

KTH Teknikvetenskap

## SF2729 Groups and Rings <br> Suggested solutions to the final exam <br> Wednesday, May 26, 2010

## Part I - Groups

(1) (a) Show directly from the axioms that there is a unique group with three elements up to isomorphism.
(b) Show that the group $\mathrm{Gl}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{2}\right)$ of invertible $2 \times 2$-matrices over the field $\mathbb{F}_{2}=\{0,1\}$ is isomorphic to the symmetric group $S_{3}$ by giving an explicit isomorphism.
(c) Compute the center of the general linear group $\mathrm{Gl}_{n}(\mathbb{C})$, i.e., the group of invertible complex $n \times n$-matrices.

## Solution

a). Denote the three elements by $e, a$ and $b$, where $e$ is the unit element. We then have that $e * e=e, a * e=e * a=a$ and $e * b=b * e=b$. Thus the group table is given by

| $*$ | $e$ | $a$ | $b$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $e$ | $e$ | $a$ | $b$ |
| $a$ | $a$ | $?$ | $?$ |
| $b$ | $b$ | $?$ | $?$ |

Suppose that $a * a=a$. Since we have an inverse to $a$, say $a^{-1}$, we get by multiplication to the left that

$$
a^{-1} *(a * a)=a^{-1} * a=e
$$

but by the associativity, we get $A^{-1} *(a * a)=\left(a^{-1} * a\right) * a=e * a=a$, which is a contradiction since $a$ and $e$ are supposed to be distinct elements. In the same way, we get that $b * b \neq b$.

If $a * b=a$, we get

$$
e=a^{-1} * a=a^{-1} *(a * b)=\left(a^{-1} * a\right) * b=e * b=b
$$

and if $a * b=b$, we get

$$
e=b * b^{-1}=(a * b) * b^{-1}=a *\left(b * b^{-1}\right)=a * e=a .
$$

Thus we conclude that $a * b=e$ and by symmetry in the argument, we also get $b * a=e$. If $a * a=e$, we get that

$$
b=e * b=(a * a) * b=a *(a * b)=a * e=a,
$$

contradicting $a \neq b$. By symmety, we get $b * b \neq e$.
We have already seen that $a * a \neq a$ and thus we must have $a * a=b$. By the symmetry we also get $b * b=a$.

We have concluded that the group table has to be

| $*$ | $e$ | $a$ | $b$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $e$ | $e$ | $a$ | $b$ |
| $a$ | $a$ | $b$ | $e$ |
| $b$ | $b$ | $e$ | $a$ |

b). There are six invertible matrices in $\mathrm{Gl}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{2}\right)$, since the first row can be chosen as any of the three non-zero rows and the second as anything but the two multiples of the first.

Thus we have the six matrices

$$
\begin{aligned}
I & =\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right), A=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
1 & 1
\end{array}\right), B=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 1
\end{array}\right), \\
C & =\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right), D=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right), E=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 1 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

We have that $I$ is the unity and $A, C$ and $E$ are their own inverses, since $A^{2}=C^{2}=$ $E^{2}=I$. The remaining elements $B$ and $D$ have order three since $B^{2}=D, D^{2}=B$ and $B^{3}=B\left(B^{2}\right)=B D=I=D^{2} D=D^{3}$.
We can find an explicit isomorphism to $S_{3}$ by sending the generators $A$ and $C$ to $s_{1}=(12)$ and $s_{2}=(23)$, respectively. Thus we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Phi(I)=I d, \Phi(A)=(12), \Phi(C)=(23), \\
& \Phi(B)=\Phi(A C)=(12)(23)=(123), \\
& \Phi(D)=\Phi(C B C)=(23)(123)(23)=(132) \\
& \Phi(E)=\Phi(C A C)=(23)(12)(23)=(13) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We can check that the group tables are the same:

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\cdot$ | $I$ | $A$ | $B$ | $C$ | $D$ | $E$ |
| $I$ | $I$ | $A$ | $B$ | $C$ | $D$ | $E$ |
| $A$ | $A$ | $I$ | $C$ | $B$ | $E$ | $D$ |
| $B$ | $B$ | $E$ | $D$ | $A$ | $I$ | $C$ |
| $C$ | $C$ | $D$ | $E$ | $I$ | $A$ | $B$ |
| $D$ | $D$ | $C$ | $I$ | $E$ | $B$ | $A$ |
| $E$ | $E$ | $C$ | $A$ | $D$ | $C$ | $I$ |

c). An element in the center commutes with every element in the group and in particular, we have that it commutes with all the elementary matrices $E_{i j}$, corresponding to interschanging row $i$ and row $j$, when multiplying to the left. However, when multiplying to the right it corresponds to interchanging columns $i$ and $j$.
(2) (a) Define what it means for a group to act on a set and show that any group acts on itself by conjugation, i.e., by $a . b=a b a^{-1}$, for $a, b \in G$.
(b) Use 2 a to prove the class equation for a finite group $G$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|G|=|Z(G)|+\sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{|G|}{\left|C_{G}\left(a_{i}\right)\right|} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{G}(a)=\{b \in G \mid a b=b a\}$ and $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{r}$ are representatives of all the non-trivial conjugacy classes in $G$.
(c) Use the class equation to show that any non-abelian group of order $2 p$, where $p$ is an odd prime, has $p$ elements of order 2 and $p-1$ elements of order $p$.

## Solution

a). The conjugation definines a function

$$
G \times G \longrightarrow G
$$

sending $(a, b)$ to $a b a^{-1}$. We have to check that it satisfies the conditions of a group action, i.e.,
(a) $e . x=x$, for all $x \in G$.
(b) $(a b) \cdot x=a .(b \cdot x)$, for all $a, b \in G$ and for all $x \in G$.

We have 2a since $e x e^{-1}=x$ for all $x \in G$ and we have 2 b since

$$
(a b) \cdot x=(a b) x(a b)^{-1}=a b x b^{-1} a^{-1}=a\left(b x b^{-1}\right) a^{-1}=a \cdot(b \cdot x)
$$

for all $a, b \in G$ and all $x \in G$.
b). The conjugacy classes are the orbits of $G$ under the action by conjugation. Thus they partition $G$ into disjoint subsets. The stabilizor of an element $a$ under this action is given by

$$
G_{a}=\left\{b \in G \mid b a b^{-1}=a\right\}=\{b \in G \mid b a=a b\}=C_{G}(a) .
$$

Hence we get that the size of the orbit of $a$ is given by

$$
\left[G a \left\lvert\,=\frac{|G|}{\left|G_{a}\right|}=\frac{|G|}{\left|C_{G}(a)\right|}\right.\right.
$$

The orbit is trivial, i.e., contains only $a$, if and only if $C_{G}(a)=G$, which is equivalent to that $a$ commutes with all elements in $G$. Thus we can collect all trivial conjugacy classes and the union of them will be the center of $G$. Thus the class equation is the consequence of the partition of $G$ into the center and the the non-trivial conjugacy classes.
c). If $G$ has order $2 p$ where $p$ is an odd prime, the only possibilities for the order of a subgroup are $1,2, p$ and $2 p$ by Lagrange's theorem. Thus we have that the non-trivial conjugacy classes have 2 or $p$ elements, since not all elements are in the same conjugacy class.

In the class equation, we have $2 p$ on the left hand side and hence there cannot be two terms of size $p$ in the sum, since the center contains at least one element. If there is no term of size $p$, we have that the center must be of size 2 or $2 p$ since all other terms are even. In the latter case $G$ would be abelian, which it is supposed not to be. Thus we
conclude that $|Z(G)|=2$, but since the center is in all the centralizers $C_{G}(a)$, these have to have order 2 as well, which would give terms of size $p$ in the sum.

Hence there must be exactly one term of size $p$ in the sum. The center would then either have order 1 or $p$. Again, the center is contained in all the centralizers, which contradicts that one of the centralizers has order 2 if the center has order $p$. Hence the center must be trivial and there is one conjugacy class of size $p$ and $(p-1) / 2$ conjugacy classes of size 2.

The centralizer, $C_{G}(a)$ contains the subgroup generated by $a$. Hence the elements in the conjugacy classes of size 2 generates a subgroup of a group of order $p$, which means that they have to have order $p$. In the same way, the elements in the conjugacy class of size $p$ generates subgroups of a group of order 2 , which shows that they have order 2 . We have concluded that there are exactly $p$ elements of order 2 and $p-1$ elements of order $p$.
(3) (a) An automorphism of a group $G$ is an isomorphism from $G$ to itself. Show that the set $\operatorname{Aut}(G)$ of automorphisms of $G$ forms a group under composition.
(b) Show that the set $\operatorname{Inn}(G)$ of inner automorphisms, i.e., $a \mapsto b a b^{-1}$, for some $b$ in $G$, forms a subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}(G)$.
c) Determine the automorphism group of the non-cyclic group of order 4 .

## Solution

a). Composition of functions $X \rightarrow X$ satisfies associativity since there is a well define notion of composition of three maps $X \xrightarrow{\Phi} X \xrightarrow{\Psi} X \xrightarrow{\Xi} X$.

The identity map is a unity for composition and bijective maps are invertible with a bijective inverse. This shows that the set of bijective maps on a set $X$ forms a group under composition. We now look at the subset of bijective homomorphisms of a group $G$. If $\Phi$ and $\Psi$ are homomorphisms, we have that

$$
\Psi \circ \Phi(a b)=\Psi(\Phi(a b))=\Psi(\Phi(a) \Phi(b))=\Psi(\Phi(a)) \Psi(\Phi(b))
$$

for any $a, b \in G$. Thus $\Phi \circ \Phi$ is also a homomorphism.
Furthermore, if $\Phi$ is bijective, it has an inverse $\Phi^{-1}$ and we get that

$$
\Phi^{-1}(a b)=\Phi^{-1}\left(\Phi \Phi^{-1}(a) \Phi \Phi^{-1}(b)\right)=\Phi^{-1}\left(\Phi\left(\Phi^{-1}(a) \Phi^{-1}(b)\right)=\phi^{-1}(a) \Phi^{-1}(b)\right.
$$

which shows that $\Phi^{-1}$ is also a homomorphism. Thus the set of bijective homomorphisms form a subgroup of the symmetric group on $G$.
b). Let $a$ be any element of a group $G$. Then the map $\Phi_{a}$ definied by

$$
\Phi_{a}(b)=a b b b^{-1}
$$

defines a homomorphism of $G$ since

$$
\Phi_{a}(b c)=a b c a^{-1}=a b a^{-1} a c a^{-1}=\Phi_{a}(b) \Phi_{a}(c)
$$

and it is bijective since

$$
\Phi_{a} \circ \Phi_{a^{-1}}(b)=a\left(a^{-1} b\left(a^{-1}\right)^{-1}\right) a^{-1}=\left(a a^{-1}\right) b\left(a a^{-1}\right)=b
$$

for any element $b \in G$.
The composition of two inner automorphisms, $\Phi_{a}$ and $\Phi_{b}$ is given by $\Phi_{a b}$ since

$$
\Phi_{a} \circ \Phi_{b}(c)=a\left(b\left(c b^{-1}\right) a^{-1}=(a b) c(a b)^{-1}=\Phi_{a b}\right.
$$

for all elements $c \in G$. Furthermore, as we saw before, the inverse of en inner atuomoprphism $\Phi_{a}$ is $\Phi_{a^{-1}}$, which is also an inner arutomorphism. Hence $\operatorname{Inn}(G)$ is a subgroup of Aut $(G)$.
c). The non-cyclic group $G$ of order four has three elements of order 2 . As we saw in part a) the automorphism group is a subgroup of the symmetric group on $G$. Since an automorphism has to send the unit element to the unit element, we have that the automorphism group is a subgroup of the stabilizer of the unit element, which means that it is isomorphic to a subgroup of $S_{3}$.

Now, write the group $G$ as $G=\{e, a, b, c\}$, where $a, b, c$ are the elements of order two.
The group $G$ can be presented by the generators $a$ and $b$ with the relations $a^{2}=b^{2}=e$ and $a b=b a$. An automorphism is determined by the images of the generators, which in turn have to be a generating set of the group and have to satisfy the same relations.

There are six possiblilities of finding an ordered pairs of generators:

$$
\{a, b\},\{a, c\},\{b, a\},\{b, c\},\{c, a\} \text { and }\{c, b\} .
$$

Each of these generator pairs satifsy the same relations, since

$$
a^{2}=b^{2}=c^{2}=e \text { and } a b=b a, b c=c b, a c=c a
$$

Thus we have six different automorphism and hence the automorphism group is isomorphic to $S_{3}$.

## Part II - Rings

(1) Consider the ring $R=\mathbb{Z}_{5} \times \mathbb{Z}_{4} \times \mathbb{Z}_{3} \times \mathbb{Z}_{3}$.
(a) Compute its characteristic, $\operatorname{char}(R)$.
(b) Show that $R \cong \mathbb{Z}_{60} \times \mathbb{Z}_{3}$ as rings.
(c) Let $R$ be a commutative ring with unity and let $I$ and $J$ be two ideals in $R$ satisfying $I+J=R$ and $I \cap J=(0)$. Show that $R \cong R / I \times R / J$.

## Solution

a). For all $\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3}, n_{4}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}_{5} \times \mathbb{Z}_{4} \times \mathbb{Z}_{3} \times \mathbb{Z}_{3}$ and an integer $k$ it is $k\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3}, n_{4}\right)=0$ only if $3 / k, 4 / k, 5 / k$, which implies that the minimum such $k$ must be the $l . c . m(3,5,4)=$ 60.
b). The ring homomorphism:

$$
\phi: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{5} \times \mathbb{Z}_{4} \times \mathbb{Z}_{3}, n \mapsto\left([n]_{5},[n]_{4},[n]_{5}\right)
$$

is an a surjective ring homomorphism with $\operatorname{Ker}(\phi)=60 \mathbb{Z}$ (because $3,4,5$ are relatively prime). The fundamental isomorphism theorem for rings then implies that

$$
\mathbb{Z} / 60 \mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{5} \times \mathbb{Z}_{4} \times \mathbb{Z}_{3}
$$

It follows that

$$
(\phi, i d): \mathbb{Z}_{60} \times \mathbb{Z}_{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{5} \times \mathbb{Z}_{4} \times \mathbb{Z}_{3} \times \mathbb{Z}_{3}
$$

is also a ring isomorphism.
c). Consider the projection maps: $\phi_{1}: R \rightarrow R / I, \phi_{2}: R \rightarrow R / J$. Because $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}$ are ring homomorphisms the product map:

$$
\phi: R \rightarrow R / I \times R / J, \phi(r)=\left(\phi_{1}(r), \phi_{2}(r)\right)
$$

is a ring homomorphism, where the ring $R / I \times R / J$ has the coordinate-wise operations.
The kernel is

$$
\operatorname{Ker}(\phi)=\{r, r \in I \text { and } r \in J\}=I \cap J=(0)
$$

Moreover because $R=I+J$ for every $(a+I, b+J) \in R / I \times R / J$ we have that $a=a_{1}+a_{2}$ where $a_{1} \in I, a_{2} \in J$ and $a+I=a_{2}+I$. Similarly $b=b_{1}+b_{2}$ where $b_{1} \in I, b_{2} \in J$ and $b+j=b_{1}+j$. Which means that $\phi\left(a_{2}+b_{1}\right)=(a+I, b+J)$. showing that $\operatorname{Im}(\phi)=R / I \times R / J$. By the fundamental isomorphism theorem we have that

$$
R / \operatorname{Ker}(\phi)=R \cong \operatorname{Im}(\phi)=R / I \times R / J .
$$

(2) Consider the polynomial $p(x)=x^{3}+2 x^{2}-5 x-3$ as a polynomial in the polynomial rings $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ and $\mathbb{Z}_{5}[x]$, and let $R=\mathbb{Q}[x] /(p(x))$ and $S=\mathbb{Z}_{5}[x] /(p(x))$.
(a) Show that $R$ is a vector space over $\mathbb{Q}$ and that $S$ is a vector space over $\mathbb{Z}_{5}$. What are the dimensions of these vector spaces?
(b) Determine whether $R$ and/or $S$ are integral domains or even fields?

## Solution

a). $R$ and $S$ are both abelian groups, therefore we have to show that they have a scalar multiplication satisfying the necessary properties. We do this for $R$, the prove for $S$ is similar.

Define the scalar product as:

$$
\mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q}[x] /(p(x)) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}[x] /(p(x))(a, f(x)+(p(x))) \mapsto a f(x)+(p(x)) .
$$

It satisfies the properties:

- $(a b)(f(x)+(p(x)))=(a b) f(x)+(p(x))=(a)(b f(x)+(p(x)))$.
- $(a+b)(f(x)+(p(x)))=(a+b) f(x)+(p(x))=(a) f(x)+(p(x)))+a) f(x)+(p(x)))$.
- $a(f(x)+g(x)+(p(x)))=a f(x)+a g(x)+(p(x))$.
- $1(f(x)+(p(x)))=f(x)+(p(x))$.

Notice that every element $f$ in $R$ (resp. in $S$ ) can be divided by $p$ and can be written as $f=m p+r$ where $m \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $r \in R$ (resp. in $S$ ) is the class of a polynomial of degree at most 2 . This shows that $S=\operatorname{span}\left([1],[x],\left[x^{2}\right]\right)$. Moreover $[1],[x],\left[x^{2}\right]$ are linearly independent over $\mathbb{Q}$ and thus

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Q}}(S)=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Q}}(R)=3
$$

b). An ideal in $R$ and $S$ is maximal if and only if prime. Moreover an ideal is prime if and only if its generator (recall that $R$ and $S$ are PID) is irreducible.

One sees immediately that $p(x)=x^{3}+2 x^{2}-5 x-3$ has the root 1 in $\mathbb{Z}_{5}$ and thus $S$ is neither a field nor an integral domain.

The polynomial $p(x)=x^{3}+2 x^{2}-5 x-3$ is going to be irreducible over $\mathbb{Q}$ if we prove that it is irreducible over $\mathbb{Z}$. If $p$ is reducinble it would have at least one simple root $\alpha$ which should be an integer dividing -3 . The only possibilities are $-3 .-1,1,3$ which are not roots. It follows that $R$ is a field.
c). Let $I=(a)$ be a prime ideal and assume $I \subset J \subset R$. Let $J=(b)$, then $a=b c$ for some element $c \in R$. Because $I$ is prime then it is $b \in I$ which implies $I=J$ or $c \in I$, i.e. $c=a d$ and thus (because $R$ is a domain) $b c=1$ implying that $J=R$.
(3) Recall that a field extension $L$ of a field $F$ is called a splitting field of $f(x)$ over $F$ if the following holds:
(i) $f(x)$ splits as a product of linear factors in $L[x]$.
(ii) If $L^{\prime} \subseteq L$ is another extension such that $f(x)$ splits as a product of linear factors in $L^{\prime}[x]$, then $L^{\prime}=L$.
(a) Show that $\mathbb{Q}(i)$ is a splitting field of $x^{2}-2 x+2$ over $\mathbb{Q}$.
(b) Let $F$ be a field and let $f(x) \in F[x]$ be an irreducible polynomial of degree 2 . Show that $F[x] /(f(x))$ is a splitting field of $f(x)$ over $F$ of degree 2.
(c) Give an example of a field $F$ and an irreducible polynomial $p(x) \in F[x]$ of degree 3 such that $F[x] /(p(x))$ is not a splitting field for $f(x)$ over $F$.

## Solution

a). Because $x^{2}-2 x+2=(x-1+i)(x-1-i)$ the extension $\mathbb{Q}(i)$ contains both roots. Any other algebraic extension, $L$, containing the two roots would have to contain the rational numbers and the complex number $i$ giving $\mathbb{Q}(i) \subset L$.
b). Let $\alpha=x+(f(x)) \in F[x] /(f(x))$. It is $e v_{\alpha}(f(x))=0 \in F[x] /(f(x))$ and thus $\alpha$ is a root of $f$. It follows that $f(x)=(x-\alpha)(a x-\beta)$ for some $a, \beta \in F[x] /(f(x))$ and thus both roots must lie in $F[x] /(f(x))$. Moreover Let $L$ be any other extension containing $\alpha$. Because $f$ is irreducible over $F$ and $\alpha \notin F$ the degree $[\mathbb{Q}(\alpha): \mathbb{Q}]=2$. Moreover because $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha) \subset F[x] /(f(x))$ and they are both of degree 2 it must be $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)=F[x] /(f(x))$. But $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha) \subset L$ and thus $F[x] /(f(x)) \subset L$.
c). Consider $F=\mathbb{Q}$ and $p(x)=x^{3}-2$. The extension $\mathbb{Q}[x] / x^{3}-2=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2})$, because $x^{3}-2$ is the minimal polynomial of $\sqrt[3]{2}$ over $\mathbb{Q}$. The other roots of $p$ are $\xi \sqrt[3]{2}$ and $\xi^{2} \sqrt[3]{2}$ where $\xi \in \mathbb{C}$ is a third root of unity. It follows that the splitting field for $f(x)$ over $F$ is $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2}, \xi) \neq \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2})=\mathbb{Q}[x] / x^{3}-2$.

