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#### Abstract

We consider the model on phylogenetic trees in which every node of the tree is an observed binary random variable and the transition probabilities are given by the same matrix on each edge. The ideal of algebraic invarients is a toric ideal, we show the results of many calculations of minimal generating sets for these ideals. Further, we prove that the normal fan of the toric variety has a finite number of types for several families of trees and give data for this normal fan for all trees.


## 1. PHYLOGENETIC TREES

Throughout, let $T$ be a rooted tree with $n-1$ edges (and therefore $n$ nodes). To each node $v_{i}$ of $T$ we associate a $\kappa$-ary random variable $X_{i}$. We write $\rho(v)$ for the parent of the node $v$.

The transition probabilities between $\rho(v)$ and $v$ are given by a $\kappa$ by $\kappa$ matrix $A^{(v)}$. These transition matrices are generally picked from some specific families such as the Jukes- In this paper we consider the model where all $A^{(v)}$ are equal and all nodes are binary. We write $A^{(v)}=A=\left(\begin{array}{ll}a_{00} & a_{01} \\ a_{10} & a_{11}\end{array}\right)$. Notice that by subdividing the edges of the tree we can approximate the more general model with this homogeneous model.

The probability of observing $i$ at a node $v$ is computed from the parent of $v$ in the tree as follows

$$
P\left(X_{v}=i\right)=a_{i 0} P\left(X_{\rho(v)}=0\right)+a_{i 1} P\left(X_{\rho(v)}=1\right)
$$

We assume that the root of the tree has uniform distribution. We are interested in the algebraic relations satisfied by the joint distribution

$$
p_{i_{1} i_{2} \ldots i_{n}}:=P\left(X_{1}=i_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}=i_{n}\right) .
$$

Writing the joint distribution in terms of the model param-
eters $a_{00}, a_{01}, a_{10}, a_{11}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{i_{1} i_{2} \ldots i_{n}}:=\prod_{j=2}^{n} a_{i_{\rho(j)} i_{j}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the nodes are labeled 1 ton +1 starting with the root. That is to say, the probability of observing a certain labeling of the tree is the product of the $a_{i j}$ corresponding to the transitions down all edges of the tree.

The 4 parameters $a_{i j}$ trace out a variety of dimension 4 in $\mathbb{R}^{2^{n-1}}$. This is a toric variety - it is parameterized by monomials. The ideal of phylogenetic invariants of this model is the ideal of this variety. We denote this toric ideal by $I_{T}$.

To be explicit, the invariants vanish for a given distribution $\left(p_{i_{1} \ldots i_{n}}\right)$ exactly when that distribution comes from our model. Thus the knowlegde of the generators of this ideal is potentially very useful for the fitting of data to a phylogenetic tree.

### 1.1 Vitterbi Sequences

Let $A_{T}$ be the 4 by $2^{n}$ matrix where each column is the exponent vector of the $a_{i j}$ in (1) for some $\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}\right) \in$ $\{0,1\}^{n}$. Let $P_{T}$ be the convex hull of the columns of $A_{T}$. This is a polytope in $\mathbb{R}^{4}$, however since all the monomials in (1) have the same degree $n-1$, we see that this polytope is actually contained in $n-1$ times the unit simplex in $\mathbb{R}^{4}$. Thus, $P_{T}$ is actually a 3 dimensional polytope. We call $P_{T}$ the Vitterbi polytope.

This notation comes from a dual question. Given any observation $\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}\right)$ of the tree, which matrices $A=\left(a_{i j}\right)$ make $p_{i_{1} \ldots i_{n}}$ maximal among the coordinates of the distribution $p$ ?

To solve this problem, transform to logarithmic coordinates $b_{i j}=\log \left(a_{i j}\right)$. Then the condition that $p_{i_{1} \ldots i_{n}}>p_{l_{1} \ldots l_{n}}$ for all $\left(l_{1}, \ldots l_{n}\right) \in\{0,1\}^{n}$ is translated into the the linear system of inequalities

$$
b_{x_{1} x_{2}}+\cdots+b_{x_{\rho(n)} x_{n}}>b_{l_{1} l_{2}}+\cdots+b_{l_{\rho(n)}} l_{n}
$$

for all $\left(l_{1}, \ldots l_{n}\right) \in\{0,1\}^{n}$
The set of solutions is a polyhedral cone. For most values of $i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}$, this cone will be empty. Those sequences $i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}$ for which the cone is maximal are called Vitterbi sequences.


Figure 1: $P_{T}$ for $T$ a path with 6 edges

It is easy to see that the collection of these cones, as $\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}\right)$ varies, is the normal fan of the polytope $P_{T}:=\operatorname{Conv}\left(A_{T}\right)$.

## 2. POLYTOPES

The polytopes $P_{T}$ show remarkable finiteness properties as $T$ varies. Since $P_{T}$ is defined as the convex hull of $2^{n}$ vectors, it would seem that it could have arbitrarily bad structure. However, as it is contained in $n-1$ times the unit simplex, there are at most $O\left(n^{3}\right)$ integral points in $P_{T}$.

Example. Eric Kuo showed that if $T$ is a path with $n$ nodes, then $P_{T}$ has only two combinatorial types for $n>3$. The polytope for the path with 6 edges is shown in Figure 2. Think of this picture as a tetrahedron with the two vertices corresponding to all $0 \rightarrow 1$ and $1 \rightarrow 0$ transitions sliced off (since if a path has a $0 \rightarrow 1$ transition it must have a $1 \rightarrow x$ transition).

For all tested natural families of trees, this finiteness property seems to hold. In particular, if the tree is binary and completly balanced (that is, every leaf is at the same depth), a naive set of inequalities that hold for any binary tree actually characterize the polytope. Thus in some sense this tree is universal among binary trees.

Theorem: Let $T$ be a balanced binary tree with more than 3 nodes. The associated polytope always has the same combinatorial type with 8 vertices and 6 facets, see Figure 2 and Figure 2.

However, arbitrary trees seem to have polytopes with many vertices. For example, Figure 2 and Figure 2 show a tree with 17 nodes that has a polytope with 34 vertices.

Table 2 shows data for all trees on at most 15 nodes. It appears that the maximum number of vertices grows approximately as $2 n$. Notice that the tree with all leaves at depth 1 has $P_{T}$ a tetrahedron, giving the unique minimum number, 4 , of vertices for all trees.

Table 2 shows data for all binary tree on at most 21 nodes. It appears that the polytopes are much nicer, with the max-


Figure 2: $P_{T}$ for the complete binary tree with 15 ? nodes


Figure 4: The tree associated to Figure 2


Figure 5: A polytope with 34 vertices


Figure 3: A Schlegel diagram for the polytope of the complete binary tree with facets labeled.

| \#nodes | \#trees | $\min$ | $\max$ | ave |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 4 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 7 |
| 5 | 9 | 4 | 11 | 8 |
| 6 | 20 | 4 | 14 | 9.7 |
| 7 | 48 | 4 | 15 | 10.75 |
| 8 | 115 | 4 | 20 | 12.59 |
| 9 | 286 | 4 | 21 | 13.67 |
| 10 | 719 | 4 | 22 | 15.42 |
| 11 | 1842 | 4 | 25 | 16.60 |
| 12 | 4766 | 4 | 28 | 18.3 |
| 13 | 12486 | 4 | 31 | 19.5 |
| 14 | 32973 | 4 | 32 | 19.75 |
| 15 | 87811 | 4 | 34 | 22.6 |

Table 1: Minimum, maximum and average number of vertices of $P_{T}$ over all trees with at most 15 nodes
imum number of vertices of $P_{T}$ appearing to grow at most linearly. Thus we ask

Question: Is there a bound for the number of vertices of $P_{T}$ as $T$ ranges over all binary trees?

The obvious algorithm for computing $P_{T}$ involves a $2^{n}$ loop followed by an elimination of duplicates and a convex hull computation. This algorithm can certainly be improved, but it is not know whether there is a polynomial time algorithm for constructing the polytope given a tree.

## 3. TORIC IDEALS

A toric ideal is an ideal parameterized by monomials or equivalently generated by binomials. A toric ideal has an (essentially) unique minimal generating set, called a Markov Basis. This can be calculated using 4ti2, by Raymond Hemmecke. Amazingly enough, 4ti2 was able to calculate Markov bases for ideals in 2048 variables.

The markov bases for binary trees are shown in Table 3.
Conjecture: The toric ideal corresponding to a binary tree is generated in degree 2 .

It is conjectured that the quadratic generators correspond to moving subtrees betwee two trees in a compatible way. For an example, see Figure 3

| \#nodes | \#trees | $\min$ | $\max$ | ave |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| 5 | 1 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| 7 | 2 | 8 | 10 | 9 |
| 9 | 3 | 8 | 13 | 11.33 |
| 11 | 6 | 10 | 14 | 11.66 |
| 13 | 11 | 11 | 13 | 11.91 |
| 15 | 23 | 8 | 16 | 14.35 |
| 17 | 46 | 12 | 17 | 13.82 |
| 19 | 98 | 10 | 20 | 14.65 |
| 21 | 207 | 8 | 19 | 14.8 |

Table 2: Minimum, maximum and average number of vertices of $P_{T}$ over all binary trees with at most 21 nodes

| tree | degree | \#MinGens | max deg |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\Lambda$ | 4 | 4 | 2 |
| ^ | 28 | 79 | 2 |
| A | 92 | 441 | 2 |
| ^ | 96 | 561 | 2 |
| A | 210 | 2141 | 2 |
| ^ | 220 | 2068 | 2 |
| ^ | 220 |  |  |
| ^ | 210 | 2266 | 2 |

Table 3: Degree of $I_{T}$, munber of minimal generators, and maximum degree of the generators


Figure 6: A quadratic generator

| \# nodes | degree | \#MinGens | max deg | \# of deg 3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3 | 6 | 6 | 3 | 2 |
| 4 | 19 | 32 | 3 | 4 |
| 5 | 36 | 102 | 3 | 6 |
| 6 | 61 | 259 | 3 | 8 |
| 7 | 90 | 540 | 3 | 10 |
| 8 | 127 | 1041 | 3 | 12 |
| 9 | 168 | 1842 | 3 | 14 |
| 10 | 217 | 3170 | 3 | 16 |

Table 4: Markov bases of paths

From examining the table of markov bases for paths (Table 3), we believe the following:

Conjecture: The toric ideal corresponding to a path is generated in degree 3 .

Finally from examining Table 3 and the data we conjecture that the trees with highest degree generators are
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| nodes | trees | MinGens | Max deg |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3 | 2 | $4-6$ | $2-3$ |
| 4 | 4 | $14-45$ | $2-4$ |
| 5 | 9 | $24-160$ | $2-5$ |
| 6 | 20 | $72-498$ | $2-6$ |
| 7 | 48 | $144-1342$ | $2-7$ |
| 8 | 115 | $282-3059$ | $2-8$ |

Table 5: Markov bases for all trees

Hemmecke [1] was used to compute the minimal generating set for the pylogenetic ideals.
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