Exam October 25, 2019 in SF2852 Optimal Control.

Examiner: Johan Karlsson, tel. 790 84 40.

Allowed books: The formula sheet and β mathematics handbook.

Solution methods: All conclusions should be properly motivated.

Note: Your personal number must be stated on the cover sheet. Number your pages and write your name on each sheet that you turn in!

Preliminary grades (Credit = exam credit + bonus from homeworks): 23-24 credits give grade Fx (contact examiner asap for further info), 25-27 credits give grade E, 28-32 credits give grade D, 33-38 credits give grade C, 39-44 credits give grade B, and 45 or more credits give grade A.

1. Consider the optimal control problem

$$\min \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (x_k^2 + 4u_k^2) \quad \text{subj.to} \quad x_{k+1} = 2(x_k + u_k), \ x_0 = \text{given}$$

(a) Use the Bellman equation

$$V(x) = \min_{u} \{ f_0(x, u) + V(f(x, u)) \}$$

to compute the optimal control and the optimal cost. (8p)

(b) Compute the eigenvalue of the closed loop system. $\dots \dots (2p)$

2. In this problem you will solve the following optimal control problem

$$\min \sum_{k=0}^{2} (x_k^2 + u_k^2) \quad \text{subj. to} \quad \begin{cases} x_{k+1} = x_k + u_k, & x_0 = 0; \ x_3 = 2\\ u_k \in U_k(x_k) = \{u : 0 \le x_k + u \le 2; u \text{ is an integer} \} \end{cases}$$

(a) Formulate the dynamic programming algorithm for this problem.

(b) Use the dynamic programming recursion to find the optimal solution.

3. Consider the optimal control problem

$$\min \int_{0}^{t_f} u(t)dt \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \begin{cases} \dot{x}(t) = -x(t) + u(t), \quad x(0) = x_0 \quad x(t_f) = 0\\ u \in [0, m] \end{cases}$$
(1)

- (a) Suppose t_f is fixed. For what values of x_0 is it possible to find a solution to the above problem, i.e. for what values of x_0 can the constraints be satisfied?
 - (2p)
- (b) Find the optimal control to (1) (for those x_0 you found in (a)). (5p)
- (c) Let t_f be free, i.e. consider the optimal control problem

$$\min \int_0^{t_f} u(t)dt \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \begin{cases} \dot{x}(t) = -x(t) + u(t), \quad x(0) = x_0 \quad x(t_f) = 0\\ u \in [0, m]; \ t_f \ge 0 \end{cases}$$

4. Consider the following value function (cost-to-go function)

$$V(t_0, x_0) = \max_{u(t) \ge 0, t \in [t_0, T]} \int_{t_0}^T \sqrt{u(t)} dt$$

s.t.
$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}(t) = \beta x(t) - u(t) \\ x(t) \ge 0, t \in [t_0, T] \\ x(t_0) = x_0 \end{cases}$$

where $\beta > 0$. Verify that $V(t, x) = f(t)\sqrt{x}$, where

$$f(t) = \sqrt{\frac{e^{\beta(T-t)} - 1}{\beta}}$$

Comment: Note that the value function is only defined on $[0,T] \times R_+$, where $R_+ = (0,\infty)$ (i.e. $V : [0,T] \times R_+ \rightarrow R_+$). The theorems presented in the course are also valid when the domain is restricted to such a set.

5. Consider the following optimization problem

$$\inf_{\substack{x(t), u(t), t \in [0,1]}} \int_0^1 t u(t)^2 dt$$
(2)
subject to $\dot{x}(t) = u(t)$
 $x(0) = 0, x(1) = 1$

where $\inf(S)$ denotes the infimum of $S \subset \mathbf{R}$, i.e., the greatest lower bound on the numbers in S.

- (a) Find the infimum in (2).(3p)

Good luck!

Solutions

1. (a) The Bellman equation becomes

$$V(x) = \min_{u} \{x^2 + 4u^2 + V(2x + 2u)\}$$

Let us try $V(x) = px^2$. We get

$$px^{2} = \min_{u} \{x^{2} + 4u^{2} + p(2x + 2u)^{2}\}$$
$$= \min_{u} \{4(1+p)(u + \frac{p}{1+p}x)^{2} - \frac{4p^{2}}{1+p}x^{2} + (1+4p)x^{2}\}$$

This gives the optimal control

$$u = -\frac{p}{1+p}x$$

where p is the positive definite solution to the Riccati equation

$$p = -\frac{4p^2}{1+p} + (1+4p)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \qquad p^2 - 4p - 1 = 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \qquad p = 2 \pm \sqrt{5}$$

Hence, $p = 2 + \sqrt{5}$ and

$$u^* = -\frac{2 + 1\sqrt{5}}{3 + \sqrt{5}}x$$
$$V(x_0) = (2 + \sqrt{5})x_0^2$$

(b) The closed loop system is

$$x_{k+1} = \left(2 - \frac{4 + 2\sqrt{5}}{3 + \sqrt{5}}\right)x = \frac{2}{3 + \sqrt{5}}x_k,$$

and hence the eigenvalue is $\frac{2}{3+\sqrt{5}}$.

2. (a) The dynamic programming recursion can be stated

$$\begin{split} J_k(x_k) &= \min_{u_k \in U_k(x_k)} \left\{ x_k^2 + u_k^2 + J_{k+1}(x_k + u_k) \right\}, \quad k = 0, 1, 2\\ J_3(x_3) &= \begin{cases} 0, & x_3 = 2\\ \infty, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \end{split}$$

(b) For the second stage we have the following solution

$$J_2(x_2) = \min_{u_2=2-x_2} \left\{ x_2^2 + u_2^2 \right\} = 2x_2^2 - 4x_2 + 4$$

Hence, the solution is given according to the following table

x_2	$J_2(x_2)$	$\mu(2,x_2)$
0	4	2
1	2	1
2	4	0

In the first stage we get

$$J_1(x_1) = \min_{-x_1 \le u_1 \le 2 - x_1} \underbrace{\left\{ x_1^2 + u_1^2 + J_2(x_1 + u_1) \right\}}_{J_1(x_1, u_1)}$$

The cost $J_1(x_1, u_1)$ for all feasible pairs (u_1, x_1) are given in the following table.

x_1	$u_1 = -2$	-1	0	1	2
0			4	3	8
1		6	3	6	
2	12	7	8		

which implies the follow optimal solution

x_1	$J_1(x_1)$	$\mu(1, x_1)$
0	3	1
1	3	0
2	7	-1

In the initial stage we have the Bellman recursion

$$J_0(x_0) = \min_{-x_0 \le u_0 \le 2 - x_0} \underbrace{\left\{ x_0^2 + u_0^2 + J_1(x_0 + u_0) \right\}}_{J_0(x_0, u_0)}$$

The cost $J_0(x_0)$ for all feasible pairs (x_0, u_0) are given in the following table

x_0	$u_0 = -2$	-1	0	1	2
0			3	4	11
1		5	4	9	
2	11	8	11		

and thus

x_0	$J_0(x_0)$	$\mu(0, x_0)$
0	3	0
1	4	0
2	8	-1

The optimal control is thus

$$u_0^* = 0, \ u_1^* = 1, \ u_2^* = 1$$

and the corresponding optimal state trajectory is

$$x_0^* = 0, \ x_1^* = 0, \ x_2^* = 1, \ x_3^* = 2$$

3. (a) The solution to the differential equation is

$$x(t) = e^{-t}x_0 + \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)}u(s)ds$$

Since $u(t) \ge 0$ it follows that $x(t) \ge e^{-t}x_0$, which never can become zero in finite time unless $x_0 \le 0$. Suppose $x_0 < 0$. It is possible to bring the state back to zero in time t_f if

$$e^{-t_f}x_0 + (1 - e^{-t_f})m \ge 0$$

i.e., if $x_0 \ge -(e^{t_f} - 1)m$.

Hence, the constraint can be satisfied if $x_0 \in [-(e^{t_f} - 1)m, 0]$.

(b) The Hamiltonian function is $H(x, u, \lambda) = u + \lambda(-x + u)$. Pointwise minization gives

$$\begin{split} u^* &= \bar{\mu}(x,\lambda) = \mathrm{argmin}_{u \in [0,m]} H(x,u,\lambda) = \mathrm{argmin}_{u \in [0,m]} (1+\lambda) u \\ &= \begin{cases} 0, & \lambda > -1 \\ m, & \lambda < -1 \end{cases} \end{split}$$

The adjoint differential equation is

$$\dot{\lambda} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial x} = \lambda$$

which has the solution $\lambda(t) = e^t \lambda_0$, for some $\lambda_0 \in R$. Hence, the optimal control is

$$u^{*}(t) = \begin{cases} 0, & e^{t}\lambda_{0} > -1 \\ m, & e^{t}\lambda_{0} < -1 \end{cases}$$

Hence, there are three cases

- (a) $\lambda_0 \ge 0 \Rightarrow u^*(t) = 0, t \in [0, t_f]$
- (b) $\lambda_0 \in (-1,0) \Rightarrow u^*(t)$ will switch from $u^*(t) = 0$ to $u^*(t) = m$ at $t = -\ln(|\lambda_0|)$
- (c) $\lambda_0 < -1 \Rightarrow u^*(t) = m, t \in [0, t_f]$

Hence, the possible control sequences are $\{0\}$, $\{0,1\}$, and $\{1\}$, i.e. the optimal control is

$$u^{*}(t) = \begin{cases} 0, & 0 \le t \le t^{*} \\ m, & t^{*} \le t \le t_{f} \end{cases}$$

where $t^* \in [0, t_f]$ must be determined. One way to determine t^* is to consider the closed form solution

$$x^*(t_f) = e^{-t_f} x_0 + \int_{t^*}^{t_f} e^{-(t_f - s)} u^*(s) ds = e^{-t_f} x_0 + (1 - e^{-(t_f - t^*)}) m = 0$$

Hence, we get

$$t^* = t_f + \ln\left(\frac{m + e^{-t_f}x_0}{m}\right)$$

which is between $[0, t_f]$ when $x_0 \in [-(e^{t_f} - 1)m, 0]$.

(c) Let us investigate the condition

$$H(x^*(t), u^*(t), \lambda(t)) = 0, \ t \in [0, t_f^*]$$

We already now from above that the optimal control is of bangbang type and there is at most one switch from $u^*(t) = 0$ to $u^*(t) = m$. Suppose $u^*(t) = 0$ on $t \in [0, t^*]$. Then

$$H(x^*(t), u^*(t), \lambda(t)) = \lambda(t)x^*(t) = e^t \lambda_0 e^{-t} x_0 = \lambda_0 x_0 = 0, \ t \in [0, t^*]$$

However, we know from above that $\lambda_0 < 0$ if there is a switch and $x_0 < 0$ by assumption. This contradicts the assumption that there is a switch and it follows that the optimal control is $u^*(t) = 0$, for all $t \ge 0$. Note that this implies $t_f^* = \infty$, i.e. it takes infinitely long time to do the transfer but the cost is zero.

4. We use the verification theorem, i.e., we need to verify that

$$-\frac{\partial V}{\partial t}(t,x) = \max\left\{\sqrt{u} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial x}(t,x)^T(\beta x - u)\right\}$$

for some $V: [0,T] \times (0,\infty) \to R$ With $V(t,x) = f(t)\sqrt{x}$, we get

$$-\dot{f}(t)\sqrt{x} = \max\left\{\sqrt{u} + \frac{f(t)}{2\sqrt{x}}(\beta x - u)\right\}$$
$$= \frac{\sqrt{x}}{f(t)} + \frac{f(t)}{2\sqrt{x}}(\beta x - \frac{x}{f(t)^2})$$

where we used that $u = \sqrt{x}/f(t)$ is the maximizing control. This equation is satisfied if

$$-f(t)\dot{f}(t) = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \beta f(t)^2)$$

which implies

$$f(t)^2 = \frac{e^{\beta(T-t)} - 1}{\beta}.$$

Finally notice that $V(T, x) = f(T)\sqrt{x} = 0$, since f(T) = 0.

5. For a given $0 < \epsilon < 1$, consider the optimal controller u_{ϵ} given the additional constraint that it must be zero in the interval $[0, \epsilon]$. The corresponding restricted optimization problem is

$$\inf_{\substack{x(t),u(t),t\in[\epsilon,1]\\\text{subject to }\dot{x}(t)=u(t)\\x(\epsilon)=0,\quad x(1)=1.}$$

The Hamiltonian is given by $H(t, x, u, \lambda) = tu^2 + \lambda u$, and the minimizing u is given by

$$\mu(t, x, \lambda) = -\frac{\lambda}{2t}.$$

The adjoint dynamics are

$$\dot{\lambda} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial x} = 0,$$

hence λ is constant. The optimal control for the restricted problem is thus $u_{\epsilon}(t) = -\frac{\lambda}{2t}$ where λ is selected so that x(1) = 1 is satisfied. This can be determined by

$$1 = x(1) = \int_{\epsilon}^{1} u(t)dt = \int_{\epsilon}^{1} -\frac{\lambda}{2t}dt = \frac{\lambda}{2}\log(\epsilon),$$

and thus $\lambda = 2/\log(\epsilon)$ and

$$u_{\epsilon}(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & t \in [0, \epsilon) \\ -\frac{1}{t \log(\epsilon)} & t \in [\epsilon, 1] \end{cases}$$

is the optimal controller subject to the restricted that it is zero on $[0, \epsilon]$. The cost corresponding to this controller is

$$\int_{\epsilon}^{1} tu(t)^{2} dt = \int_{\epsilon}^{1} \frac{\lambda^{2}}{4t} dt = -\frac{\lambda^{2}}{4} \log(\epsilon) = -\frac{1}{\log(\epsilon)}.$$

Note that $-\frac{1}{\log(\epsilon)} \to 0$ as $\epsilon \to 0$, hence by selecting a sequence of controllers as u_{ϵ} and letting $\epsilon \to 0$ we see that the infimum is less or equal to 0. Further, note that the cost is always non-negative, hence 0 is the greatest lower bound to the cost.