

# The Fourier Transform on $\mathbb{R}$

(Stein, Shakarchi)

## Introduction

The theory of Fourier series applies to integrable functions on the circle, or equivalently, to periodic functions on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

For every such  $f$ , the Fourier coefficients are given by

$$(1) \quad a_n = \int_0^1 f(x) e^{-2\pi i n x} dx$$

and then in the appropriate sense we have

$$(2) \quad f(x) = \sum_{-\infty}^{+\infty} a_n e^{2\pi i n x}.$$

We develop an analogous theory for functions on the entire real line which are non-periodic. These functions are suitably "small" at infinity (soon we will make it more precise).

Given a suitable function on  $\mathbb{R}$ , the analogous object associated to  $f$  will in fact be another function  $\hat{f}$  on  $\mathbb{R}$ , called the Fourier transform of  $f$ .

Roughly speaking, the Fourier transform is a continuous version of the Fourier coefficients, in the sense that in (1) we change the domain of integration  $(0, 1)$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ , and replace the discrete variable  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  with a continuous variable  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ :

$$(3) \quad \hat{f}(\xi) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) e^{-2\pi i x \xi} dx.$$

Next we look at (2), then we expect to get the Fourier inversion formula

$$(4) \quad f(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}(\xi) e^{2\pi i x \xi} d\xi.$$

We will study under which assumptions on  $f$ , (4) actually holds.

Remark! Suppose  $f$  is supported in a finite interval contained in  $I = [-\frac{L}{2}, \frac{L}{2}]$  and we expand  $f$  in a Fourier series on  $I$ . Then, letting  $L$  tend to infinity, we are led to (4).

Homework!

## Integration of functions on the real line.

Given a continuous function  $f$  on the real line, we want to extend the notion of the integral of  $f$  in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Assuming  $f$  is continuous, the integrals  $\int_{-N}^N f(x) dx$  exist, so let

$$(1) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) dx = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-N}^N f(x) dx.$$

But the limit may not exist; for example take  $f=1$  or  $f(x) = \frac{1}{1+|x|}$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

So we need a condition on  $f$  which will guarantee the existence of the integral.

Definition: A function  $f$  defined on  $\mathbb{R}$  is said to be of moderate decrease if  $f$  is continuous and there exist a constant  $A > 0$ , s.t.

$$|f(x)| \leq \frac{A}{1+x^2}, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Note: Such functions are bounded, and decay at infinity as fast as  $\frac{1}{x^2}$ .

Examples:

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{1+|x|^n}, \quad n \geq 2$$

$$f(x) = e^{-a|x|}, \quad a > 0$$

We denote by  $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$  the set of functions of moderate decrease on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Under the usual additions and multiplications by scalars,  $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$  forms a vector space over  $\mathbb{C}$ .

Claim: If  $f \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$ , then the limit in (1) exists and we may define

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) dx = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-N}^N f(x) dx.$$

Proof: Define  $I_N = \int_{-N}^N f(x) dx$ , and we show that  $\{I_N\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $\mathbb{C}$ .

Let  $M > N > 0$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} |I_M - I_N| &\leq \left| \int_{N \leq |x| \leq M} f(x) dx \right| \leq A \int_{N \leq |x| \leq M} \frac{1}{x^2} dx \\ &= 2A \left( \frac{1}{N} - \frac{1}{M} \right) < \frac{2A}{N} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } N \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned}$$

Note: We have also proved that

$$\int_{|x| \geq N} f(x) dx \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } N \rightarrow \infty.$$

Remark: We see that assuming

$$|f(x)| \leq \frac{A}{1 + |x|^{1+\varepsilon}}$$

for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ , would be another way of defining functions of moderate decrease.

For convenience, we let  $\varepsilon = 1$

Proposition 1: The integral of a function of moderate decrease satisfies the following properties:

(i) Linearity;  $\forall f, g \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}), \forall a, b \in \mathbb{C}$

$$\int (af(x) + bg(x)) dx = a \int f(x) dx + b \int g(x) dx$$

(ii) Translation invariance:  $\forall h \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\int f(x-h) dx = \int f(x) dx$$

(iii) Scaling under dilations:  $\forall \delta > 0$

$$\delta \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(\delta x) dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) dx$$

(iv) Continuity:  $f \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R})$ , then

$$\int |f(x-h) - f(x)| dx \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } h \rightarrow 0.$$

Proof: (i) is clear.

(ii) consider the difference.

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-N}^N f(x-h) dx - \int_{-N}^N f(x) dx &= \int_{-N-h}^{N-h} f(x) dx - \int_{-N}^N f(x) dx = \\ &= \int_{-N-h}^{-N} f(x) dx + \int_{N-h}^N f(x) dx; \end{aligned}$$

we have.

$$\left| \int_{-N-h}^{-N} f(x) dx + \int_{N-h}^N f(x) dx \right| \leq \frac{A}{1+N^2}, \text{ hence.}$$

$$\int_{-N}^N f(x-h) dx - \int_{-N}^N f(x) dx \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } N \rightarrow \infty.$$

(iii) a change of variable gives us

$$\delta \int_{-N}^N f(\delta x) dx = \int_{-\delta N}^{\delta N} f(x) dx, \quad \delta > 0 \text{ let } N \rightarrow \infty.$$

(iv) Assume  $|h| \leq 1$ . For any  $\varepsilon > 0 \exists N = N_\varepsilon$  s.t.

$$\int_{|x| \geq N} |f(x)| dx \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{|x| \geq N} |f(x-h)| dx \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4}$$

$f$  is uniformly continuous in the interval  $[-N-1, N+1]$ , hence  $\sup_{|x| \leq N} |f(x-h) - f(x)| \rightarrow 0$  as  $h \rightarrow 0$ .

So we can take  $h = h_\varepsilon$  small s.t.

$$|f(x-h) - f(x)| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4N}, \quad \forall x \in [-N, N], \forall h$$
$$\forall h, |h| \leq h_\varepsilon$$

Then

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x-h) - f(x)| dx \leq \int_{-N}^N |f(x-h) - f(x)| dx$$

$$+ \int_{|x| \geq N} |f(x-h)| dx + \int_{|x| \geq N} |f(x)| dx \leq$$

$$\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{4} + \frac{\varepsilon}{4} = \varepsilon.$$

## Definition of Fourier transform

For  $f \in \mathcal{L}^1(\mathbb{R})$ , we define its Fourier transform by

$$\hat{f}(\xi) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) e^{-2\pi i x \xi} dx$$

where  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ .

$|f(x) e^{-2\pi i x \xi}| = |f(x)|$ , so the integral makes sense, and  $|\hat{f}(\xi)| \leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x)| dx, \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$\hat{f}$  is bounded. Moreover  $\hat{f}$  is continuous and tends to 0 as  $|\xi| \rightarrow \infty$ . (Exercise)

Assuming  $f \in \mathcal{L}^1(\mathbb{R})$  does not imply  $\hat{f} \in \mathcal{L}^1(\mathbb{R})$ .

So we need to strengthen our assumptions on  $f$ , to assure that  $\hat{f} \in \mathcal{L}^1(\mathbb{R})$  and the integral  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}(\xi) e^{2\pi i x \xi} d\xi$  makes sense.

For that we introduce the space of Schwartz functions.

## The Schwartz space

The Schwartz space on  $\mathbb{R}$  consists of all infinitely differentiable functions  $f$  so that  $f$  and all its derivatives  $f', f'', \dots$  are rapidly decreasing in the sense that

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |x|^k |f^{(l)}(x)| < \infty, \quad \forall k, l \in \mathbb{Z}, k, l \geq 0$$

Such functions form a vector space over  $\mathbb{C}$ , denoted by  $S(\mathbb{R})$ .

It is obvious that  $S(\mathbb{R}) \subsetneq \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$ ,

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{1+x^2} \notin S(\mathbb{R}).$$

$$f \in S(\mathbb{R}) \Rightarrow f'(x) \in S(\mathbb{R}), \quad x f(x) \in S(\mathbb{R}).$$

Conclusion:  $S$  is closed under differentiation and multiplication by polynomials.

An important example of a Schwartz function is the Gaussian,  $f(x) = e^{-x^2}$ .

Indeed, for any polynomial  $p(x)$ ,  $p(x)e^{-x^2}$  is bounded, and the derivatives of  $f$  are of the form  $p(x)e^{-x^2}$ .

Another example is given in exercise 4, compactly supported smooth functions.

Note:  $g(x) = e^{-|x|} \notin S(\mathbb{R})$ , it is not differentiable at 0.



## The Fourier transform on $\mathcal{S}$

Let  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ ,

$$\hat{f}(\xi) = \int f(x) e^{-2\pi i x \xi} dx.$$

We use the notation

$$f(x) \rightarrow \hat{f}(\xi)$$

to mean that  $\hat{f}$  denotes the Fourier transform of  $f$ .

Proposition 2: If  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ , then

(i)  $f(x+h) \rightarrow \hat{f}(\xi) e^{2\pi i h \xi}, \forall h \in \mathbb{R}$

(ii)  $f(x) e^{-2\pi i x h} \rightarrow \hat{f}(\xi + h), \forall h \in \mathbb{R}$

(iii)  $f(\delta x) \rightarrow \delta^{-1} \hat{f}(\delta^{-1} \xi), \forall \delta > 0$

(iv)  $f'(x) \rightarrow 2\pi i \xi \hat{f}(\xi)$

(v)  $-2\pi i x f(x) \rightarrow \frac{d}{d\xi} \hat{f}(\xi)$

Proof: (ii) is obvious from the definition, (i) and (iii) follow from Proposition 1, (i) is the translation invariance property for the integrals over  $\mathbb{R}$ , and (iii) is the property of scaling.

(iv) Integrating by parts gives

$$\int_{-N}^N f'(x) e^{-2\pi i x \xi} dx = \left[ f(x) e^{-2\pi i x \xi} \right]_{-N}^N + 2\pi i \xi \int_{-N}^N f(x) e^{-2\pi i x \xi} dx$$

Letting  $N \rightarrow \infty$ , we get (iv).

(v) show that  $\hat{f}$  is differentiable, and find the derivative. Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and consider

$$\frac{\hat{f}(\xi+h) - \hat{f}(\xi)}{h} - \widehat{(-2\pi i x f)}(\xi) =$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) e^{-2\pi i x \xi} \left[ \frac{e^{-2\pi i x h} - 1}{h} + 2\pi i x \right] dx$$

$f \in \mathcal{S}' \Rightarrow \exists N = N_\varepsilon$  s.t.

$$\int_{|x| \geq N} |f(x)| dx \leq \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{|x| \geq N} |x| |f(x)| dx \leq \varepsilon$$

$|x| \leq N \Rightarrow \exists h_0$  s.t.  $\forall h$ , s.t.  $|h| \leq h_0$  we have

$$\left| \frac{e^{-2\pi i x h} - 1}{h} + 2\pi i x \right| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{N}$$

Finally,

$$\left| \frac{\hat{f}(\xi+h) - \hat{f}(\xi)}{h} - \widehat{(2\pi i x f)}(\xi) \right| \leq$$

$$\leq \int_{-N}^N \left| f(x) e^{-2\pi i x \xi} \left[ \frac{e^{-2\pi i x h} - 1}{h} + 2\pi i x \right] \right| dx + C\varepsilon \leq C_0 \varepsilon$$

ends the proof of proposition.

Theorem: If  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$  then  $\hat{f} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ .

Proof: With the method of induction, using (iv) and (v) in proposition, we can prove that

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^k} \left( \frac{d}{dx} \right)^k [ (-2\pi i x)^{\ell} f(x) ] \longrightarrow \xi^k \left( \frac{d}{d\xi} \right)^{\ell} \hat{f}(\xi)$$

for any  $k, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}, k, \ell \geq 0$

so  $\xi^k \left( \frac{d}{d\xi} \right)^{\ell} \hat{f}(\xi)$  is the Fourier transform of a Schwartz function, hence it is bounded.