

SF2832
Solutions to 2012 Exam

(For reference only)

1.

a) True. $\|x(t)\|^2 = x_0^T e^{At} e^{A^T t} x_0 \neq x_0^T x_0 \quad \forall x_0$
 $\Rightarrow e^{At} e^{A^T t} = I \Rightarrow A^T = -A$

Since $\det(A) = \det(A^T) = \det(-A) = (-1)^3 \det A$
 $\Rightarrow \det(A) = 0 \Rightarrow \lambda_1 = 0$.

b) True. Since $y^{(i)}(t) = CA^i x(t)$

c) True. Since feedback does not change controllability and output feedback does not change observability.

d) False. Example: $A=0$, $B=-I$, which implies $P=0$.

2.

a) $\dot{x}_1 = A_1 x_1 + B_1 C_2 x_2$

$\dot{x}_2 = A_2 x_2 + B_2 u$

$y = G x_1$

b) Since $\text{rank}(B_2) = n_2$, we can assume no. of inputs = n_2 .

$\Rightarrow B_2$ nonsingular. Since $u = KV$ where K nonsingular does not change controllability, we can let $K = B_2^{-1}$. Since feedback does not change controllability, we can let $u = B_2^{-1}(-A_2 x_2 + V)$.

$\Rightarrow A = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & B_1 C_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ I \end{bmatrix}$. The result follows.

2.

c) $\dot{x}_1 = x_{22}$
 $\dot{x}_{21} = x_{22}$ ($B_1 = 1$, $C_2 = [0 \ 1]$)
 $\dot{x}_{22} = u$

3.

a) $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$, $B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ -1 & \beta \\ -1 & \gamma \end{bmatrix}$, $C = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$

b) No. Since $\delta = 3$ if $\beta \neq \gamma$ and $\delta = 2$ if $\beta = \gamma$

c) When $\beta = \gamma$, $y_1(s) = y_2(s)$, which implies we only need to find a minimum realization from a) by considering only y_1 (or y_2) as the output. One can see easily that x_2, x_4 has no influence on y_1 , thus

$$\dot{x}_1 = x_3 + u_1$$

$$\dot{x}_3 = -x_1 - 2x_3 - u_1 + \beta u_2$$

$$y = x_1$$

is a realization that happens to be already minimal!

$$\Rightarrow A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & -2 \end{bmatrix}, B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & \beta \end{bmatrix}, C = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

4.

a) Use the same trick as on p. 33 of the Compendium, where we let $Q = BB^T$ and replace A by A^T .

4.

b) We can show $L = -L e^{(-At)} B B^T e^{(-\bar{A}^T t)} L$

or $L^{-1} = e^{At} B B^T e^{-\bar{A}^T t}$ (this is easier to calculate but less intuitive).

where, $L = e^{\bar{A}^T t} W(t) e^{At}$

c) from the equality in a), we have

$$-AL^{-1} - L^{-1} A^T - e^{-At} B B^T e^{-\bar{A}^T t} = -B B^T$$

and the result follows.

5 (5.b) is omitted)

a). Let $b = \begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \\ b_3 \end{bmatrix}$, $\|b\| \neq 0$, $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -a_3 & a_2 \\ a_3 & 0 & -a_1 \\ -a_2 & a_1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$,

and denote $a = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \\ a_3 \end{bmatrix}$.

Method 1: Since $Ab = a \otimes b$, $A^2 b = a \otimes (a \otimes b)$.

as long as a is not parallel or perpendicular to b ,
 $\{b \ A b \ A^2 b\}$ is nonsingular.

Method 2: we let $\hat{b} = b/\|b\|$ and use \hat{b} as the

first (2nd, or third) column of an orthonormal
 transform matrix T . Then in the new coordinates

$$\hat{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \bar{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\bar{a}_3 & \bar{a}_2 \\ \bar{a}_3 & 0 & -\bar{a}_1 \\ -\bar{a}_2 & \bar{a}_1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Computing $\{\hat{b} \ \bar{A} \bar{A}^T \bar{b}\}$ the conclusion follows.