^{i} \times_{X^{i-1}} Z \rightarrow Z$ has variation 0 . In particular, $H^{0}\left(\left(X_{Z}\right)_{y},\left.f_{Z}^{*} T_{Z}\right|_{\left(X_{Z}\right)_{y}}\right) \rightarrow H^{1}\left(\left(X_{Z}\right)_{y}, T_{\left(X_{Z}\right)_{y}}\right)$ is not injective. Then, from the commutative diagram

we see that $H^{0}\left(X_{y},\left.f_{i}^{*} T_{Y}\right|_{X_{y}}\right) \rightarrow H^{1}\left(X_{y}, T_{X_{y}}\right)$ cannot be injective; hence $y \in B_{i-1}$.
Theorem 2.11. In the setting of Lemma 2.10, $\Omega_{X^{n}}$ is quasi-ample with respect to $U_{n}$.

Proof. We will prove this by induction on $n$. By Theorem 2.8, the statement is true for $n=2$, and we assume it holds for $n-1$. Let $X:=X^{n}$; then we have the short exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow f_{n}^{*} \Omega_{X^{n-1}} \rightarrow \Omega_{X} \rightarrow \omega_{X / X^{n-1}} \rightarrow 0
$$

Let $\gamma: C \rightarrow X$ be a nonconstant morphism from a complete nonsingular curve $C$ such that $\gamma(C) \cap U_{n} \neq \emptyset$. Suppose first that $\gamma(C)$ is contained in a fiber of $f_{n}$, say $\gamma(C) \subseteq X_{y}$ for some $y \in X^{n-1}$. Since $\gamma: C \rightarrow X_{y}$ is finite, it suffices to show that $\left.\Omega_{X}\right|_{X_{y}}$ is ample. Note also that since $\gamma(C) \cap U_{n} \neq \emptyset$, it follows that $y \in$ $U_{n-1}-B_{n-1}$. Let $f_{2} f_{3} \cdots f_{n-1}(y)=s \in X^{1}$ and let $h=f_{2} f_{3} \cdots f_{n-1} f_{n}: X=$ $X^{n} \rightarrow X^{1}$. Then we have the short exact sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.\left.0 \rightarrow T_{X_{s}}\right|_{X_{y}} \rightarrow T_{X}\right|_{X_{y}} \rightarrow h^{*} T_{X^{1}}\right|_{X_{y}} \rightarrow 0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

I claim that (3) doesn't split. Indeed, suppose it splits; then, given the long exact sequence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\cdots \rightarrow H^{0}\left(X_{y},\left.T_{X}\right|_{X_{y}}\right) & \rightarrow H^{0}\left(X_{y},\left.h^{*} T_{X^{1}}\right|_{X_{y}}\right) \\
& \rightarrow H^{1}\left(X_{y},\left.T_{X_{s}}\right|_{X_{y}}\right) \rightarrow H^{1}\left(X_{y},\left.T_{X}\right|_{X_{y}}\right) \rightarrow \cdots,
\end{aligned}
$$

we have a surjection $\beta: H^{0}\left(X_{y},\left.T_{X}\right|_{X_{y}}\right) \rightarrow H^{0}\left(X_{y},\left.h^{*} T_{X^{1}}\right|_{X_{y}}\right)$. Consider the following commutative diagram:


Taking cohomology then gives


Since $y \notin B_{n-1}, \alpha_{n-1}$ is injective and hence $\operatorname{im} \beta=0$. But $\beta$ is surjective, so $H^{0}\left(X_{y},\left.h^{*} T_{X^{1}}\right|_{X_{y}}\right)=0$-a contradiction. Thus (3) does not split and so neither does

$$
\left.\left.0 \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{X_{y}} \rightarrow \Omega_{X}\right|_{X_{y}} \rightarrow \Omega_{X_{s}}\right|_{X_{y}} \rightarrow 0
$$

By Lemma 2.5, to show that $\left.\Omega_{X}\right|_{X_{y}}$ is ample, it suffices to show that $\left.\Omega_{X_{s}}\right|_{X_{y}}$ is ample.

We have

$$
X_{s}=X_{s}^{n} \xrightarrow{\left(f_{n}\right)_{s}} X_{s}^{n-1} \xrightarrow{\left(f_{n-1}\right)_{s}} \cdots \longrightarrow X_{s}^{3} \xrightarrow{\left(f_{3}\right)_{s}} X_{s}^{2},
$$

where each $X_{s}^{i}$ is a smooth projective variety over $\mathbb{C}$ of dimension $i-1$ and where each $\left(f_{i}\right)_{s}: X_{s}^{i} \rightarrow X_{s}^{i-1}$ is a smooth projective morphism with the property that $\operatorname{Var}\left(f_{i}\right)_{s}=\operatorname{dim}\left(X^{i-1}\right)_{s}$. For $2 \leq i \leq n-1$, define

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{i, s}:=\left\{p \in X_{s}^{i} \mid \alpha_{i, s}: H^{0}\left(X_{p}^{i+1},\right.\right. & \left.\left.\left(f_{i+1}\right)_{s}^{*} T_{X_{s}^{i}}\right|_{X_{p}^{i+1}}\right) \\
& \left.\rightarrow H^{1}\left(\left(X_{s}^{i+1}\right)_{p}, T_{\left(X_{s}^{i+1}\right)_{p}}\right) \text { is not injective }\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Define open $U_{i, s} \subseteq\left(X^{i}\right)_{s}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
U_{2, s} & :=X_{s}^{2} \\
U_{i, s} & :=\left(f_{i}\right)_{s}^{-1}\left(U_{i-1, s}-B_{i-1, s}\right) \quad \text { for } 3 \leq i \leq n
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, by induction, $\Omega_{X_{s}}$ is quasi-ample with respect to

$$
U_{n, s}:=\left(f_{n}\right)_{s}^{-1}\left(U_{n-1, s}-B_{n-1, s}\right) .
$$

I first claim that $B_{i, s} \subseteq B_{i} \cap X_{s}^{i}$. Indeed, we have the commutative diagram


Hence, taking cohomology gives


Thus, if $\alpha_{i}$ is injective then $\alpha_{i, s}$ is injective, so $B_{i, s} \subseteq B_{i} \cap X_{s}^{i}$.
I next claim that $\left(X^{i}\right)_{s} \cap U_{i} \subseteq U_{i, s}$ for $i \geq 2$. Indeed, if $i=2$ then this follows from the definition of $U_{2}$. Suppose it is true for $i-1$; then

$$
\begin{aligned}
U_{i} \cap X_{s}^{i} & =\left(f_{i}\right)_{s}^{-1}\left(\left(U_{i-1} \cap X_{s}^{i-1}\right)-\left(B_{i-1} \cap X_{s}^{i-1}\right)\right) \\
& \subseteq\left(f_{i}\right)_{s}^{-1}\left(U_{i-1, s}-B_{i-1, s}\right)=U_{i, s}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, since $y \in X_{s} \cap\left(U_{n-1}-B_{n-1}\right) \subseteq U_{n-1, s}-B_{n-1, s}$, we conclude that $\left.\Omega_{X_{s}}\right|_{X_{y}}$ is ample.

Now suppose $\gamma(C)$ is not in the fiber of $f_{n}$, so that $f_{n} \circ \gamma: C \rightarrow X^{n-1}$ is nonconstant. Since $\gamma(C) \cap f_{n}^{-1}\left(U_{n-1}-B_{n-1}\right) \neq \emptyset$, we have $f_{n} \gamma(C) \cap U_{n-1} \neq \emptyset$. Thus, by induction, $\gamma^{*}\left(f_{n}^{*} \Omega_{X^{n-1}}\right)$ is ample. We have the short exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \gamma^{*}\left(f_{n}^{*} \Omega_{X^{n-1}}\right) \rightarrow \gamma^{*} \Omega_{X} \rightarrow \gamma^{*} \omega_{X / X^{n-1}} \rightarrow 0
$$

so to show that $\gamma^{*} \Omega_{X}$ is ample it suffices to show that $\gamma^{*} \omega_{X / X^{n-1}}$ is ample. By Theorem 2.3, $f_{*} \omega_{X / X^{n-1}}^{m}$ is ample with respect to $X_{n-1}-B_{n-1}$ for $m>1$ where $f_{*} \omega_{X / X^{n-1}}^{m} \neq 0$. Thus, since $\gamma(C) \cap f_{n}^{-1}\left(U_{n-1}-B_{n-1}\right) \neq \emptyset$, we have that $\gamma^{*} f^{*} f_{*} \omega_{X / X^{n-1}}^{m}$ is ample with respect to $\gamma^{-1} f^{-1}\left(X_{n-1}-B_{n-1}\right)$, an open dense subset of the curve $C$. Hence, $\gamma^{*} f^{*} f_{*} \omega_{X / X^{n-1}}^{m}$ is ample on $C$. Furthermore, since $\omega_{X / X^{n-1}}$ is $f_{n}$-ample, it follows that

$$
\gamma^{*} f_{n}^{*}\left(f_{n}\right)_{*} \omega_{X / X^{n-1}}^{m} \rightarrow \gamma^{*} \omega_{X / X^{n-1}}^{m}
$$

is surjective for sufficiently large $m$ and so $\gamma^{*} \omega_{X / X^{n-1}}$ is ample.

Corollary 2.12. Let

$$
X^{n} \xrightarrow{f_{n}} X^{n-1} \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} X^{n-2} \xrightarrow{f_{n-2}} \cdots \xrightarrow{f_{3}} X^{2} \xrightarrow{f_{2}} X^{1},
$$

where each $X^{i}$ is a smooth projective variety over $\mathbb{C}$ of dimension $i$ and where each $f_{i}: X^{i} \rightarrow X^{i-1}$ is a smooth projective morphism with the property that, for all $y \in X^{i-1}, \alpha_{i}: H^{0}\left(X_{y}^{i+1},\left.f_{i+1}^{*} T_{X^{i}}\right|_{X_{y}^{i+1}}\right) \rightarrow H^{1}\left(X_{y}^{i+1}, T_{X_{y}^{i+1}}\right)$ is injective. Then, $\Omega_{X^{n}}$ is quasi-ample and, for all $\lambda \in \Lambda(n, n)$, the Schur polynomial is positive-that is, $\int_{X} s_{\lambda}\left(\Omega_{X}\right)>0$. In particular, $\Omega_{X^{n}}$ is quasi-ample and $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}\left(\Omega_{X^{n}}\right)}(1)$ is big.

Proof. By the assumption on each $f_{i}: X^{i} \rightarrow X^{i-1}$, the sets $B_{i} \subset X^{i}$ are empty. Hence each $U_{i}=X^{i}$ and so, by Theorem 2.11, $\Omega_{X^{n}}$ is quasi-ample. The second statement follows from Theorem 2.9.

Let us also remark that the condition on the Kodaira-Spencer maps is necessary for the cotangent bundle to be quasi-ample on all of $X^{n}$. As an example, consider a nonisotrivial smooth projective morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ from a smooth projective surface to a smooth projective curve. Suppose there exists a $y \in Y$ such that the Kodaira-Spencer map $\rho_{f, y}: T_{Y, y} \rightarrow H^{1}\left(X_{y}, T_{X_{y}}\right)$ is zero. Then $\left.\Omega_{X}\right|_{X_{y}} \simeq$ $\mathscr{O}_{X_{y}} \oplus \Omega_{X_{y}}$, so $\left.\Omega_{X}\right|_{X_{y}}$ is not ample.

### 2.2. Towers of Varieties Where the Morphisms Are Not Smooth

We next weaken the hypothesis on the $f_{i}$. Let $X$ be a smooth variety of dimension $n$ and let $D \subset X$ be a reduced normal crossing divisor. Recall that $\Omega_{X}^{1}(\log D)$ is the sheaf of 1-forms on $X$ with logarithmic poles along $D$ and is defined as follows: If $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}$ are local analytic coordinates on $X$ with $D=\left(z_{1} \cdots z_{l}=0\right)$, then $\Omega_{X}^{1}(\log D)$ is locally generated by $\frac{d z_{1}}{z_{1}}, \ldots, \frac{d z_{l}}{z_{l}}, d z_{l+1}, \ldots, d z_{n}$. If $D$ has normal crossings but is not reduced, we abuse notation and write $\Omega_{X}^{1}(\log D)$ for $\Omega_{X}^{1}\left(\log D_{\text {red }}\right)$.

Lemma 2.13. Let $D=D_{1}+D_{2}$ be a normal crossing divisor on a smooth variety $X$, and suppose that $\Omega_{X}^{1}\left(\log D_{2}\right)$ is quasi-ample with respect to $X-D_{2}$. Then $\Omega_{X}^{1}(\log D)$ is quasi-ample with respect to $X-D$.

Proof. Let $\gamma: C \rightarrow X$ be a nonconstant morphism from a complete nonsingular curve such that $\gamma(C) \cap(X-D) \neq \emptyset$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ do not contain any common components. If $\gamma(C) \cap D_{1}=\emptyset$ then $\gamma^{*} \Omega_{X}^{1}\left(\log D_{2}\right) \simeq \gamma^{*} \Omega_{X}^{1} \log (D)$ is ample. If $\gamma(C) \cap D_{1} \neq \emptyset$ then, since $\gamma(C) \nsubseteq$ $D_{1}$, it follows that $\gamma(C) \cap D_{1}$ must consist of a finite number of points. Hence we have the short exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \gamma^{*} \Omega_{X}^{1}\left(\log D_{2}\right) \rightarrow \gamma^{*} \Omega_{X}^{1}(\log D) \rightarrow \gamma^{*} \mathscr{O}_{D_{1}}\left(\left.D_{2}\right|_{D_{1}}\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

with $\gamma^{*} \Omega_{X}^{1}\left(\log D_{2}\right)$ and $\gamma^{*} \mathscr{O}_{D_{1}}\left(\left.D_{2}\right|_{D_{1}}\right)$ ample. Thus $\gamma^{*} \Omega_{X}^{1}(\log D)$ is also ample.
We consider the following:

$$
X^{n} \xrightarrow{f_{n}} X^{n-1} \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} X^{n-2} \xrightarrow{f_{n-2}} \cdots \xrightarrow{f_{3}} X^{2} \xrightarrow{f_{2}} X^{1},
$$

where each $X^{i}$ is a smooth projective variety over $\mathbb{C}$ of dimension $i$. Suppose that, for $2 \leq i \leq n$ :
(i) $f_{i}: X^{i} \rightarrow X^{i-1}$ is a flat projective morphism with $\operatorname{Var}\left(f_{i}\right)=\operatorname{dim} X^{i-1}$; and
(ii) $\omega_{X_{y}^{i}}$ is ample for all $y \notin \Delta_{i-1, i}$, where

$$
\Delta_{i-1, i}:=\left\{y \in X^{i-1} \mid f_{i}^{-1}(y) \text { is singular }\right\} .
$$

Put $\Delta_{2,1}:=f_{2}^{*} \Delta_{1,2}$, and define recursively, for all $i<n$,

$$
\Delta_{i, i-1}:=f_{i}^{*}\left(\left(\Delta_{i-1, i-2}+\Delta_{i-1, i}\right)_{\mathrm{red}}\right) \subset X^{i}
$$

We will also write $\Delta_{i-1}:=\left(\Delta_{i-1, i-2}+\Delta_{i-1, i}\right)_{\text {red }} \subset X^{i-1}$, so that $\Delta_{i, i-1}=$ $f_{i}^{*}\left(\Delta_{i-1}\right)$. Let $\Delta_{1, i}$ be the discriminant locus of $f_{2} \circ \cdots \circ f_{i}: X^{i} \rightarrow X^{1}$; that is, $\Delta_{1, i}:=\left\{s \in X^{1} \mid f_{i}^{-1} \cdots f_{2}^{-1}(s)\right.$ is singular $\}$ and $\Delta_{i, 1}:=f_{i}^{*} \cdots f_{2}^{*} \Delta_{1, i}$.

Define

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{i}^{\prime}:=\left\{p \in X^{i}-\Delta_{i, i+1} \mid \alpha_{i}: H^{0}\left(X_{p}^{i+1},\right.\right. & \left.\left.f_{i+1}^{*} T_{X^{i}}\right|_{X_{p}^{i+1}}\right) \\
& \left.\rightarrow H^{1}\left(X_{p}^{i+1}, T_{X_{p}^{i+1}}\right) \text { is not injective }\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Set $B_{1}:=B_{1}^{\prime}$ and, for $2 \leq i \leq n$, set

$$
B_{i}:=B_{i}^{\prime}+f_{i}^{-1}\left(B_{i-1}\right) .
$$

In this setting we will show that, if $\Delta_{i}$ and $\Delta_{i+1, i}$ are normal crossing divisors for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, then $\Omega_{X^{n}}^{1}\left(\log \Delta_{n, n-1}\right)$ is quasi-ample with respect to $X^{n}-\Delta_{n, n-1}-f_{n}^{-1}\left(B_{n-1}\right)$. We follow the same ideas as before and will prove this by induction. To prove the case where $n=2$, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.14 [23, 1.4]. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a nonisotrivial morphism between smooth projective varieties of dimensions 2 and 1 , respectively. Let $\Delta_{1,2} \subset Y$ be the discriminant divisor and let $\Delta_{2,1}:=f^{*} \Delta_{1,2}$. Then $2 g(Y)-2+\operatorname{deg} \Delta_{1,2} \geq 1$.

THEOREM 2.15. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a flat nonisotrivial morphism between smooth projective varieties over $\mathbb{C}$ of dimensions 2 and 1, respectively. Let $\Delta_{1,2} \subset Y$ be the discriminant divisor and $\Delta_{2,1}:=f^{*} \Delta_{1,2}$. Let $B:=B_{1}$ be as before. Suppose that $\omega_{X_{y}}$ is ample for all $y \notin \Delta_{1,2}$ and that both $\Delta_{1,2}$ and $\Delta_{2,1}$ are normal crossing divisors. Then $\Omega_{X}^{1}\left(\log \Delta_{2,1}\right)$ is quasi-ample with respect to $X-\Delta_{2,1}-f^{-1}(B)$.

Proof. We have the short exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow f^{*} \Omega_{Y}^{1}\left(\log \Delta_{1,2}\right) \rightarrow \Omega_{X}^{1}\left(\log \Delta_{2,1}\right) \rightarrow \Omega_{X / Y}^{1}\left(\log \Delta_{2,1}\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

Since $\Omega_{X / Y}^{1}\left(\log \Delta_{2,1}\right)$ is locally free, taking determinants gives $\Omega_{X / Y}^{1}\left(\log \Delta_{2,1}\right) \simeq$ $\omega_{X / Y} \otimes \mathscr{O}_{Y}\left(\left(\Delta_{2,1}\right)_{\mathrm{red}}-\Delta_{2,1}\right) \subseteq \omega_{X / Y}$. By Lemma 2.14, $\Omega_{Y}^{1}\left(\log \Delta_{1,2}\right) \simeq \omega_{Y} \otimes$ $\mathscr{O}_{Y}\left(\Delta_{1,2}\right)$ is an ample line bundle on $Y$.

Let $\gamma: C \rightarrow X$ be a nonconstant morphism from a complete nonsingular curve $C$ such that $\gamma(C) \cap\left(X-\Delta_{2,1}-f^{-1}(B)\right) \neq \emptyset$. Suppose first that $\gamma(C)$ is contained in a fiber of $f$, say $\gamma(C) \subseteq X_{y}$. Since $\gamma: C \rightarrow X_{y}$ is finite, it suffices to show that $\left.\Omega_{X}\right|_{X_{y}}$ is ample. Note that $y \notin \Delta_{1,2}$ and hence $X_{y} \cap \Delta_{2,1}=\emptyset$. Since
$y \notin B$, the same argument as in the proof of Corollary 2.7 shows that the short exact sequence

$$
\left.\left.\left.0 \rightarrow T_{X / Y}\right|_{X_{y}} \rightarrow T_{X}\right|_{X_{y}} \rightarrow f^{*} T_{Y}\right|_{X_{y}} \rightarrow 0
$$

does not split. Hence,

$$
\left.\left.\left.0 \rightarrow f^{*} \Omega_{Y}^{1}\left(\log \Delta_{1,2}\right)\right|_{X_{y}} \rightarrow \Omega_{X}^{1}\left(\log \Delta_{2,1}\right)\right|_{X_{y}} \rightarrow \Omega_{X / Y}^{1}\left(\log \Delta_{2,1}\right)\right|_{X_{y}} \rightarrow 0
$$

does not split. Now $\left.f^{*} \Omega_{Y}^{1}\left(\log \Delta_{1,2}\right)\right|_{X_{y}} \simeq \mathscr{O}_{X_{y}}$, and $\left.\Omega_{X / Y}^{1}\left(\log \Delta_{2,1}\right)\right|_{X_{y}} \simeq \omega_{X_{y}}$ is ample since $y \notin \Delta_{1,2}$. Thus, by Lemma 2.5, $\left.\Omega_{X}\right|_{X_{y}}$ is an ample vector bundle on $X_{y}$.

Next suppose $\gamma(C)$ is not contained in a fiber of $f$, so that $f \gamma: C \rightarrow Y$ is nonconstant. Since $\omega_{Y} \otimes \mathscr{O}_{Y}\left(\Delta_{1,2}\right)$ is ample, $\gamma^{*} f^{*}\left(\omega_{Y} \otimes \mathscr{O}_{Y}\left(\Delta_{1,2}\right)\right)$ is also ample. To show that $\gamma^{*} \Omega_{X}^{1}\left(\log \Delta_{2,1}\right)$ is ample, it suffices to show that $\gamma^{*} \Omega_{X / Y}^{1}\left(\log \Delta_{2,1}\right)$ is ample. We have a smooth family $X-\Delta_{2,1}-f^{-1} B \rightarrow Y-\Delta_{1,2}-B$ that satisfies the conditions of Theorem 2.3(ii); thus, for $m$ sufficiently large and divisible, $f_{*} \Omega_{X / Y}^{1}\left(\log \Delta_{2,1}+f^{-1} B\right)^{m}$ is ample with respect to $Y-\Delta_{1,2}-B$. Furthermore, for $m$ sufficiently large,

$$
f^{*} f_{*} \Omega_{X / Y}^{1}\left(\log \Delta_{2,1}+f^{-1} B\right)^{m} \rightarrow \Omega_{X / Y}^{1}\left(\log \Delta_{2,1}+f^{-1} B\right)^{m}
$$

is surjective over $X-\Delta_{2,1}-f^{-1} B$. Since $\gamma(C) \cap\left(X-\Delta_{2,1}-f^{-1}(B)\right) \neq \emptyset$, we find that $\gamma^{*} \Omega_{X / Y}^{1}\left(\log \Delta_{2,1}+f^{-1} B\right)^{m}$ is ample with respect to a dense open set of the curve $C$ and hence that $\gamma^{*} \Omega_{X / Y}^{1}\left(\log \Delta_{2,1}+f^{-1} B\right)$ is an ample line bundle on $C$. Since $f^{-1} B$ is reduced, $\gamma^{*} \Omega_{X / Y}^{1}\left(\log \Delta_{2,1}+f^{-1} B\right) \simeq \gamma^{*} \Omega_{X / Y}^{1}\left(\log \Delta_{2,1}\right)$ is also ample.

Thus, we have the short exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \gamma^{*} f^{*} \Omega_{Y}^{1}\left(\log \Delta_{1,2}\right) \rightarrow \gamma^{*} \Omega_{X}^{1}\left(\log \Delta_{2,1}\right) \rightarrow \gamma^{*} \Omega_{X / Y}^{1}\left(\log \Delta_{2,1}\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

with the outer terms ample, so $\gamma^{*} \Omega_{X}^{1}\left(\log \Delta_{2,1}\right)$ is ample. Therefore, $\Omega_{X}^{1}\left(\log \Delta_{2,1}\right)$ is quasi-ample with respect to $X-\Delta_{2,1}-f^{-1}(B)$.

We now prove the general case.
Theorem 2.16. Let

$$
X^{n} \xrightarrow{f_{n}} X^{n-1} \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} X^{n-2} \xrightarrow{f_{n-2}} \cdots \xrightarrow{f_{3}} X^{2} \xrightarrow{f_{2}} X^{1},
$$

where each $X^{i}$ is a smooth projective variety over $\mathbb{C}$ of dimension $i$. Suppose that, for $2 \leq i \leq n$ :
(i) $f_{i}: X^{i} \rightarrow X^{i-1}$ is a flat projective morphism with $\operatorname{Var}\left(f_{i}\right)=\operatorname{dim} X^{i-1}$; and
(ii) $\omega_{X_{y}^{i}}$ is ample for all $y \notin \Delta_{i-1, i}:=\left\{y \in X^{i-1} \mid f_{i}^{-1}(y)\right.$ is singular $\}$.

Then, if $\Delta_{i}$ and $\Delta_{i+1, i}$ (as defined previously) are normal crossing divisors, the sheaf $\Omega_{X^{n}}^{1}\left(\log \Delta_{n, n-1}\right)$ is quasi-ample with respect to $X^{n}-\Delta_{n, n-1}-f_{n}^{-1}\left(B_{n-1}\right)$.

Proof. We will prove this by induction on $n$. By Theorem 2.15, the theorem is true for $n=2$. Suppose it is true for $n-1$. Let $X:=X^{n}$; then we have the short exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow f_{n}^{*} \Omega_{X^{n-1}}^{1}\left(\log \Delta_{n-1}\right) \rightarrow \Omega_{X}^{1}\left(\log \Delta_{n, n-1}\right) \rightarrow \Omega_{X / X^{n-1}}^{1}\left(\log \Delta_{n, n-1}\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

Let $\gamma: C \rightarrow X$ be a nonconstant morphism from a complete nonsingular curve $C$ such that $\gamma(C) \cap\left(X-\Delta_{n, n-1}-f_{n}^{-1}\left(B_{n-1}\right)\right) \neq \emptyset$. Suppose first that $\gamma(C)$ is contained in a fiber of $f_{n}$, say $\gamma(C) \subseteq X_{y}$ for some $y \in X^{n-1}$. We must show that $\left.\Omega_{X}\left(\log \Delta_{n, n-1}\right)\right|_{X_{y}}$ is ample. Note that $y \notin B_{n-1} \cup \Delta_{n-1}$ and, in particular, that $X_{y} \cap \Delta_{n, n-1}=\emptyset$.

Observe that $\Delta_{i, 1} \subseteq \Delta_{i, i-1}$ for all $i$, since if $t \in \Delta_{1, i}$, then $f_{i}^{-1} \cdots f_{2}^{-1}(t)$ is singular and hence there exists a $y \in X_{t}^{j}$ for some $1 \leq j \leq i-1$ such that $X_{y}^{j+1}$ is singular (i.e., $y \in \Delta_{j, j+1}$ ). Thus, $\Delta_{i, 1} \subseteq f_{i}^{*} \Delta_{i-1}=\Delta_{i, i-1}$. So if we define $s:=$ $f_{2} f_{3} \cdots f_{n-1}(y) \in X^{1}$, then $s \notin \Delta_{i, 1}$ for all $i \leq n$ and so each $X_{s}^{i}$ is a smooth projective variety over $\mathbb{C}$ of dimension $i-1$. Let $h=f_{2} f_{3} \cdots f_{n-1} f_{n}: X=X^{n} \rightarrow$ $X^{1}$. Then we have the short exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow T_{X / X^{1}}\left(-\log \Delta_{n, n-1}\right) \rightarrow T_{X}\left(-\log \Delta_{n, n-1}\right) \rightarrow h^{*} T_{X^{1}}\left(-\log \Delta_{1, n}\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

Restricting to $X_{s}$ gives

$$
\left.\left.0 \rightarrow T_{X_{s}}\left(-\log \left(\left.\Delta_{n, n-1}\right|_{X_{s}}\right)\right) \rightarrow T_{X}\left(-\log \Delta_{n, n-1}\right)\right|_{X_{s}} \rightarrow h^{*} T_{X^{1}}\right|_{X_{s}} \rightarrow 0
$$

and restricting further to $X_{y}$ gives

$$
\left.\left.\left.0 \rightarrow T_{X_{s}}\right|_{X_{y}} \rightarrow T_{X}\right|_{X_{y}} \rightarrow h^{*} T_{X^{1}}\right|_{X_{y}} \rightarrow 0
$$

As in the smooth case of Theorem 2.11, we find that

$$
\left.\left.\left.0 \rightarrow h^{*} \Omega_{X^{1}}\right|_{X_{y}} \rightarrow \Omega_{X}\right|_{X_{y}} \rightarrow \Omega_{X_{s}}\right|_{X_{y}} \rightarrow 0
$$

does not split. Thus,

$$
\left.\left.0 \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{X_{y}} \rightarrow \Omega_{X}^{1}\left(\log \Delta_{n, n-1}\right)\right|_{X_{y}} \rightarrow \Omega_{X_{s}}^{1}\left(\log \left(\left.\Delta_{n, n-1}\right|_{X_{s}}\right)\right)\right|_{X_{y}} \rightarrow 0
$$

does not split. To show that $\left.\Omega_{X}^{1}\left(\log \Delta_{n, n-1}\right)\right|_{X y}$ is ample, it suffices to show that $\Omega_{X_{s}}^{1}\left(\left.\log \left(\left.\Delta_{n, n-1}\right|_{X_{s}}\right)\right|_{X_{y}}\right.$ is ample.

We have

$$
X_{s}=X_{s}^{n} \xrightarrow{\left(f_{n}\right)_{s}} X_{s}^{n-1} \xrightarrow{\left(f_{n-1}\right)_{s}} \cdots \longrightarrow X_{s}^{3} \xrightarrow{\left(f_{3}\right)_{s}} X_{s}^{2} \text {, }
$$

where each $X_{s}^{i}$ is a smooth projective variety over $\mathbb{C}$ of dimension $i-1$ and where each $\left(f_{i}\right)_{s}: X_{s}^{i} \rightarrow X_{s}^{i-1}$ is a flat projective morphism with the property that $\operatorname{Var}\left(f_{i}\right)_{s}=\operatorname{dim}\left(X^{i-1}\right)_{s}$. Furthermore, $\omega_{\left(X_{s}^{i}\right) y}$ is ample for all $\left.y \notin \Delta_{i-1, i}\right|_{X_{s}^{i-1}}$.

For $2 \leq i \leq n-1$, define

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{i, s}^{\prime}:=\left\{p \in X_{s}^{i}-\left.\Delta_{i, i+1}\right|_{X_{s}^{i}} \mid \alpha_{i, s}: H^{0}\right. & \left(X_{p}^{i+1},\left.\left(f_{i+1}\right)_{s}^{*} T_{X_{s}^{i}}\right|_{X_{p}^{i+1}}\right) \\
& \left.\rightarrow H^{1}\left(\left(X_{s}^{i+1}\right)_{p}, T_{\left(X_{s}^{i+1}\right)_{p}}\right) \text { is not injective }\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

As seen in Theorem 2.11, $B_{i, s}^{\prime} \subseteq B_{i}^{\prime} \cap X_{s}^{i}$. Set $B_{2, s}:=B_{2, s}^{\prime}$, and for $3 \leq i \leq n$ set

$$
B_{i, s}:=B_{i, s}^{\prime}+\left(f_{i}\right)_{s}^{-1}\left(B_{i-1, s}\right)
$$

Then, by induction, $\Omega_{X_{s}}^{1}\left(\log \left(\left.\Delta_{n, n-1}\right|_{X_{s}}\right)\right)$ is quasi-ample with respect to

$$
X_{s}-\left(f_{n}\right)_{s}^{-1}\left(B_{n-1, s}\right)-\left.\Delta_{n, n-1}\right|_{X_{s}}
$$

I claim that $\left(f_{i}\right)_{s}^{-1}\left(B_{i-1, s}\right) \subseteq\left(f_{i}\right)_{s}^{-1}\left(B_{i-1} \cap X_{s}^{i-1}\right)$; indeed for $i=2$ this is true because $B_{2, s}=B_{2, s}^{\prime}$, so

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(f_{i}\right)_{s}^{-1}\left(B_{i-1, s}\right) & =\left(f_{i}\right)_{s}^{-1}\left(B_{i-1, s}^{\prime}+\left(f_{i-1}\right)_{s}^{-1}\left(B_{i-2, s}\right)\right) \\
& \subseteq\left(f_{i}\right)_{s}^{-1}\left(B_{i-1}^{\prime} \cap X_{s}^{i-1}+f_{i-1}^{-1}\left(B_{i-2} \cap X_{s}^{i-2}\right)\right) \\
& =\left(f_{i}\right)_{s}^{-1}\left(B_{i-1} \cap X_{s}^{i-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\emptyset & \neq X_{y} \cap\left(X-\Delta_{n, n-1}-f_{n}^{-1}\left(B_{n-1}\right)\right) \\
& =X_{y} \cap\left(X_{s}-\left.\Delta_{n, n-1}\right|_{s}-f_{n}^{-1}\left(B_{n-1} \cap X_{s}^{i-1}\right)\right) \\
& \subseteq X_{y} \cap\left(X_{s}-\left(f_{n}\right)_{s}^{-1}\left(B_{n-1, s}\right)-\left.\Delta_{n, n-1}\right|_{X_{s}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

it follows that $\Omega_{X_{s}}^{1}\left(\left.\log \left(\left.\Delta_{n, n-1}\right|_{X_{s}}\right)\right|_{X_{y}}\right.$ is ample.
Now suppose $\gamma(C)$ is not in a fiber of $f_{n}$ so that $f_{n} \gamma: C \rightarrow X^{n-1}$ is nonconstant. By induction, we have that $\Omega_{X^{n-1}}^{1}\left(\log \Delta_{n-1, n-2}\right)$ is quasi-ample with respect to $X^{n-1}-\Delta_{n-1, n-2}-f_{n-1}^{-1}\left(B_{n-2}\right)$. Then, since $\Delta_{n-1}:=\left(\Delta_{n-1, n-2}+\Delta_{n-1, n}\right)_{\text {red }}$, it follows from Lemma 2.13 that $\Omega_{X^{n-1}}^{1}\left(\log \Delta_{n-1}\right)$ is quasi-ample with respect to $X^{n-1}-\Delta_{n-1}-f_{n-1}^{-1}\left(B_{n-2}\right)$.

Therefore, we have the short exact sequence

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 \rightarrow \gamma^{*} f_{n}^{*} \Omega_{X^{n-1}}^{1}\left(\log \Delta_{n-1}\right) & \rightarrow \gamma^{*} \Omega_{X}^{1}\left(\log \Delta_{n, n-1}\right) \\
& \rightarrow \gamma^{*} \Omega_{X / X^{n-1}}^{1}\left(\log \Delta_{n, n-1}\right) \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

with $\gamma^{*} f_{n}^{*} \Omega_{X^{n-1}}^{1}\left(\log \Delta_{n-1}\right)$ ample, so it suffices to show $\gamma^{*} \Omega_{X / X^{n-1}}^{1}\left(\log \Delta_{n, n-1}\right)$ is ample.

As in Theorem 2.15, we have a smooth family $X-\Delta_{n, n-1}-f_{n}^{-1} B_{n-1} \rightarrow$ $Y-\Delta_{n-1}-B_{n-1}$ that satisfies the conditions of Theorem 2.3(ii); thus, for $m$ sufficiently large and divisible, $f_{*} \Omega_{X / Y}^{1}\left(\log \Delta_{n, n-1}+f^{-1} B\right)^{m}$ is ample with respect to $Y-\Delta_{n-1}-B_{n-1}$. Furthermore, for $m$ sufficiently large,

$$
f^{*} f_{*} \Omega_{X / X^{n-1}}^{1}\left(\log \Delta_{n, n-1}+f^{-1} B_{n-1}\right)^{m} \rightarrow \Omega_{X / X^{n-1}}^{1}\left(\log \Delta_{n-1}+f^{-1} B_{n-1}\right)^{m}
$$

is surjective over $X-\Delta_{n-1, n}-f^{-1} B_{n-1}$. Since $\gamma(C) \cap\left(X-\Delta_{n-1, n}-f^{-1} B_{n-1}\right) \neq$ $\emptyset$, we find that $\gamma^{*} \Omega_{X / X^{n-1}}^{1}\left(\log \Delta_{n-1}+f^{-1} B_{n-1}\right)$ is an ample line bundle on $C$. Because $f^{-1} B_{n-1}$ is reduced, it follows that $\gamma^{*} \Omega_{X / X^{n-1}}^{1}\left(\log \Delta_{n-1}+f^{-1} B_{n-1}\right) \simeq$ $\gamma^{*} \Omega_{X / X^{n-1}}^{1}\left(\log \Delta_{n-1}\right)$. Therefore, $\Omega_{X^{n}}^{1}\left(\log \Delta_{n, n-1}\right)$ is quasi-ample with respect to $X^{n}-\Delta_{n, n-1}-f_{n}^{-1}\left(B_{n-1}\right)$.

## 3. Constructing Towers of Smooth Projective Varieties

In this section we construct a tower of smooth projective varieties over the complex numbers as well as smooth morphisms between them of maximal variation. We first recall a construction of Kodaira that, for any $n$, produces a $g$ for which $\mathscr{M}_{g}$ contains a complete $n$-dimensional subvariety (see $[7 ; 16]$ ).

Lemma 3.1 [16]. Let $K$ be a field, $D$ a curve over $K$ of genus $g(D) \geq 2$, and $Q \in D(K)$. Suppose $\operatorname{char}(K) \neq 2,3$. Then there exists a finite extension $K \subset L$ and a covering $C \rightarrow D$ of degree 3, defined over $L$, that is totally ramified in $C \ni$ $P \mapsto Q \in D$ and unramified elsewhere.

By keeping $D$ fixed and letting the point $Q$ vary, we construct a family of nonsingular projective curves parameterized by a covering $D^{\prime}$ of $D$ whose image is a complete curve in $\mathscr{M}_{g(C)}$, where $g(C)=3 g(D)-1$. Iterating this constructionthat is, considering all covers of degree 3 of curves in the family $\left\{C_{\lambda}\right\}$ ramified at one point-we obtain a complete 2 -dimensional family of curves of genus $9 g(D)-4$. In general, we obtain a complete $n$-dimensional family of curves of genus $3^{n} g(D)-\left(3^{n}-1\right) / 2$.

We now work over $\mathbb{C}$ and fix a curve $C_{0}$ of genus 2 . Consider $\left\{C_{\lambda}\right\}$ the set of degree- 3 covers of $C_{0}$ ramified in one point. These covers are parameterized by some curve $B_{0}$, a cover of $C_{0}$. Thus we get a map $g^{\prime}:\left\{C_{\lambda}\right\} \rightarrow B_{0}$ with fibers $C_{\lambda}$ for $\lambda \in B_{0}$. Now $\left\{\left[C_{\lambda}\right]\right\}$, the image of $\left\{C_{\lambda}\right\}$ in $\mathscr{M}_{5}$, is a complete curve; hence $g^{\prime}$ must be nonisotrivial.

For each $\lambda \in B_{0}$, we can iterate this construction. For a fixed $\lambda_{0} \in B_{0}$, consider $\left\{C_{\lambda_{0}, \mu}\right\}$, the set of degree-3 covers of $C_{\lambda_{0}}$ ramified in one point and parameterized by some curve $B_{\lambda_{0}}$. For this fixed $\lambda_{0},\left\{\left[C_{\lambda_{0}, \mu}\right]\right\}$ is a complete curve in $\mathscr{M}_{14}$, so $f_{\lambda_{0}}:\left\{C_{\lambda_{0}, \mu}\right\} \rightarrow B_{\lambda_{0}}$ is nonisotrivial. Letting $\lambda$ vary, we obtain a smooth projective morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$, where $X=\left\{C_{\lambda, \mu}\right\}$ and $Y=\left\{B_{\lambda}\right\}$ are projective varieties of dimension 3 and 2, respectively. I claim that for any $p \in Y$ the set $\left\{q \in Y \mid X_{p} \simeq X_{q}\right\}$ is finite, so in particular $\operatorname{Var} f=2$. Indeed, if $p, q \in B_{\lambda_{0}}$ for some $\lambda_{0}$ then, since $f_{\lambda_{0}}$ is nonisotrivial, $X_{p}$ is not isomorphic to $X_{q}$. Next suppose that $F_{1}$ is any fiber of $f$ with $F_{1} \in C_{\lambda_{1}, \mu}$; then, in particular, $F_{1}$ is a covering of $C_{\lambda_{1}}$. But $F_{1}$ can cover only finitely many curves, so $F_{1}$ can be isomorphic to at most finitely many other fibers $F_{i} \in C_{\lambda_{i}, \mu}$. Thus any fiber of $f$ is isomorphic to finitely many other fibers and hence, for any $p \in Y$, the set $\left\{q \in Y \mid X_{p} \simeq X_{q}\right\}$ is finite.

Let $g: Y \rightarrow B_{0}$ be the composition $Y=\left\{B_{\lambda}\right\} \rightarrow\left\{C_{\lambda}\right\} \rightarrow B_{0}$. If $B_{\lambda} \simeq B_{\lambda^{\prime}}$ for general $\lambda, \lambda^{\prime} \in B_{0}$, then either $C_{\lambda} \simeq C_{\lambda^{\prime}}$ for general $\lambda, \lambda^{\prime} \in B_{0}$ or $B_{\lambda}$ covers infinitely many nonisomorphic curves, which leads to a contradiction in either case. Hence $g: Y \rightarrow B_{0}$ is nonisotrivial. Thus we have the tower

$$
X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} B_{0}
$$

of smooth projective varieties with $\operatorname{dim} X=3, \operatorname{dim} Y=2, \operatorname{dim} B_{0}=1$, and smooth morphisms of maximal variation. One can iterate this construction to get a tower

$$
X^{n} \xrightarrow{f_{n}} X^{n-1} \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} X^{n-2} \xrightarrow{f_{n-2}} \cdots \xrightarrow{f_{3}} X^{2} \xrightarrow{f_{2}} X^{1},
$$

where each $X^{i}$ is a smooth projective variety over $\mathbb{C}$ of dimension $i$ and where, for $2 \leq i \leq n, f_{i}: X^{i} \rightarrow X^{i-1}$ is a smooth projective morphism satisfying the property that, for all $y \in X^{i-1}$, the set $\left\{p \in Y \mid X_{p}^{i} \simeq X_{y}^{i}\right\}$ is finite and so, in particular, $\operatorname{Var}\left(f_{i}\right)=\operatorname{dim} X^{i-1}$.
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