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## Lecture 7: Purpose

The purpose of this lecture is to make a transition from the biostatistics part of the course to
Marketa Zvelebil \& Jeremy Baum: Understanding bioinformatics. 2008, Garland Science.
(to be referred to as ZB ) in the sense that the lecture deals with basics of the Markov statistical models underlying some of chapter 6 and other sections of ZB.

## Lecture 7: Contents

- an introductory example of a Markov chain: McCabe's library
- Markov chain concepts: transition probability, Markov property, state diagram, trellis
- Markov chains and genomic sequence analysis
- Hidden Markov Model (HMM) and sequence analysis
(1) HMM for sequence heterogeneity
(2) HMM for Protein families
- Algorithms for Hidden Markov Models (are named)


## A generic introduction, neither biostatistics nor bioinformatics involved here

## Learning outcomes:

- state
- state diagram/graph
- trellis
- transition
- transition probability
- Markov property


## Introduction: Linnea's library

Linnea has a set of three books on a bookshelf. These are (1) L. Råde \& B. Westergren: BETA, (2) G. Blom: Probability och Statistics, (3) F. Gustafsson \& N. Bergman: MATLAB ${ }^{R}$ for Engineers.


## Linnea's library

Every time Linnea has consulted one of these books, she will insert the book back on the shelf as the first one from the left. The figure depicts the change in the order of the books after Linnea has sought advice and inspiration from the book by G. Blom and put it back to the shelf.


## Linnea's library

Linnea never takes two or three books from the shelf at a time and neither does she introduce new books on the shelf or lets anyone else tamper with the valuable books. Let us assume that the popularities (or the relative frequencies) for Linnea to pick each and every of the three books can be described by the distribution $p_{i}>0, i=1,2,3$, respectively, $p_{1}+p_{2}+p_{3}=1$. In addition we assume that Linnea picks up the books independently of each other.

## Linnea's library: a sequence of transitions

Suppose that the books are initially ordered as $M \beta B$. Linnea picks up then books in the sequence

$$
\begin{array}{llllll}
\beta & \beta & B & M & B
\end{array}
$$

and returns them. The order of three books in the shelf changes as follows:

$$
M \beta B \mapsto \beta M B \mapsto \beta M B \mapsto B \beta M \mapsto M B \beta \mapsto B M \beta \mapsto \beta M B
$$

This sequence of orders (read from the left) of the books depicts a sequence of transitions between randomly chosen triplets.

## Linnea's library: states and state space

We shall refer to the triplets of books as states. We call

$$
\mathcal{S}=\{\beta B M, \beta M B, B \beta M, B M \beta, M \beta B, M B \beta\}
$$

the state space.

## Linnea's library: state graph

The states are visualized as nodes in the state graph.



## Linnea's library: state graph \& transitions

The possible transitions from $\beta B M$ are depicted by an arrow with the corresponding probability


## Linnea's library: state graph \& transitions

The possible transitions from $\beta B M$ and from $\beta M B$ are depicted by an arrow with the corresponding probability


Linnea's library: state graph \& transitions

All possible transitions are depicted by an arrow with the corresponding


## Library: a Matrix

| $p_{1}=\operatorname{Pr}(\beta)$, | $p_{2}=$ | $\operatorname{Pr}(B)$, | $p_{3}=\operatorname{Pr}(M)$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\beta \mathrm{BM}$ | $\beta \mathrm{MB}$ | $\mathrm{B} \beta \mathrm{M}$ | $\mathrm{BM} \beta$ | $\mathrm{M} \beta \mathrm{B}$ | $\mathrm{M} \mathrm{B} \beta$ |
| $\beta \mathrm{BM}$ | $p_{1}$ | 0 | $p_{2}$ | 0 | $p_{3}$ | 0 |
| $\beta \mathrm{MB}$ | 0 | $p_{1}$ | $p_{2}$ | 0 | $p_{3}$ | 0 |
| $\mathrm{~B} \beta \mathrm{M}$ | $p_{1}$ | 0 | $p_{2}$ | 0 | 0 | $p_{3}$ |
| $\mathrm{BM} \beta$ | $p_{1}$ | 0 | 0 | $p_{2}$ | 0 | $p_{3}$ |
| $\mathrm{M} \beta \mathrm{B}$ | 0 | $p_{1}$ | 0 | $p_{2}$ | $p_{3}$ | 0 |
| $\mathrm{M} \mathrm{B} \beta$ | 0 | $p_{1}$ | 0 | $p_{2}$ | 0 | $p_{3}$ |.

## Linnea's library: Transition probability Matrix

To be understood as follows: take a state in the left column. Say, e.g., $\beta$ MB . In the row corresponding to $\beta \mathrm{MB}$ you find the conditional probabilities of transition to any other state. $\beta \mathrm{BM} \quad \beta \mathrm{MB} \quad \mathrm{B} \beta \mathrm{M} \quad \mathrm{BM} \beta \quad \mathrm{M} \beta \mathrm{B} \quad \mathrm{M} \boldsymbol{B} \beta$

| $\beta \operatorname{BM}$ | $p_{1}$ | 0 | $p_{2}$ | 0 | $p_{3}$ | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\beta \mathrm{MB}$ | 0 | $p_{1}$ | $p_{2}$ | 0 | $p_{3}$ | 0 |
| $\mathrm{~B} \beta \mathrm{M}$ | $p_{1}$ | 0 | $p_{2}$ | 0 | 0 | $p_{3}$ |
| $\mathrm{BM} \beta$ | $p_{1}$ | 0 | 0 | $p_{2}$ | 0 | $p_{3}$ |
| $\mathrm{M} \beta \mathrm{B}$ | 0 | $p_{1}$ | 0 | $p_{2}$ | $p_{3}$ | 0 |
| M B $\beta$ | 0 | $p_{1}$ | 0 | $p_{2}$ | 0 | $p_{3}$ |

## Transition probability

The conditional probabilities of the next state given the current state are called transition probabilities.

## Linnea's library: Transition probability Matrix

The sum of transition probabilities on every row is $=1$. $\beta \mathrm{BM} \quad \beta \mathrm{MB} \quad \mathrm{B} \beta \mathrm{M} \quad \mathrm{BM} \beta \quad \mathrm{M} \beta \mathrm{B} \quad \mathrm{M} \operatorname{B} \beta$
$\beta$ BM $p_{1}$

0
$\beta M B$
$B \quad \beta M$
$p_{1}$
$p_{2}$
$0 \quad p_{2}$
$0 \quad p_{2}$
$p_{1}$
MB $\beta$ 0
0
$p_{1}$
BM $\beta \quad p_{1}$
M $\beta$ B 0
$p_{1}$
0
$\begin{array}{ll}p_{3} & 0 \\ p_{3} & 0\end{array}$

## Trellis in gardening

A trellis is a structure, usually made from an open framework or lattice of interwoven or intersecting pieces of wood, bamboo or metal that is usually made to support and display climbing plants.


## Trellis in mathematics \& engineering

A trellis is a graph whose nodes are ordered into vertical slices (time), and with each node at each time connected to at least one node at an earlier and at least one node at a later time.

## Trellis: shows all possible paths of state transitions


-

## Trellis: shows all possible paths of state transitions



## A sequence of states visualized in the trellis

Start with $B \beta M$, pick up books in the sequence $\beta \quad B \quad M$. The sequence of states is the green path in the trellis:


## A bit more on trellises later in this lecture

There will be more about trellises of Markov chains, when we mention the problem of the most probable path of a Hidden Markov model.

## The probability of a sequence of states

Start with $M \beta B$, pick up books in the sequence $\beta \quad \beta \quad B \quad M \quad B \quad \beta$ Then the corresponding sequence of states is

$$
M \beta B \mapsto \beta M B \mapsto \beta M B \mapsto B \beta M \mapsto M B \beta \mapsto B M \beta \mapsto \beta B M
$$

The probability of the sequence is

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{Pr}(M \beta B \mapsto \beta M B \mapsto \beta M B \mapsto B \beta M \mapsto M B \beta \mapsto B M \beta \mapsto \beta B M) \\
=p_{1} p_{1} p_{2} p_{3} p_{2} p_{1}
\end{gathered}
$$

We multiply the transition probabilities as Linnea picks up the books independently.

## Linnea's library: random variables

Let us set
$X_{n} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}$ the state after Linnea has returned a book for the $n$th time
The values of $X_{n}$ are in $\mathcal{S}=\{\beta B M, \beta M B, B \beta M, B M \beta, M \beta B, M B \beta\}$.

- $X_{n}$ is a random variable whose values are not numbers!
- the random variables $X_{0}, X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}, \ldots$ are NOT independent.


## Linnea's library: random variables

$X_{n}=$ the state after Linnea has returned a book for the $n$th time and then we have, e.g,

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left(X_{n+1}=M B \beta \mid X_{n}=B \beta M\right)=p_{3}
$$

e.t.c..

## Linnea's library: random variables

$\operatorname{Pr}(M \beta B \mapsto \beta M B \mapsto \beta M B \mapsto B \beta M \mapsto M B \beta \mapsto B M \beta \mapsto \beta M B)$

$$
=p_{1} p_{1} p_{2} p_{3} p_{2} p_{1}
$$

$$
=\operatorname{Pr}\left(X_{1}=\beta M B \mid X_{0}=M \beta B\right) \operatorname{Pr}\left(X_{2}=\beta M B \mid X_{1}=\beta M B\right) \operatorname{Pr}\left(X_{3}=B \beta M \mid X_{2}=\beta M B\right)
$$

$$
\cdot \operatorname{Pr}\left(X_{4}=M B \beta \mid X_{3}=B \beta M\right)
$$

$$
\cdot \operatorname{Pr}\left(X_{5}=B M \beta \mid X_{4}=M B \beta\right) \operatorname{Pr}\left(X_{6}=\beta B M \mid X_{5}=B M \beta\right)
$$

## Linnea's library: random variables

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Pr}\left(X_{0}=M \beta B, X_{1}=\beta M B, X_{2}=\beta M B, X_{3}=B \beta M, X_{4}=M B \beta, X_{5}=B M \beta, X_{6}=\beta M B\right)= \\
& \operatorname{Pr}\left(X_{1}=\beta M B \mid X_{0}=M \beta B\right) \operatorname{Pr}\left(X_{2}=\beta M B \mid X_{1}=\beta M B\right) \\
& \cdot \operatorname{Pr}\left(X_{3}=B \beta M \mid X_{2}=\beta M B\right) \operatorname{Pr}\left(X_{4}=M B \beta \mid X_{3}=B \beta M\right) \\
& \cdot \operatorname{Pr}\left(X_{5}=B M \beta \mid X_{4}=M B \beta\right) \operatorname{Pr}\left(X_{6}=\beta B M \mid X_{5}=B M \beta\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

We should multiply this by a start probability $\operatorname{Pr}\left(X_{0}=M \beta B\right)$, but we take this for simplicity as $=1$.

## Markov property (1)

Consider the following:

$$
\underbrace{X_{0} X_{1} \ldots X_{n-1}}_{\text {Past }} \underbrace{X_{n}}_{\text {Present }} \underbrace{X_{n+1}}_{\text {Future }}
$$

Or, to be more concrete

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\underbrace{}_{\begin{array}{l}
X_{0}=M \beta B, X_{1}=\beta M B, X_{2}=\beta M B, X_{3}=B \beta M, X_{4}=M B \beta \\
\underbrace{x_{5}=B M \beta}_{\text {Past }} \\
\underbrace{x_{6}=\beta B M}_{\text {Puture }}
\end{array}} .
\end{array}
$$

Then we want to find

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left(X_{6}=\beta B M \mid \text { Present, Past }\right) .
$$

This can be seen as a prediction of the future given present and past

## Markov property (2)

By definition of conditional probability

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left(X_{6}=\beta B M \mid \text { Present, Past }\right)=\frac{\operatorname{Pr}\left(X_{6}=\beta B M, \text { Present, Past }\right)}{\operatorname{Pr}(\text { Present, Past })}
$$

From the above this is equal to

$$
=\frac{p_{1} p_{1} p_{2} p_{3} p_{2} p_{1}}{p_{1} p_{1} p_{2} p_{3} p_{2}}=p_{1}=\operatorname{Pr}\left(X_{6}=\beta B M \mid X_{5}=B M \beta\right)
$$

Note that the zero transition probabilities can be handled by canceling zeros in the numerator and denominator.

## Markov property (3)

We found that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{Pr}\left(X_{6}=\beta M B \mid \text { Present, Past }\right)=\operatorname{Pr}\left(X_{6}=\beta M B \mid X_{5}=B M \beta\right) \\
=\operatorname{Pr}\left(X_{6}=\beta M B \mid \text { Present }\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Because Linnea picks up a new book independently of each other, the sequence $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}, \ldots$ lacks memory (of the past). This is what is known as the Markov property: for finding the probability of any the future state it is enough to know the present state, the past plays no role.

## The frog pond, where the frog jumps from leaf to leaf and loses memory (suffers amnesia) at each jump



三

## Real name $=$ McCabe's library

The preceding library stuff is a special case of a known model for self-organization of linear lists of data records and is called McCabe's library. It is of interest in computer science as dynamic file management and cache management.

## End of introduction



## Markov chains

Think of any state space $\mathcal{S}$ with a finite number of discrete states. Let $X_{0}, X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n-1}, X_{n}, X_{n+1}, \ldots$ be a sequence of r.v.'s with values in $\mathcal{S}$ and such that if

$$
\underbrace{X_{0} X_{1} \ldots X_{n-1}}_{\text {Past }} \underbrace{X_{n}}_{\text {Present }} \underbrace{X_{n+1}}_{\text {Future }}
$$

then Markov property holds.

$$
\operatorname{Pr}(\text { Future } \mid \text { Present, Past })=\operatorname{Pr}(\text { Future } \mid \text { Present }) .
$$

Then we call $X_{0}, X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n-1}, X_{n}, X_{n+1}, \ldots$ a Markov chain.

## Markov chains

## Learning outcomes:

- You can invent Markov chains for your purposes by giving the state graph!
- In bioinformatics the state graph is often called the architecture of the model.


## Example: A terminating Markov chain (1)

This is a terminating Markov chain. All states are transient (i.e. if the chain leaves a state, it will not return to it), except one (=STOP) which is absorbing, i.e., where the chain terminates. The length of the sequence at termination is random.


## A terminating Markov chain (2)

This architecture is used for length modeling in gene prediction (with a hidden Markov model).

Munch, Kasper and Krogh, Anders: Automatic generation of gene finders for eukaryotic species, BMC bioinformatics, 2006, vol. 7,nr. 1, pp. 263,


## A binary Markov chain

Consider a binary M.c., i.e., each $X_{n}$ is either 0 or 1 .


## A binary Markov chain

This Markov chain has the transition matrix

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1-p & p \\
q & 1-q
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $0 \leq p \leq 1$ and $0 \leq q \leq 1$, i.e.,

$$
p=\operatorname{Pr}\left(X_{1}=1 \mid X_{0}=0\right), q=\operatorname{Pr}\left(X_{1}=0 \mid X_{0}=1\right)
$$

As in the case of Linnea's library, these do not depend on $n$,

$$
p=\operatorname{Pr}\left(X_{n+1}=1 \mid X_{n}=0\right), q=\operatorname{Pr}\left(X_{n+1}=0 \mid X_{n}=1\right)
$$

The chain is called (time)homogenous.

## A binary Markov chain

This Markov chain has no state of termination and generates a sequence of bits.


## Trellis

The trellis of the binary M.c..


## Markov chains \& genomic sequences

## Learning outcomes:

- genomic sequence as a linear sequence
- Markov chain for DNA sequences
- transition probability
- probability of a sequence
- estimation of the transition probabilities


## Markov chains and DNA



## Markov chains for DNA sequences

We disregard for the current purposes the double helix structure and think of a linear sequence of nucleotides read from left to right. Here $X_{1}=T$ says that the nucleotide at site 1 is $=T$. We shall now regard this as an outcome of a random variable.


## Markov chains for DNA sequences

Now we set, e.g.,

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left(X_{n+1}=A \mid X_{n}=T\right)=p_{\mathrm{T} \mid \mathrm{A}}
$$

(does not depend on $n$ )

| $X_{0}$ | $X_{1}$ | $X_{2}$ | $X_{3}$ | $X_{4}$ | $X_{5}$ | $X_{6}$ | $X_{7}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| $A$ | $T$ | $C$ | $C$ | $G$ | $T$ | $A$ | $G$ |

## | $\dagger$ |

## Transition graph



KTH Matomatik

## Markov Model: transition probabilities

$$
\begin{array}{lcccc} 
& \mathrm{A} & \mathrm{C} & \mathrm{G} & \mathrm{~T} \\
\mathrm{~A} & p_{\mathrm{A} \mid \mathrm{A}} & p_{\mathrm{A} \mid \mathrm{C}} & p_{\mathrm{A} \mid \mathrm{G}} & p_{\mathrm{A} \mid \mathrm{T}} \\
\mathrm{C} & p_{\mathrm{C} \mid \mathrm{A}} & p_{\mathrm{C} \mid \mathrm{C}} & p_{\mathrm{C} \mid \mathrm{G}} & p_{\mathrm{A} \mid \mathrm{T}} \\
\mathrm{G} & p_{\mathrm{G} \mid \mathrm{A}} & p_{\mathrm{G} \mid \mathrm{C}} & p_{\mathrm{A} \mid \mathrm{G}} & p_{\mathrm{G} \mid \mathrm{T}} \\
\mathrm{~T} & p_{\mathrm{T} \mid \mathrm{A}} & p_{\mathrm{T} \mid \mathrm{C}} & p_{\mathrm{T} \mid \mathrm{G}} & p_{\mathrm{T} \mid \mathrm{T}} \\
\text { We have } p_{\mathrm{A} \mid \mathrm{A}}+p_{\mathrm{A} \mid \mathrm{C}}+p_{\mathrm{A} \mid \mathrm{G}}+p_{\mathrm{A} \mid \mathrm{T}}=1 \text { and the same holds for all other }
\end{array}
$$ rows.

## Markov Model: probability of a sequence

The probability of, e.g., the sequence $A T C G A T$ is now

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{Pr}\left(X_{0}=A, X_{1}=T, X_{2}=C, X_{3}=G, X_{4}=A, X_{5}=T\right)= \\
\operatorname{Pr}(A) p_{\mathrm{A} \mid \mathrm{T}} p_{\mathrm{T} \mid \mathrm{C}} p_{\mathrm{C} \mid \mathrm{G}} p_{\mathrm{G} \mid \mathrm{A}} p_{\mathrm{A} \mid \mathrm{T}}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Recall: DNA dice model

We have earlier seen the DNA dice with independent tosses. There

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Pr}\left(X_{0}\right. & \left.=A, X_{1}=T, X_{2}=C, X_{3}=G, X_{4}=A, X_{5}=T\right) \\
& =\operatorname{Pr}(A) \operatorname{Pr}(T) \operatorname{Pr}(C) \operatorname{Pr}(G) \operatorname{Pr}(A) \operatorname{Pr}(T)
\end{aligned}
$$



## Markov Model: probability of a sequence

The Markov property means now that,

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left(X_{5}=T \mid X_{0}=A, X_{1}=T, X_{2}=C, X_{3}=G, X_{4}=A\right)=p_{\mathrm{A} \mid \mathrm{T}}
$$

The third order Markov property

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Pr}\left(X_{5}\right. & \left.=T \mid X_{0}=A, X_{1}=T, X_{2}=C, X_{3}=G, X_{4}=A\right) \\
& =\operatorname{Pr}\left(X_{5}=T \mid X_{2}=C, X_{3}=G, X_{4}=A\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

(a memory of length $=3$ ) has often turned out to be more useful. Fifth order memory is also found. These require larger transition matrices, probabilities for all transitions of triplets or quintets of nucleotides to one nucleotide.

## Markov chain of fifth order (c.f. p. 370 in ZB)



## Frame Dependent Markov Chains

A coding region is read as successive non-overlapping codons, which are instances of 3-symbol words. Since several different codons can code for the amino acid, the bases may have different importance depending on their position with respect to the codon partition.

## Frame Dependent Markov Chains GeneMark

Therefore a Markov chain of order $k$ with three transition probability matrices, $P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{3}$ is considered. Here $P_{m}$ has for $m=1,2,3$ the entries

$$
P\left(X_{3 t+m}=j_{3 t} \mid X_{3 t-k+m}=j_{3 t-k+m}, \ldots, X_{3 t-1+m}=j_{3 t-1+m}\right) .
$$

## Frame Dependent Markov Chains GeneMark

The index $m$ represents the position of the symbol inside the codon. This is illustrated for $k=5$ in the figure below. The circles represent consecutive DNA bases, the numbers indicate the codon position. The three arrows carry with them the different transition probability matrices for each $m$. For each $m$ the next base is generated by a distribution conditioned on the five previous bases and depending on the codon position $m$.

## Frame Dependent Markov Chains GeneMark



## Frame Dependent Markov Chains GeneMark

The $k$ th order 3-phase Mc model is augmented by a first order Mc for non-coding regions and is also learned together with a $k$ th order 3 -phase Mc model for the other strand of the DNA sequence. Hence the task of learning this type of model from data requires the estimation of seven transition matrices with orders that are equal to $k=5$ for coding regions of prokaryotic DNA.

## Frame Dependent Markov Chains GeneMark

The probability of an observed fragment is calculated and the posterior probability $\operatorname{Pr}($ Model | Data) of each hypothesis about the coding region is computed. The relevant algorithms and their implementation in software are known as GeneMark ${ }^{1}$

## HMM = Hidden Markov Model



## HMM

## Learning outcomes:

- hidden state, emission
- You can invent hidden Markov chains for your purposes by designing the architecture!.


## Contents

- First we discuss an examples of HMM: Modelling DNA heterogenity
- Then we give a general definition of HMM
- Gene finding
- Modelling protein families: Profile HMM


## The topic: GC -content

In molecular biology and genetics, GC-content (or guanine-cytosine content) is the percentage of nitrogenous bases on a DNA molecule that are either guanine or cytosine. This may refer to a specific fragment of DNA or RNA, or that of the whole genome. There is vast variation in GC-content, both amongst species and within a given species' own genome. Genes are often characterised by having a higher GC-content in contrast to the background GC-content for the entire genome ${ }^{2}$. We shall now try to propose a simple statistical model for this by means of hidden Markov models (HMM)

[^0]
## HHM for GC - content

- $X_{n} ; n=0,1,2, \ldots$ is a Markov chain with with the (binary) state space $S=\{0,1\}$
- an emitted process $Y_{n} ; n=0,1,2, \ldots$ with values
$\mathcal{O}=\{A, T, G, C\}$,



## HHM for GC - content

The probabilities of the emissions are conditional probabilites that depend on the state of $X_{n} ; n=0,1,2, \ldots$

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left(Y_{n} \mid X_{n}\right)
$$



## Modelling heterogeneity of DNA sequences

The M.c. $X_{n} ; n=0,1,2, \ldots$ is hidden and we see only the emissions

| $Y_{n} ; n=0,1,2, \ldots$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{X}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{6}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{7}$ |
|  |  |  |  | $\vdots$ |  |  | $\vdots$ |
| 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |


| $\mathrm{Y}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{Y}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{Y}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{Y}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{Y}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{Y}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{Y}_{6}$ | $\mathrm{Y}_{7}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{7}$ |
| A | T | C | C | G | T | C | G |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Modelling heterogeneity of DNA sequences



OBSERVER

## Modelling heterogeneneity of DNA sequences

The hidden Markov chain has the transition matrix

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1-p & p \\
q & 1-q
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $0 \leq p \leq 1$ and $0 \leq q \leq 1$. Connect this to the emission probability matrix

$$
B=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1-\epsilon-w & w & \epsilon / 2 & \epsilon / 2 \\
\epsilon / 2 & \epsilon / 2 & r & 1-\epsilon-r
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\epsilon>0,0 \leq w+\epsilon \leq 1$ and $0 \leq r+\epsilon \leq 1$.

## Modelling heterogeneity of DNA sequences

The emission probability matrix

$$
B=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1-\epsilon-w & w & \epsilon / 2 & \epsilon / 2 \\
\epsilon / 2 & \epsilon / 2 & r & 1-\epsilon-r
\end{array}\right) .
$$

is read like $r=P(Y=G \mid X=1), w=P(Y=T \mid X=0)$ e.t.c. .

## Modelling heterogeneity of DNA sequences

The value of $p$ is chosen close to 0 and $q$ is taken close to 0 . Hence the state 0 of the hidden Markov chain persists, once the chain has entered it, and GC has a high probability of being emitted, assuming that $\epsilon$ is small, thus generating DNA sequences with $G C$-rich segments (and $A T$-rich segments, when the state is 1 ).

## S.R. Eddy:What is a hidden Markov model? Nature Biotechnology 22, 1315-1316 (2004)



Sequence: СTTCATGTGAAAGCAGACGTAAGTCA


## Meta MEME HMM



Motif-based hidden Markov models (HMMs) of families of related biosequences. The program takes as input a set of DNA or protein motis models constructed by MEME and produces as output a single HMM

## Hidden Markov Models (HMM)

HMM is a model for a sequence of symbols from an alphabet
$\mathcal{O}=\left\{o_{1}, o_{2}, \ldots o_{K}\right\}$. The model uses the idea of a hidden sequence of state transitions.
HMM has a definition with parts I-III.

## Hidden Markov Models (HMM) I

(I) Hidden Markov Chain $\left\{X_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is a Markov chain assuming values in a finite state space $\mathcal{S}=\{1,2, \ldots, J\}$ with $J$ states. The time-homogeneous conditional probabilities are

$$
a_{i \mid j}=P\left(X_{n}=j \mid X_{n-1}=i\right), n \geq 1, i, j \in S
$$

and the transition probability matrix is

$$
A=\left(a_{i \mid j}\right)_{i=1, j=1}^{J, J}
$$

## Hidden Markov Models (HMM) I

A matrix

$$
A=\left(a_{i \mid j}\right)_{i=1, j=1}^{J, J}
$$

with the constraints

$$
a_{i \mid j} \geq 0, \sum_{j=1}^{J} a_{i \mid j}=1
$$

## Hidden Markov Models (HMM) II

(II) Emitted Random Process A random process $\left\{Y_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ with a finite state space $\mathcal{O}=\left\{o_{1}, o_{2}, \ldots o_{K}\right\}$, where $K$ can be $\neq J$. The processes $\left\{Y_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ and $\left\{X_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ are for any fixed $n$ related by the conditional probability distributions

$$
b_{j}(k)=P\left(Y_{n}=o_{k} \mid X_{n}=j\right)
$$

## Hidden Markov Models (HMM) II

We set

$$
B=\left\{b_{j}(k)\right\}_{j=1, k=1}^{J, K}
$$

and call this the emission probability matrix. This satisfies

$$
b_{j}(k) \geq 0, \sum_{k=1}^{K} b_{j}(k)=1
$$

## Hidden Markov Models (HMM) III

(III) Probabilty of an emitted sequence given a state path For any sequence of states $j_{0} j_{1} \ldots j_{n}$ the probability of the sequence $o_{0} o_{1} \ldots o_{n}$ is

$$
\begin{gathered}
P\left(Y_{0}=o_{0}, \ldots, Y_{n}=o_{n} \mid X_{0}=j_{0}, \ldots, X_{n}=j_{n}, B\right)= \\
\prod_{l=0}^{n} b_{j_{l}}(I) .
\end{gathered}
$$



## Gene Finding

Hidden Markov models have been extensively used for modelling genes. Ab initio HMM gene finders for eukaryotes include software platforms like Genscan, Augustus, HMMgene, GeneMark.HMM-E, Genie, TigrScan and GlimmerHMM, Unveil and Exonomy, SNAP and others. In gene finding, the states in the hidden Markov chain correspond to intergenic regions and gene structure elements, e.g. coding regions and introns. Each state emits nucleotides that constitute the DNA sequence in corresponding regions. The emissions of bases may be conditional on the occurrence of neighbouring bases within the sequence. This enables the HMM to model higher order dependencies of base frequencies.







## Hidden Markov Chains: Modeling Protein Families

The perhaps main application of Hidden Markov Chains in bioinformatics is detection of remote homologies using the Haussler-Krogh topology of the state
space.


## HMM: Profile HMM

One main application of HMM in bioinformatics is detection of remote homologies using the Profile HMM.
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## Modelling Protein Families

Proteins are categorized into families that share common function and evolutionary ancestry. Identifying distantly related homologs is a difficult problem, primarily because sequence identity between them is sparse. When these families are considered in the context of a homology search, it becomes possible to identify amino acid variability, which is common to the family members. Distantly related proteins can be found even with low sequence identity, if the similarities and differences are common to the family members.

## Modelling Protein Families

This type of analysis is powerful because the function of divergent proteins is conserved through evolution even though sequence elements are free to change in some areas. Family-based searches take advantage of the fact that individual members of a family serve as examples of how tolerant this class of proteins is to change, and where. HMMs are one of several family-based search methods.

## Modelling Protein Families

HMM is used to statistically describe a protein family's consensus sequence. This statistical description can be used for sensitive and selective database searching.

## Modelling Protein Families



The model consists of a linear sequence of nodes with a begin state and an end state. although a typical model can contain hundreds of nodes. Each node between the beginning and end states corresponds to a column in a multiple alignment.

## Modelling Protein Families


and delete state (D) with position-specific probabilities for transitioning into each of these states from the previous node.

## Modelling Protein Families


n addition to a transition probability, the match state also has position-specific probabilities for emitting a particular residue. The insert state has probabilities for inserting a residue at the position given by the node. There is also a chance that no residue is associated with a node. That probability is indicated by the probability of transitioning to the delete state.

## Modelling Protein Families

Both transition and emission probabilities can be estimated from a multiple alignment of a family of sequences. An HMM can be compared (that is, aligned) with a new sequence to determine the probability that the sequence belongs to the modeled family.

## Modelling Protein Families

 The most probable path through the HMM (i.e., which transitions were taken and which residues were emitted at match and insert states) is taken to generate a sequence similar to the new sequence determines the similarity score.

## Topology

The profile HMM in the figure expresses the Haussler-Krogh topology of
the state space


## Topology

The profile HMM in the figure has another topology, HMMER - 7 .


Five structural parts were defined in membrane proteins: membrane helix (h), inside and outside helix tail (i and o), inside and outside loop (I and O). Two connected tails form a short loop associated with the membrane, while the tail-loop-tail sequence forms a long loop in the cytosol or in the extra-cytosol. Topology is determined by partitioning amino acid sequence in a way that product of the relative frequencies of amino acids in these structural parts along the sequence should be maximal. This task can be solved by the hidden Markov model (HMM), in which biological constraints can be taken into account by the architecture of HMM. The structural parts correspond to the five states used by the model.

The model uses two type of states: one for structural parts, whose length can not be arbitrary (fixed-length type, FL), and one for arbitrary long structural parts (non-fixed-length type, NFL). In the later type there are two possible transitions: one to the same state (elongation) and one to the next state (termination). In case of inside loop the next state is inside tail, and in case of outside loop the next state is outside tail. Structure of FL type states is more complex. Let be the maximum length of an FL type state MAXL, and minimum length MINL. Then let's define MAXL substates.

There is only one possible transition from the first MINL substates: transition to the next substate. There are two or three possible transitions between the substate MINL and the substate MAXL: one to the next substate (elongation), one to the next state (termination) and in case of tail following helix the third possibility is to transit to the next tail leaving out the loop state.

## TMHMM

method to model and predict the location and orientation of alpha helices in membrane-spanning proteins is presented. It is based on a hidden Markov model (HMM) with an architecture that corresponds closely to the biological system. The model is cyclic with 7 types of states for helix core, helix caps on either side, loop on the cytoplasmic side, two loops for the non-cytoplasmic side, and a globular domain state in the middle of each loop. The two loop paths on the non-cytoplasmic side are used to model short and long loops separately, which corresponds biologically to the two known different membrane insertions mechanisms. The close mapping between the biological and computational states allows us to infer which parts of the model architecture are important to capture the information that encodes the membrane topology, and to gain a better understanding of the mechanisms and constraints $i$


[^0]:    2ZB pp. 238-239, 386, 387

