#### Suggested solutions. Exam Maj 23 2013 in SF2852 Optimal Control.

1. Solution: We use PMP. The Hamiltonian is

$$H(x, u, \lambda) = u^2 - \lambda x + \lambda u$$

Pointwise minimization gives  $u^*(t) = -\lambda(t)/2$ . The adjoint equation is

$$\dot{\lambda} = \lambda$$

and there is no boundary constrant specified on  $\lambda$ . This implies  $u^*(t) = -\lambda(t)/2 = -e^t \lambda_0$ , where  $\lambda_0$  is a free parameter that must be chosen such that the state constraint is satisfied (this means we have chosen  $\lambda(t) = e^t \lambda(0)$  with  $\lambda(0) = 2\lambda_0$ ). We have

$$x(1) = e^{-1} - \int_0^1 e^{-(1-t)} e^t dt \lambda_0 = e^{-1} - \frac{\lambda_0}{2} (e^1 - e^{-1}) = 0$$

This implies

$$\lambda_0 = \frac{1}{e\sinh(1)}.$$

The optimal control is  $u^*(t) = -e^t \lambda_0$  and the optimal cost is

$$\int_0^1 (u^*(t))^2 dt = \frac{\lambda_0^2}{2} (e^2 - 1)$$

### 2. Solution:

- (a) The ARE becomes  $-2p + 1 = p^2$ , which gives  $p = -1 \pm \sqrt{2}$ . The positive definite solution  $p = \sqrt{2} 1$  corresponds to the stabilizing solution. We get
  - i. The optimal stabilizing feedback control  $u = (1 \sqrt{2})x$ .
  - ii. The optimal cost  $J(x(0)) = x(0)^2 p = \sqrt{2} 1$ .
- (b) The closed loop system becomes

$$\dot{x} = -x + (1 - \sqrt{2})x = -\sqrt{2}x$$

Hence, the closed loop pole is at  $s = -\sqrt{2}$ .

(c) HJBE gives rise to the Riccati equation

$$\dot{p} - 2p + 1 - p^2 = 0$$

Separation of variables gives

$$\frac{dp}{(p+1+\sqrt{2})(p+1-\sqrt{2})} = 2\sqrt{2}dt$$
  
$$\Leftrightarrow \quad \ln\left(\frac{p(t)+1-\sqrt{2}}{p(t)+1+\sqrt{2}}\right) = 2\sqrt{2}t+c$$
  
$$\Leftrightarrow \quad \frac{p(t)+1-\sqrt{2}}{p(t)+1+\sqrt{2}} = e^{2\sqrt{2}t+c}$$

The boundary condition p(T) = 0 gives  $c = -2\sqrt{2}T + \ln((1 - \sqrt{2})/(1 + \sqrt{2}))$ . Hence,

$$p(t,T) = \frac{1 - e^{2\sqrt{2}(t-T)}}{1 + \sqrt{2} + (\sqrt{2} - 1)e^{2\sqrt{2}(t-T)}}$$

The optimal feedback solution is u(t) = p(t,T)x(t) and the optimal cost-to-go is  $J(t,x) = p(t,T)x^2$ .

(d) We have

$$\lim_{T \to \infty} p(t, T) = \frac{1}{1 + \sqrt{2}} = \sqrt{2} - 1$$

which is the same as the stabilizing solution to the ARE in problem (a).

# 3. Solution:

(a) Taking the logarithm of the cost we want to maximize

$$\log L(x_1, \dots, x_T) = -\frac{T-1}{2} \log (2\pi) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=1}^{T-1} (x_t - x_{t+1})^2, \quad (1)$$

or equivalently minimizing

$$\sum_{t=1}^{T-1} (x_t - x_{t+1})^2.$$

This can be written as the dynamic programming problem

$$\min \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} f_0(t, x_t, u_t) \quad \text{subject to} \quad \begin{cases} x_{t+1} = x_t + u_t \\ x_0 = 0 \\ x_t + u_t \in M_{t+1} \\ \text{for } t = 0, 1, \dots, T-1 \end{cases}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi(x_T) &= 0 \\
f_0(0, 0, u_0) &= 0 \\
f_0(t, x_t, u_t) &= u_t^2.
\end{aligned}$$

The optimal cost is then obtained from (1).

(b) Let

$$J(k, x_k) = \min \sum_{t=k}^{T-1} f_0(t, x_t, u_t) \text{ subject to } \begin{cases} x_{t+1} = x_t + u_t \\ x_t + u_t \in M_{t+1} \\ \text{for } t = k, k+1, \dots, T-1 \end{cases}$$

for  $x_k \in M_k$  and  $k \in 0, 1, ..., T$ . Using dynamic programming, the backward recursion

$$J(k,x) = \min_{u_k+x_k \in M_{k+1}} \{f_0(k,x_k,u_k) + J(k+1,x_k+u_k)\}, \quad k = T-1, T-2, \dots, 0$$
  
$$J(T,x) = 0$$

gives the optimal solution. From this, we have

$$J(4,x) = 0, \quad x \text{ for } \in M_4$$

$$J(3,4) = \min_{x \in M_4 = \{2,7,8\}} (x-4)^2 + J(4,x) = 4, \quad (x_4 = 2),$$

$$J(3,6) = \min_{x \in M_4 = \{2,7,8\}} (x-6)^2 + J(4,x) = 1, \quad (x_4 = 7),$$

$$J(3,8) = \min_{x \in M_4 = \{2,7,8\}} (x-8)^2 + J(4,x) = 0, \quad (x_4 = 8),$$

$$J(2,2) = \min_{x \in M_3 = \{4,6,8\}} (x-2)^2 + J(3,x) = 8, \quad (x_3 = 4),$$
  
$$J(2,5) = \min_{x \in M_3 = \{4,6,8\}} (x-5)^2 + J(3,x) = 2, \quad (x_5 = 6).$$

$$J(2,5) = \min_{x \in M_3 = \{4,6,8\}} (x-5)^2 + J(3,x) = 2, \quad (x_3 = 6),$$
  
$$J(2,8) = \min(x-8)^2 + J(3,x) = 0, \quad (x_3 = 8),$$

$$(2,8) = \min_{x \in M_3 = \{4,6,8\}} (x-8)^2 + J(3,x) = 0, \quad (x_3 = 8),$$

$$J(1,2) = \min_{x \in M_2 = \{2,5,8\}} (x-2)^2 + J(2,x) = 8, \quad (x_2 = 2),$$

$$J(1,4) = \min_{x \in M_2 = \{2,5,8\}} (x-4)^2 + J(2,x) = 3, \quad (x_2 = 5),$$

$$J(0,0) = \min_{x \in M_1 = \{2,4\}} J(1,x) = 3, \quad (x_1 = 4).$$

The optimal path is  $(4 \rightarrow 5 \rightarrow 6 \rightarrow 7)$ , and the likelihood is

$$L(x_1, \dots, x_T) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}J(0, 0)\right) = \frac{e^{-3/2}}{(2\pi)^{3/2}}.$$

#### 4. Solution:

The Hamiltonian is given by

$$H(x, u, \lambda) = (u - x)^2 + \lambda(ax + u),$$

and pointwise minimization gives

$$0 = \frac{\partial H}{\partial u} \Longrightarrow u = x - \frac{\lambda}{2}.$$

The Hamiltonian is zero, hence we get

$$0 = H = \lambda \left( (1+a)x - \frac{\lambda}{4} \right)$$

which has the two solutions  $\lambda \in \{0, 4(1+a)x\}$ . In (a) the solution  $\lambda = 0$  is not stabilizing, and the optimal solution is

$$u = x - 2(1+a)x \Longrightarrow \dot{x} = -(1+a)x$$

This gives  $x(t) = e^{-(1+a)t}x_0$  and noting that x - u = 2(1+a)x we have that

$$\int_0^\infty (x-u)^2 dt = 4(1+a)^2 x_0^2 \int_0^\infty e^{-2(1+a)t} dt = 2(1+a)x_0^2.$$

In (b), u = x, which corresponds to  $\lambda = 0$ , is stabilizing and the optimal cost is hence 0.

In (c), the solution u = x gives cost zero, but is not stabilizing since then  $x \equiv x_0$ . The cost can be made arbitrary close to zero by letting  $u = (1 - \epsilon)x$  with  $\epsilon > 0$  tending to zero.

# 5. Solution:

(a) Letting  $y(t) = \int_0^t u(\tau) d\tau$ , the optimization becomes

$$\max \int_0^T x(t)dt \quad \text{subject to} \quad \begin{cases} \dot{x} = -x^2 + u \\ \dot{y} = u \\ x(0) = 0 \\ y(0) = 0, \quad y(T) = K, \\ 0 \le u(t) \le 1. \end{cases}$$

The Hamiltonian corresponding to the problem is

$$H(x, u, \lambda) = x + \lambda(-x^2 + u) + \mu u$$

where  $(\lambda, \mu)$  are the dual variables. The dynamics for the dual equations are given by

$$\dot{\lambda} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial x} = -1 + 2\lambda x \dot{\mu} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial y} = 0.$$

The boundary condition of the dual system is  $\lambda(T) = 0$ .

(b) The optimal u is the maximizing argument the Hamiltonian, hence

$$\arg\max_{u\in[0,1]}H((x,y),u,(\lambda,\mu)) = \arg\max_{u\in[0,1]}u(\lambda+\mu) = \begin{cases} 1 & \lambda+\mu>0\\ ? & \lambda+\mu=0\\ 0 & \lambda+\mu<0 \end{cases}$$

The control in the second case only affect the dynamics if  $\lambda + \mu = 0$  on an interval *I*. Since  $\dot{\mu} = 0$  we must have  $0 = \dot{\lambda} = -1 + 2\lambda x$  on *I*, hence  $\lambda x = 1/2$ . For this to hold, we must have  $\dot{x} = 0$  as well, hence  $u = x^2$  on *I* (note that  $\lambda \neq 0$  since  $\dot{\lambda} = 0$  and  $\lambda = 0$  cannot hold simultaneously). The maximizing control is therefore

$$\arg\max_{u\in[0,1]}H((x,y),u,(\lambda,\mu)) = \arg\max_{u\in[0,1]}u(\lambda+\mu) = \begin{cases} 1 & \lambda+\mu>0\\ x^2 & \lambda+\mu=0\\ 0 & \lambda+\mu<0. \end{cases}$$

except possibly at isolated points.

(c) Note first that  $0 \le x(t) < 1$  for any control on  $t \in [0, T]$ . Due to this,  $\dot{\lambda} < 0$  for  $\lambda < 1/2$ . Therefore  $\lambda(T) = 0$  implies that  $\lambda(t) > 0$  for all  $t \in [0, T)$ . We now have  $\mu < 0$  since otherwise  $\lambda + \mu > 0$  for  $t \in [0, T)$  and hence u(t) = 1 for  $t \in [0, T)$ , which is an infeasible control.

In the phase plane  $(x, \lambda)$  there are five regions of interest.

| $(1): x\lambda < 1/2, \lambda + \mu \ge 0$ | control $u = 1$                              |
|--|--|
| $(2): x\lambda = 1/2, \lambda + \mu = 0$   | control $u = x^2$                            |
| $(3): x\lambda \le 1/2, \lambda + \mu < 0$ | control $u = 0$                              |
| $(4): x\lambda \ge 1/2, \lambda + \mu > 0$ | invariant (cannot satisfy $\lambda(T) = 0$ ) |
| $(5): x\lambda > 1/2, \lambda + \mu \le 0$ | can never be reached.                        |

The only regions that can be part of an optimal trajectory are the first three listed above. At t = 0, the state is in (1), since x(0) = 0. At time T, the dual state is in (3) since  $\lambda(T) = 0$ . Note that here  $x\lambda \leq 1/2$ , hence  $\dot{\lambda} \leq 0$ . The only possible sequence of states are hence  $(1) \rightarrow (2) \rightarrow (3)$  or  $(1) \rightarrow (3)$ . The possible switching sequences are hence  $u = (1, x^2, 0)$  or (1, 0).

(d) Let K = 1 and T > K. First we want to bound the cost using the control

$$u(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & t < 1 \\ 0 & t \ge 1. \end{cases}$$
(2)

First note that  $\int_0^1 x dt \leq 1$  since  $x(t) \leq 1$ . Secondly, for  $t \geq 1$ ,  $\dot{x} = -x^2$  implies that

$$x(t) = \frac{1}{t - 1 + \frac{1}{x(1)}}.$$

Integrating, we get

$$\int_{1}^{T} x dt = \log((T-1)x(1) + 1) \le \log(T),$$

hence  $\int_0^T x dt \le 1 + \log(T)$  using this control. Compare this to the control  $u \equiv 1/T$ . The ODE  $\dot{x} = -x^2 + 1/T$  with x(0) = 0 has the solution

$$x(t) = \left(1 - 2\left(1 + e^{2t/\sqrt{T}}\right)^{-1}\right)/\sqrt{T}.$$

When  $t \ge \sqrt{T}$  it holds that

$$x(t) \ge \left(1 - \frac{1}{1 + e^2}\right) / \sqrt{T} \ge \frac{1}{2\sqrt{T}}$$

hence

$$\int_{0}^{T} x dt \ge \int_{\sqrt{T}}^{T} x dt \ge \frac{T - \sqrt{T}}{2\sqrt{T}} = (\sqrt{T} - 1)/2.$$

Since  $\log(T)/\sqrt{T} \to 0$  as  $T \to \infty$ , it is possible to pick T such that  $(\sqrt{T}-1)/2 > 1 + \log(T)$ , (e.g., T = 1000). For such T, the control (2) is not optimal, hence the optimal switching sequence for these parameters is  $u = (1, x^2, 0)$ .