## Point Processes KTH, February 2011

All notation should be clearly defined. Arguments should be complete and careful. Send your solutions in pdf-form to tomas.bjork@hhs.se no later than March 12.

1. Consider two processes X and Y. We assume that, under a given probability measure P, the processes X and Y are both Poisson processes with constant P-intensities  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  respectively. We also assume that X and Y are P-independent. The object of this exercise is to prove that under these assumptions, X and Y have P - a.s. (i.e. with probability one) no common jumps. To show this we choose an arbitrary point in time T, and it is enough to show that we have

$$E\left[\sum_{0\leq t\leq T}\Delta X_t\Delta Y_t\right] = 0.$$
 (1)

Your job is to prove the relation (1) and to do this you may proceed as follows.

- (a) Use the independence to calculate  $E[X_TY_T]$ .
- (b) Use stochastic calculus to obtain another formula for the expectation  $E[X_TY_T]$ .
- (c) Compare the new formula with the old one to conclude that (1) holds.

.....(5p)

3. Consider the SDE

$$dX_t = aX_t dt + \beta dN_t,$$
  
$$X_0 = x_0,$$

where  $a, \beta$ , and  $x_0$  are known constants, and N is a counting process.

(a) Prove that the solution of the SDE can be written

$$X_t = e^{at}x_0 + \beta \int_0^t e^{a(t-s)} dN_s.$$

- (b) Now assume that N is Poisson with constant intensity  $\lambda$ . Compute  $E[X_t]$ .
- .....(2p)
- 4. Assume that the counting process N has the  $\mathcal{F}_t^N$  predictable intensity

$$\lambda_t = \alpha g(N_{t-})$$

where g is a non negative known deterministic function, and  $\alpha$  is an unknown parameter. Show that the ML estimate of  $\alpha$  is given by

$$\widehat{\alpha}_t = \frac{N_t}{\int_0^t g\left(N_s\right) ds}.$$

......(5p)

5. Consider a market model defined by

$$dS_t = \alpha S_t dt + \beta S_{t-} dN_t,$$
  
$$dB_t = r B_t dt.$$

Here,  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  and r are known constants, and we assume that  $\beta > -1$ , and that  $(r - \alpha)/\beta > 0$ . The process N is Poisson with P-intensity  $\lambda$ . We know that absence of arbitrage implies that there exists a measure  $Q \sim P$ , known as the risk neutral martingale measure, with the property that the process

$$\frac{S_t}{B_t}$$

is a Q-martingale. From general arbitrage theory it does in fact follows that the roles of S and B can be reversed, and we cite the following standard result.

**Proposition 0.1** Assuming absence of arbitrage, there exists a measure  $Q^S \sim P$  such that the process Z, defined by

$$Z_t = \frac{B_t}{S_t}$$

is a  $Q^S$ -martingale.

You now have the following problems to solve.

- (a) Compute the *P*-dynamics of *Z*. .....(2p)
- (b) Determine, as explicitly as possible, the dynamics of the likelihood process L, defined by

$$L_t = \frac{dQ^S}{dP}, \quad \text{on } \mathcal{F}_t.$$

and determine the intensity  $\lambda^S$  of N under  $Q^S$ . .....(3p)

## Good luck!