

MODELS OF STOCHASTIC ADAPTATION

Jörgen Weibull

April 7, 2010

References

1. Young P. (1993a): “The evolution of conventions”, *Econometrica* 61, 57-84.
2. Young P. (1993b): “An evolutionary model of bargaining”, *Journal of Economic Theory* 59, 145-168.
3. Hurkens S. (1995): “Learning by forgetful players”, *Games and Economic Behavior* 11, 304-329.
4. Bergin, J. and B. Lipman (1996): “Evolution with state-dependent mutations”, *Econometrica* 64, 943–956.

5. Young (1998): *Individual Strategy and Social Structure*, Princeton University Press, 1998.
 6. van Damme E. and J. Weibull (2002): “Evolution in games with endogenous mistake probabilities”, *Journal of Economic Theory* 106, 296-315.
- Freidlin M. and A. Wentzell (1984): *Random perturbations of dynamical systems*. Springer Verlag.

Somewhat related references

1. Hart S. and A. Mas-Collel (2000): “A simple adaptive procedure leading to correlated equilibria”, *Econometrica* 68, 1127-1150.
2. Hart S. (2005): “Adaptive heuristics”, *Econometrica* 73, 1401-1430.
3. Alos-Ferrer C. and N. Netzer (2010): “The logit response dynamic”, *Games and Economic Behavior* 68, 413-427.
4. Mertikopoulos P. and A. Moustakas (2009): “Rational behavior in the presence of stochastic perturbations”, WP, Department of physics, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens.

1 Young's model

- In class (and hand-out): Elements of Markov Chain Theory
- Adaptive play with finite memory m and sample size k
 - the unperturbed process
 - the perturbed process
- The invariant distribution $\mu^\varepsilon \rightarrow \mu^*$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$
- A finite normal-form game $G = (I, S, u)$ has *property NDBR* (non-degenerate best replies) if, for every player $i \in I$ and pure strategy $h \in S_i$, the set

$$B_{ih} = \{x \in \square(S) : h \in \beta_i(x)\}$$

is either empty or has a non-empty (relative) interior. This is a generic property of finite games.

Proposition 1.1 (Theorem 7.2. in Young, 1998) *Let G be a finite game with the NDBR property. If k/m is sufficiently small, the unperturbed process converges with probability one to a minimal CURB set. In the limit as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, the perturbed process places probability one on the minimal CURB sets that have minimal stochastic potential (typically a unique such set).*

- Revisit examples:

Example 1 (“Coordination”):

	L	R
T	2, 2	0, 0
B	0, 0	1, 1

Example 2 (“Matching Pennies”):

	H	T
H	1, -1	-1, 1
T	-1, 1	1, -1

Example 3 (Unique and strict NE):

	L	C	R
T	7, 0	2, 5	0, 7
M	5, 2	3, 3	5, 2
B	0, 7	2, 5	7, 0

Example 4: (Risk dominance vs. Pareto dominance):

	A	B
A	4, 4	0, 2
B	2, 0	3, 3

1.1 CURB sets

- Basu and Weibull (1991)
- Let G be a finite NF game with mixed-strategy extension \tilde{G} .
- An intermediate approach between NE and rationalizability
- Strategy-profile sets that are “closed under rational behavior”

Definition 1.1 *A retract is a subset $X \subset \square(S)$ such that $X = \times_{i \in N} X_i$ for non-empty, closed and convex subsets $X_i \subset \Delta(S_i)$*

Definition 1.2 *A retract X is closed under rational behavior (CURB) if $\tilde{\beta}(X) \subset X$*

- $\square(S)$ is a CURB retract
- A singleton set $\{x\}$, for x strict NE, too
- Look for minimal CURB retracts!

Proposition 1.2 *If a CURB retract X is minimal, then $X = \square(T)$ for non-empty sets $T_i \subset S_i$*

- All of this can be done directly in terms of pure strategies
- For any set $X \subset \square(S)$, let $\beta(X) = \cup_{x \in X} \beta(x)$

Definition 1.3 *A set $T = \times_{i=1}^n T_i$ is closed under rational behavior (CURB) if $\beta[\square(T)] \subset T$*

Proposition 1.3 (Ritzberger-Weibull) *Let T be a CURB set. For each component C of \square^{NE} , either $C \cap \square(T) = \emptyset$ or $C \subset \square(T)$. Moreover, $\square(T)$ contains at least one essential component of \square^{NE} and a proper equilibrium.*

Next lecture: Repeated games

References: Fudenberg and Maskin (1986) and Abreu, Dutta and Smith (1994)

THE END