



KTH Teknikvetenskap

**SF2729 Groups and Rings**  
**Suggested solutions to the final exam**  
**Wednesday, August 17, 2011**

---

PART I - GROUPS

- (1) (a) A *latin square* of size  $n \times n$  is an  $n \times n$ -array of symbols where each symbol occurs exactly once in each row and in each column. Show that the multiplication table of a finite group has to be a latin square. (2)
- (b) Let  $G$  be the set of invertible  $2 \times 2$ -matrices with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Z}_6$ . Show that  $G$  is a group under matrix multiplication. (2)
- (c) Lagrange's theorem states that the order of a subgroup  $H$  of a finite group  $G$  divides the order of  $G$ . Prove this theorem. (2)
- 

SOLUTION

**a).** Because every element is invertible, we can solve any equation  $a * x = b$  uniquely by multiplication by  $a^{-1}$  to the left. We get  $a^{-1} * (a * x) = a^{-1} * b$ , which by the associativity is equivalent to  $(a^{-1} * a) * x = a^{-1} * b$ . Since  $a^{-1} * a = e$ , and  $e * x = x$ , we get  $x = a^{-1} * b$ . This means that the symbol  $b$  occurs exactly once in the row given by  $a$ . In the same way, we apply multiplication on the right to  $x * a = b$  to conclude that every symbol  $b$  occurs exactly once in the column corresponding to  $a$ .

**b).** Matrix multiplication is associative over any ring. The identity matrix,  $I_2$ , is a unit and all invertible matrices have a two-sided inverse. The only thing that remains to check is that the product of two invertible matrices,  $A$  and  $B$ , is invertible. This is true since

$$(B^{-1}A^{-1})(AB) = B^{-1}I_2B = B^{-1}B = I_2$$

and similarly  $(AB)(B^{-1}A^{-1}) = I_2$ .

**c).** We first show that the left cosets of  $H$  form a partition of  $G$ . This can be done by introducing the equivalence relation

$$a \sim_L b \Leftrightarrow a^{-1}b \in H$$

We check that this is indeed an equivalence relation.

*i) (reflexivity)*  $a^{-1}a = e \in H$ , for all  $a \in G$ .

*ii) (symmetry)*  $(a^{-1}b)^{-1} = b^{-1}a$  and hence  $a^{-1}b \in H \Leftrightarrow b^{-1}a \in H$  since  $H$  is a subgroup.

*iii) (transitivity)* If  $a^{-1}b \in H$  and  $b^{-1}c \in H$ , we get  $a^{-1}c = (a^{-1}b)(b^{-1}c) \in H$ .

Now, we check that  $a \sim_L b$  if and only if they are in the same coset. In fact,  $a^{-1}b \in H \Leftrightarrow b \in aH$ .

Since the equivalence classes give a partition of the set, we get that the left cosets give a partition of the set  $G$  into disjoint subsets. (Of course this also holds for the right cosets.)

Once we know that the cosets, which all have size  $|H|$ , form a partition of  $G$ , we get that  $|G|$  has to be a multiple of  $|H|$ .

---

- (2) Let  $G$  be the group of invertible  $2 \times 2$ -matrices with entries in  $\mathbb{Z}_6$  from problem 1(b) and let  $G$  act on  $\mathbb{Z}_6 \times \mathbb{Z}_6$  seen as column vectors by matrix multiplication. Let  $x = (1, 0) \in \mathbb{Z}_6 \times \mathbb{Z}_6$ .
- (a) Determine the stabilizer  $G_x$ .<sup>1</sup> (2)
- (b) Determine the orbit  $Gx$ . (2)
- (c) Use the results of part (a) and (b) to determine the order of  $G$ . (2)
- 

## SOLUTION

a). The matrices that stabilize  $x$  satisfy

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

where the arithmetics is done in  $\mathbb{Z}_6$ . This means that  $a = 1$ ,  $c = 0$ , while  $b$  and  $d$  are arbitrary. Now we are only interested in the matrices in  $G$ , so they have to be invertible. This means that  $d$  has to be invertible and  $b$  can still be arbitrary. In  $\mathbb{Z}_6$  only  $\pm 1$  are invertible. Thus we have the twelve elements

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & b \\ 0 & \pm 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

b). The orbit is given by all elements that can be written as

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a \\ c \end{bmatrix}$$

where the  $2 \times 2$ -matrix is invertible. By the usual formula from linear algebra, we know that if a matrix is invertible, its inverse can be written as

$$\frac{1}{ad - bc} \begin{bmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{bmatrix}$$

Thus the matrix is invertible if and only if the determinant is invertible. In this setting, this means that the determinant is  $\pm 1$ . We look for the possible  $a$  and  $c$  such that we can find  $b$  and  $d$  with  $ad - bc = \pm 1$ . This is impossible if  $a$  and  $c$  have a common factor which is not invertible. There are  $3 \cdot 3 = 9$  cases where 2 is a common factor and  $2 \cdot 2 = 4$  cases where 3 is a common factor. One of these is common,  $(0, 0)$ .

When neither 2 nor 3 is a common factor, the equation  $ax - cy = 1$  can be solved over  $\mathbb{Z}_6$ . Thus the orbit consists of all  $36 - 12 = 24$  pairs  $(a, c)$ , where  $a$  and  $c$  don't have 2 or 3 as a common factor.

c). We have in general that for a finite group  $|G| = |Gx| \cdot |G_x|$ . In our case we have computed the order of the stabilizer to be twelve and the size of the orbit to be twenty-four. Thus we get

$$|G| = |Gx| \cdot |G_x| = 12 \cdot 24 = 288.$$

---

<sup>1</sup>The stabilizer is also called the *isotropy subgroup*.

- (3) Let  $\Phi: G \longrightarrow H$  be a surjective group homomorphism and  $K \leq H$  a normal subgroup.
- (a) Show that the inverse image  $\Phi^{-1}(K)$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$ . (2)
- (b) Show that  $G/\Phi^{-1}(K)$  is isomorphic to  $H/K$ . (2)
- (c) Assume that  $K$  equals the commutator subgroup  $[H, H]$ . Show that  $\Phi^{-1}(K)$  contains  $[G, G]$ . Does equality hold? (2)
- 

SOLUTION

**a).** If  $a$  is in  $\Phi^{-1}(K)$  and  $b$  is any element of  $G$  we get that

$$\Phi(bab^{-1}) = \Phi(b)\Phi(a)\Phi(b)^{-1}$$

which is in  $K$  since  $\Phi(a) \in K$  and  $K$  is normal in  $H$ . Thus  $bab^{-1}$  is in  $\Phi^{-1}(K)$  which shows that  $\Phi^{-1}(K)$  is normal in  $G$ .

**b).** We have the natural homomorphism  $\Psi: H \longrightarrow H/K$  and when we compose it with  $\Phi$ , we get  $\Psi \circ \Phi: G \longrightarrow H/K$ . This is surjective since both  $\Phi$  and  $\Psi$  are surjective. Thus we have by the first isomorphism theorem that  $H/K$  is isomorphic to  $G/\ker(\Psi \circ \Phi)$ . It remains to show that  $\ker(\Psi \circ \Phi) = \Phi^{-1}(K)$ . Indeed, we have that

$$\ker(\Psi \circ \Phi) = \{a \in G \mid \Psi(\Phi(a)) = eK \in H/K\} = \{a \in G \mid \Phi(a) \in K\} = \Phi^{-1}(K).$$

**c).** The commutator subgroup is generated by all the commutators,  $aba^{-1}b^{-1}$ , where  $a, b \in H$ . It is sufficient to show that the image of any commutator in  $G$  is in  $K$ . This is true since

$$\Phi(aba^{-1}b^{-1}) = \Phi(a)\Phi(b)\Phi(a)^{-1}\Phi(b)^{-1}$$

which is a commutator in  $H$ . Thus any commutator lies in  $\Phi^{-1}([H, H]) = \Phi^{-1}(K)$ .

Another way is to use part (b) and see that  $H/K$  is abelian and since  $G/\Phi^{-1}(K)$  is isomorphic to  $H/K$ ,  $\Phi^{-1}(K)$  has to contain the commutator subgroup,  $[G, G]$ .

Equality can of course hold, for example when  $\Phi$  is an isomorphism. However, it is not an equality in general. If  $G$  and  $H$  are abelian, their commutator subgroups are trivial, but a surjective homomorphism  $\Phi: G \longrightarrow H$  does not have to be injective.

---

## PART II - RINGS

- (1) (a) Let  $F$  be a finite field. Assume that  $-1$  is not a square in  $F$ . Prove that  $2$  or  $-2$  is a square in  $F$ . (2)
- (b) Prove that  $X^4 + 1$  is irreducible in  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$ . (2)
- (c) Let  $p$  be a prime number and let  $\mathbb{F}_p$  be a finite field with  $p$  elements. Prove that  $X^4 + 1$  is reducible in  $\mathbb{F}_p[X]$ . (Hint: use part (a) when  $-1$  is not a square in  $\mathbb{F}_p$ .) (2)

## SOLUTION

**a).** If  $\text{char}(F) = 2$ , then  $-1 = 1$  is a square in  $F$ . So  $\text{char}(F) = p$ , an odd prime, and  $F$  has an odd number of elements ( $p^n$  for some  $n \geq 1$ ). So  $F^* = F \setminus \{0\}$  has an even number of elements. We know that  $F^*$  is cyclic (any finite subgroup of the invertible elements of a domain is cyclic). If  $x$  generates  $F^*$ , then the squares in  $F^*$  form the subgroup generated by  $x^2$ . It is of index 2 in  $F^*$  and the unique nontrivial coset consists of the nonzero nonsquares. So the product of two nonzero nonsquares is a nonzero square. So if 2 is a nonsquare, then  $-2$  is a square, since  $-1$  is a nonsquare.

**b).**  $X^4 + 1$  clearly has no roots in  $\mathbb{Z}$  (or  $\mathbb{R}$ ). The only possible factorization is as a product of two polynomials of degree 2. One way to argue is by looking at the complex roots  $\pm \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2} \pm \frac{1}{2}i\sqrt{2}$  ( $= e^{2\pi ik/8}$ ,  $k$  odd). We get that the factors in  $\mathbb{R}[X]$  are  $X^2 \mp \sqrt{2}X + 1$ , which aren't in  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$ .

Another way: if  $X^2 + aX + b$  is one factor in  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$ , one sees that the other factor must be  $X^2 - aX + b$  (by looking at the coefficients of  $X^3$  and  $X$ ). Then  $b^2 = 1$ , so  $b = \pm 1$ , and  $a^2 = 2b = \pm 2$ , which doesn't have solutions in  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

Finally, a separate argument:  $(X+1)^4 + 1 = X^4 + 4X^3 + 6X^2 + 4X + 2$  is irreducible by the Eisenstein criterion for  $p = 2$ , so  $X^4 + 1$  is irreducible as well.

**c).** If  $-1$  is a square  $f^2$  in  $\mathbb{F}_p$ , then  $X^4 + 1 = X^4 - (-1) = (X^2)^2 - f^2 = (X^2 + f)(X^2 - f)$  in  $\mathbb{F}_p[X]$ . If  $-1$  is not a square, then  $X^4 + 1$  certainly has no roots in  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . But  $2$  or  $-2$  is a square in  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . Following the second argument in (b), we find  $a \in \mathbb{F}_p$  with  $a^2 = 2$  or  $a^2 = -2$ . Taking  $b = +1$  resp.  $-1$ , we find a factorization in  $\mathbb{F}_p[X]$ . (Alternatively,  $X^4 + 1 = X^4 \pm 2X^2 + 1 - (\pm 2X^2) = (X^2 \pm 1)^2 - (\pm 2X^2)$  is a difference of two squares, hence factorable, if  $\pm 2$  is a square.)

- (2) (a) Prove that  $3 + 2i$  is a prime element of  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ . (2)  
(b) Prove that  $F = \mathbb{Z}[i]/\mathbb{Z}[i](3 + 2i)$  is a field. How many elements does  $F$  have? (2)  
(c) Find a generator of the multiplicative group of  $F$ . (2)
- 

## SOLUTION

**a).** Recall that  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  has a Euclidean norm  $N$  with  $N(a + bi) = a^2 + b^2$ , which is multiplicative. The elements with norm 1 are the units  $\pm 1, \pm i$ . The ring  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  is a UFD (even a PID). The norm of  $3 + 2i$  equals 13, which is a prime number. It follows directly that  $3 + 2i$  is irreducible, hence prime (since the ring is a UFD).

**b).** Nonzero prime ideals in a PID are in fact maximal, so  $\mathbb{Z}[i]/\mathbb{Z}[i](3 + 2i)$  is a field  $F$ . In  $F$ ,  $13 = (3 + 2i)(3 - 2i) = 0$ , so  $\text{char}(F) = 13$ . It is clear that  $F$  has at most 26 elements ( $a + bi$  with  $0 \leq a \leq 12$  and  $0 \leq b \leq 1$ ), so in fact  $F$  has 13 elements (the only possible power of 13). (We also find this using  $7(3 + 2i) = 8 + i = 0$ .)

**c).** The 13 elements of  $F$  can be thought of as  $a$  (modulo  $(3 + 2i)$ ), with  $0 \leq a \leq 12$ . We try the powers of 2:

$$2, 4, 8, 16 = 3, 2^5 = 6, 2^6 = 12.$$

So the order of 2 is 12 and 2 generates  $F^*$ . Other generators are  $2^5 = 6$ ,  $2^7 = 11$ , and  $2^{11} = 7$ .

---

- (3) (a) Prove that the ring  $\mathbb{R}[X]/(X^3 - X^2 + 2X - 2)$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C}$ . (2)  
 (b) Let  $p$  be a prime number. Let  $R$  be the subring of  $\mathbb{Q}$  consisting of the numbers  $a/b$  with  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $b$  not divisible by  $p$ . Let  $I$  be a nonzero ideal of  $R$ . Prove that  $I = (p^n)$  for some  $n \geq 0$ . Conclude that  $R$  has a unique maximal ideal. (4)
- 

## SOLUTION

**a).** In a commutative ring  $R$  with 1, two ideals  $I$  and  $J$  are called relatively prime when  $I + J = R$  (i.e.,  $1 = i + j$  for some  $i \in I$  and  $j \in J$ ). One always has the inclusion  $IJ \subseteq I \cap J$ ; equality holds when  $I$  and  $J$  are relatively prime, since for  $a \in I \cap J$

$$a = a \cdot 1 = a(i + j) = ai + aj \in IJ.$$

The natural ring homomorphism

$$R/(I \cap J) \rightarrow R/I \times R/J, \quad a + (I \cap J) \mapsto (a + I, a + J)$$

is injective. When  $I$  and  $J$  are relatively prime, it is surjective:

$$aj + bi + (I \cap J) \mapsto (a + I, b + J)$$

if  $1 = i + j$ . So, for two relatively prime ideals  $I$  and  $J$ , we obtain isomorphisms

$$R/IJ \cong R/(I \cap J) \cong R/I \times R/J;$$

this is commonly referred to as the Chinese Remainder Theorem.

Now  $X^3 - X^2 + 2X - 2 = (X - 1)(X^2 + 2)$  and the two irreducible factors are relatively prime in  $\mathbb{R}[X]$ . By the above, we obtain an isomorphism

$$\mathbb{R}[X]/(X^3 - X^2 + 2X - 2) \cong \mathbb{R}[X]/(X - 1) \times \mathbb{R}[X]/(X^2 + 2).$$

But  $\mathbb{R}[X]/(X - 1) \cong \mathbb{R}$  via  $X + (X - 1) \mapsto 1$  and  $\mathbb{R}[X]/(X^2 + 2) \cong \mathbb{C}$  via  $X + (X^2 + 2) \mapsto i\sqrt{2}$ .

**b).** We note that  $R$  is indeed a subring; the sum and product of two rational numbers whose denominators are not divisible by  $p$  are rational numbers whose denominators are not divisible by  $p$ . The invertible elements are the rational numbers for which the numerator is not divisible by  $p$  either. Hence  $(a/b) = (p^n)$  if ( $b$  is not divisible by  $p$  and  $a$  is exactly  $n$  times divisible by  $p$  (i.e.,  $a = a'p^n$  for an integer  $a'$  not divisible by  $p$ )). If  $I$  is a nonzero ideal, let  $m$  be the minimum of the nonnegative integers  $n$  thus obtained from the nonzero elements of  $I$ . (The minimum exists.) Then  $I = (p^m)$ . For  $m = 0$ , the ideal  $(p^m)$  equals  $R$ ; but the ideal  $(p)$  is maximal, and it clearly is the unique maximal ideal.

---