



Avd. Matematisk statistik

**KTH Teknikvetenskap**

**Sf 2955: Computer intensive methods :**  
**ON THE BOOTSTRAP HYPOTHESIS AND BOOTSTRAP**  
**CONSISTENCY**  
Timo Koski

## 1 Preliminaries

Let  $X$  be a random variable and let a distribution function  $F$  on the real line be defined as

$$F(x) = \mathbf{P}(X \leq x), \quad -\infty < x < \infty.$$

We assume that the true distribution function is a member of a class of distribution functions  $\mathcal{M}$ . Let  $\theta$ , the quantity of interest, be

$$\theta = T(F).$$

Let  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  be a sample of  $X_1, \dots, X_n$ , I.I.D. with the distribution  $F$ . The empirical (cumulative) distribution function is

$$\hat{F}_n(x) = \frac{1}{n} \times (\text{the number of } X_i \leq x).$$

The plug-in estimator  $\hat{\theta}_n$  of  $\theta = T(F)$  on basis of  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  is defined by

$$\hat{\theta}_n = T(\hat{F}_n) = \hat{\theta}(X_1, \dots, X_n). \quad (1.1)$$

Let  $X_1^*, \dots, X_n^*$  be the bootstrap random variables based on  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ , i.e.,  $P(X_j^* = x_i) = \frac{1}{n}$  for any  $j$  and  $i$ . Then

$$\hat{\theta}_n^* = \hat{\theta}(X_1^*, \dots, X_n^*). \quad (1.2)$$

is the plug-in estimator in terms of the the bootstrap random variables.

**Example 1.1 The Mean** Let  $\theta = E[X]$ . Then

$$\hat{\theta}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i = \bar{X}, \quad (1.3)$$

and thus

$$\hat{\theta}_n^* = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^* = \bar{X}^*, \quad (1.4)$$

■

## 2 The Bootstrap Hypothesis

For many statistical problems we are interested in finding the distribution function

$$F_{\hat{\theta}_n}(x) = P_F(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta \leq x), \quad -\infty < x < \infty.$$

This may be difficult or impossible to find analytically without some simplifying assumptions. The idea in bootstrapping is to study  $F_{\hat{\theta}_n}$  by using the bootstrap distribution

$$F_{\hat{\theta}_n^*}(x) = P_{\hat{F}_n}(\hat{\theta}_n^* - \hat{\theta}_n \leq x).$$

**Definition 2.1 Bootstrap Hypothesis** We think that

$$F_{\hat{\theta}_n}(x) \approx F_{\hat{\theta}_n^*}(x)$$

with high probability for large  $n$  and uniformly in  $x$ .

■

One has to note that in this  $F_{\hat{\theta}_n^*}$  is a random variable as it is ultimately a function of  $X_1, \dots, X_n$ .

We can make the statement of the bootstrap hypothesis precise by considering the quantity

$$K(F_{\hat{\theta}_n}, F_{\hat{\theta}_n^*}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sup_{x \in R} |F_{\hat{\theta}_n}(x) - F_{\hat{\theta}_n^*}(x)|.$$

In any given situation we should show, of course, that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} K(F_{\hat{\theta}_n}, F_{\hat{\theta}_n^*}) \stackrel{a.s.}{=} 0,$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

### 3 The Bootstrap Hypothesis for Estimating the Mean

We shall consider the case in the example 1.1 above, or, estimator (1.3) of  $\theta = E[X]$ , when  $\sigma^2 = V[X]$ . We observe (recall) the following.

1. 
$$E_F [\hat{\theta}_n] = \theta \quad (3.1)$$

2. 
$$V_F [\hat{\theta}_n] = \frac{\sigma^2}{n}. \quad (3.2)$$

3. 
$$E_{\hat{F}_n} [\hat{\theta}_n^*] = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n E_{\hat{F}_n} [X_i^*] = E_{\hat{F}_n} [X_1^*] = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = \bar{x}. \quad (3.3)$$

4. 
$$\begin{aligned} V_{\hat{F}_n} [\hat{\theta}_n^*] &= \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n V_{\hat{F}_n} [X_i^*] \\ &= \frac{1}{n} V_{\hat{F}_n} [X_1^*] \\ &= \frac{1}{n} E_{\hat{F}_n} [(X_1^* - E_{\hat{F}_n} [X_1^*])^2] \\ &= \frac{1}{n} E_{\hat{F}_n} [(X_1^* - \bar{x})^2] \\ &= \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2 = \frac{\hat{\sigma}^2}{n}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

Here we have the plug-in estimate of  $\sigma^2$ ,

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2. \quad (3.5)$$

For study of bootstrap hypothesis we will consider the two sequences of random variables

$$H_n = \sqrt{n} (\hat{\theta}_n - \theta) \quad (3.6)$$

and

$$H_n^* = \sqrt{n} \left( \hat{\theta}_n^* - \bar{X} \right). \quad (3.7)$$

We set

$$K_n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sup_{x \in R} \left| P_F \left( \frac{H_n}{\sigma} \leq \frac{x}{\sigma} \right) - P_{\hat{F}_n} \left( \frac{H_n^*}{\hat{\sigma}} \leq \frac{x}{\hat{\sigma}} \right) \right|$$

and use  $\Phi(t)$ , the cumulative distribution function of  $N(0, 1)$ , in the identity

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sup_{x \in R} \left| P_F \left( \frac{H_n}{\sigma} \leq \frac{x}{\sigma} \right) - \Phi \left( \frac{x}{\sigma} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \Phi \left( \frac{x}{\sigma} \right) - \Phi \left( \frac{x}{\hat{\sigma}} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \Phi \left( \frac{x}{\hat{\sigma}} \right) - P_{\hat{F}_n} \left( \frac{H_n^*}{\hat{\sigma}} \leq \frac{x}{\hat{\sigma}} \right) \right|. \end{aligned}$$

By the triangle inequality we get the upper bound

$$K_n \leq A_n + B_n + C_n,$$

where

$$A_n = \sup_{x \in R} \left| P_F \left( \frac{H_n}{\sigma} \leq \frac{x}{\sigma} \right) - \Phi \left( \frac{x}{\sigma} \right) \right| \quad (3.8)$$

$$B_n = \sup_{x \in R} \left| \Phi \left( \frac{x}{\sigma} \right) - \Phi \left( \frac{x}{\hat{\sigma}} \right) \right| \quad (3.9)$$

$$C_n = \sup_{x \in R} \left| P_{\hat{F}_n} \left( \frac{H_n^*}{\hat{\sigma}} \leq \frac{x}{\hat{\sigma}} \right) - \Phi \left( \frac{x}{\hat{\sigma}} \right) \right|. \quad (3.10)$$

We shall now show that each of these sequences will converge to zero, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

## 4 Proof of The Bootstrap Hypothesis for Estimating the Mean

We have derived the inequality

$$K_n \leq A_n + B_n + C_n,$$

Here  $A_n$  is a non-random quantity, which is shown to converge to zero by the famous Berry-Esseen bound. Then we shall show that  $B_n$  converges to zero

almost surely by the fact that  $\hat{\sigma}^2$  converges (consistency of estimation) to  $\sigma^2$  in view of the law of large numbers. Finally we use Berry-Esseen again to find a random upper bound for the nonnegative random variable  $C_n$ . Then it is established that this upper bound converges to zero by invoking the Zygmund -Marcinkiewicz strong law of large numbers.

#### 4.1 The Berry-Esseen bound, $A_n \rightarrow 0$

We write by (1.3)

$$\frac{H_n}{\sigma} = \frac{(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i - n\theta)}{\sqrt{n}\sigma}$$

so that

$$A_n = \sup_{x \in R} | P_F \left( \frac{(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i - n\theta)}{\sqrt{n}\sigma} \leq \frac{x}{\sigma} \right) - \Phi \left( \frac{x}{\sigma} \right) | .$$

The **central limit theorem** tells that

$$\frac{(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i - n\theta)}{\sqrt{n}\sigma} \xrightarrow{d} N(0, 1),$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . But we know and need even more, we have the following inequality giving a kind of speed of convergence, see A. Gut: *An Intermediate Course in Probability. 2nd Ed.* p. 165, eq. (5.4),

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{x \in R} | F_{\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i - n\theta}{\sqrt{n}\sigma}\right)}(x) - \Phi(x) | \\ \leq \frac{c}{\sigma^3} \frac{E_F [| X_1 - \theta |^3]}{\sqrt{n}}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

Clearly we require also that  $E_F [|X_1 - \theta|^3] < \infty$ . The inequality in (4.1) is known as the **Berry-Esseen bound**, c.f. Esseen 1944.  $c$  is a universal constant (one can take  $c = 0.8$ , sharper bounds have been found recently) that does not depend on  $n$ . But clearly this shows that  $A_n \rightarrow 0$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

#### 4.2 $B_n \rightarrow 0$

This is the simple case. In view of (3.5)

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2,$$

we get by an algebraic identity that

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 - 2\bar{X} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i + \bar{X}^2$$

The strong law of large numbers gives, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , that

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 \xrightarrow{a.s.} E_F [X^2],$$

and

$$\bar{X} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \xrightarrow{a.s.} \theta$$

and thus

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 \xrightarrow{a.s.} E_F [X^2] - 2\theta^2 + \theta^2 = E_F [X^2] - \theta^2 = \sigma^2. \quad (4.2)$$

In other words,  $\hat{\sigma}^2$  is a consistent estimator of  $\sigma^2$ . Thus

$$\Phi \left( \frac{x}{\hat{\sigma}} \right) \xrightarrow{a.s.} \Phi \left( \frac{x}{\sigma} \right),$$

for every  $x$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , as  $\Phi(x)$  is a continuous function. However, for the desired conclusion to hold we need that  $\Phi(x)$  is a **uniformly continuous function**<sup>1</sup>. Then, by (3.9)

$$B_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} 0.$$

---

<sup>1</sup>**Uniform continuity:** We have by mean value theorem of differential calculus that

$$\Phi(x+h) - \Phi(x) = \Phi'(\xi)h$$

where  $\xi = (1-\lambda)x + \lambda(x+h) = x + \lambda h$ ,  $0 < \lambda < 1$ . Since

$$\Phi'(\xi) = \phi(\xi) \leq \phi(0)$$

where  $\phi(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-x^2/2}$ , we get

$$|\Phi(x+h) - \Phi(x)| \leq \phi(0) \cdot |h|.$$

Let us now fix an arbitrary  $\epsilon > 0$ . Hence, for  $|h| < \frac{\epsilon}{\phi(0)}$

$$|\Phi(x+h) - \Phi(x)| \leq \epsilon,$$

and this bound is **the same for all**  $x$  for a given arbitrary  $\epsilon > 0$ .

### 4.3 The Berry-Esseen bound, $C_n \rightarrow 0$

Let us first condition on  $X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_n = x_n$ . Then  $E_{\hat{F}_n} [\hat{\theta}_n^*] = \bar{x}$  and we consider in (3.7) the bootstrap random variable

$$H_n^* = \sqrt{n} \left( \hat{\theta}_n^* - \bar{x} \right). \quad (4.3)$$

Then we can write as in the preceding case

$$\frac{H_n^*}{\hat{\sigma}} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^* - n\bar{x}}{\sqrt{n}\hat{\sigma}}.$$

Now we apply the Berry-Esseen bound (4.1) again on the distribution of the variable in the right hand side, since the means and variances are given in (3.3) and (3.5), respectively, w.r.t  $\hat{F}_n$  are fixed. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{x \in R} \left| P_{\hat{F}_n} \left( \frac{H_n^*}{\hat{\sigma}} \leq x \right) - \Phi(x) \right| \\ \leq \frac{c^*}{\hat{\sigma}^3} \frac{E_{\hat{F}_n} [|X_1^* - \bar{x}|^3]}{\sqrt{n}}. \end{aligned}$$

We have, as above,

$$E_{\hat{F}_n} [|X_1^* - \bar{x}|^3] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |x - \bar{x}|^3 d\hat{F}_n(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i - \bar{x}|^3.$$

Thus we have obtained

$$\sup_{x \in R} \left| P_{\hat{F}_n} \left( \frac{H_n^*}{\hat{\sigma}} \leq x \right) - \Phi(x) \right| \leq \frac{c}{\hat{\sigma}^3} \frac{1}{n^{3/2}} \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i - \bar{x}|^3. \quad (4.4)$$

We need an auxiliary inequality, or

$$\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i - \bar{x}|^3 \leq 2^3 \left( \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i - \theta|^3 + n |\theta - \bar{x}|^3 \right). \quad (4.5)$$

Thus we get in the right hand side of the inequality (4.4) that

$$\frac{c}{\hat{\sigma}^3} \frac{1}{n^{3/2}} \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i - \bar{x}|^3 \leq \frac{c2^3}{\hat{\sigma}^3} \left( \frac{1}{n^{3/2}} \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i - \theta|^3 + \frac{1}{n^{1/2}} |\theta - \bar{x}|^3 \right) \quad (4.6)$$

Then, we recall that we obtained this by fixing the outcomes  $X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_n = x_n$ . When we consider the upper bound (4.6) as a random variable, we get an inequality between two stochastic variables as

$$C_n \leq \frac{c2^3}{\widehat{\sigma}^3} \left( \frac{1}{n^{3/2}} \sum_{i=1}^n |X_i - \theta|^3 + \frac{1}{n^{1/2}} |\theta - \overline{X}|^3 \right). \quad (4.7)$$

Here the researcher has needed to dig deep in the reservoirs of knowledge about probability theory. There one finds the **Zygmund -Marcinkiewicz strong law of large numbers**, as given in the next lemma.

**Lemma 4.1** Let  $Y_1, \dots, Y_n, \dots$  be I.I.D. random variables with distribution  $F$ . Suppose that for some  $0 < \delta < 1$  it holds that  $E[|Y|^\delta] < \infty$ . Then it holds that

$$\frac{1}{n^{1/\delta}} \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i \xrightarrow{a.s.} 0,$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . ■

A proof may be found on p. 122 in Y.S. Chow and H. Teicher: *Probability Theory. Independence. Interchangeability. Martingales*. Springer-Verlag, 1978.

Let us now apply this to

$$Y_i = |X_i - \theta|^3$$

and take  $\delta = 2/3$ . Thus

$$E[|Y|^\delta] = E[|X_i - \theta|^2] = \sigma^2 < \infty.$$

Hence we get in the right hand side of (4.6), where  $3/2 = 1/\delta$ , that

$$\frac{1}{n^{3/2}} \sum_{i=1}^n |X_i - \theta|^3 = \frac{1}{n^{1/\delta}} \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i \xrightarrow{a.s.} 0$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . As has been shown in (4.2),  $\widehat{\sigma}^2 \xrightarrow{a.s.} \sigma^2$ . In addition, the law of large numbers entails that

$$|\theta - \overline{X}| \xrightarrow{a.s.} 0,$$

and therefore

$$\frac{1}{n^{1/2}} |\theta - \overline{X}| \xrightarrow{3a.s.} 0.$$

Hence we have proved that the two terms in the right hand side of (4.7) converge to zero almost surely. This completes the proof of  $C_n \rightarrow 0$ .



## 5 Bootstrapping, Failures of Bootstrap, Berry-Esseen

In summary, we have in other words established that the bootstrap hypothesis holds for

$$P_F \left( \frac{H_n}{\sigma} \leq \frac{x}{\sigma} \right) - P_{\hat{F}_n} \left( \frac{H_n^*}{\hat{\sigma}} \leq \frac{x}{\hat{\sigma}} \right).$$

The case under study,  $\overline{X}$  as estimator of  $\theta = E[X]$ , is as such of no great particular interest as an application of bootstrapping, which is designed for analysis of more complicated estimators.

However, in a way the proof above indicates that  $P_{\hat{F}_n} \left( \frac{H_n^*}{\hat{\sigma}} \leq \frac{x}{\hat{\sigma}} \right)$  is a better approximation of  $P_F \left( \frac{H_n}{\sigma} \leq \frac{x}{\sigma} \right)$  than  $\Phi(x)$ .

Babu & Rao (1993) state that bootstrap may fail to be consistent for

- extreme value statistics.
- when  $E[X_1^2] = +\infty$ , bootstrap distribution does not converge to any probability distribution.

For more on the Berry-Esseen bound one can study  
[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Berry-Esseen\\_theorem](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Berry-Esseen_theorem)  
and  
[http://sv.wikipedia.org/wiki/Carl-Gustav\\_Esseen](http://sv.wikipedia.org/wiki/Carl-Gustav_Esseen)

## 6 Additional Sources

- G.J. Babu & C.R. Rao: Bootstrap Methodology. in C.R. Rao (ed.) *Handbook of Statistics*, Vol. 9, 1993. pp. 627–659.
- A. DasGupta: *Asymptotic Theory of Statistics and Probability*. Springer 2008.
- C-G. Esseen: Fourier Analysis of Distribution Functions. A Mathematical Study of Laplace-Gaussian Law. *Inaugural Dissertation, October 14th, 1944*, Almqvist & Wiksells Boktryckeri, Uppsala, 1944.