

KTH Matematik

HW3 in Mathematical Systems Theory, 2006 Answers and solution sketches

1. Let us first consider the upper left part of the system

$$\dot{x}_1 = A_1 x_1 + B_1 u_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} x_1 + \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} u_1$$

We first transform to controllable canonical form. First determine t from the equation

$$t\begin{bmatrix} B_1 & A_1B_1 & A_1^2B_1\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1\end{bmatrix} \quad \Rightarrow \quad t = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1\end{bmatrix}$$

Then make a coordinate change with

 $T = \begin{bmatrix} t \\ tA_1 \\ tA_1^2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$

which gives the transformed system $(z_1 = Tx_1)$

$$\dot{z}_1 = TAT^{-1}z_1 + TBu = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} z_1 + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} u_1$$

The feedback $u_1 = gz_1$, where $g = \begin{bmatrix} -5 & -12 & -7 \end{bmatrix}$ results in the closed loop eigenvalues $\{-1, -2, -3\}$. In the original coordinates we should use $u_1 = k_1x_1$ with $k_1 = g_1T = \begin{bmatrix} -12 & -12 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$. For the full system we can use the feedback u = Kx with

$$K = \begin{bmatrix} -12 & -12 & 5 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$$
(1)

2. (a) We can model the system as

$$x(t+1) = ax(t) + bu(t)$$
$$y(t) = x(t) + du(t)$$

where u(t) is a white noise process $(Eu(t) = 0 \text{ and } Eu(t)u(s) = \delta_{ts})$ and $b = \sqrt{m}, d = \sqrt{r}$, and $\sqrt{m} = a\sqrt{r}$. We can now use the result stated in the problem. The state on ary solution is obtained by having p(t+1) = p(t) = p, which gives the ARE :

$$p = a^2 p - (ap+l)(p+r)^{-1}(ap+l) + m, \text{ where } l = ar,$$

$$p^2 + p(r(1+a^2) - m) + r(a^2 r - m) = 0.$$

It has two solutions, p = -r and $p = m - ar^2$. The only valid one is the second because r can not be negative since it is a variance. Then we have $k_{\infty} = \frac{a(m-a^2r)+ar}{m-a^2r+r} = a$, which is independent of m and r.

(b) We have that $a - k_{\infty} = a - a = 0$, so the steady-state Kalman filter

$$\hat{x}(t+1) = a\hat{x}(t) + K_{\infty}(y(t) - \hat{x}(t)) = (a - K_{\infty})\hat{x}(t) + K_{\infty}y(t) = ay(t)$$

The error dynamics becomes $(e(t) = x(t) - \hat{x}(t))$

$$e(t+1) = ax(t) + w(t) - ay(t) = w(t) - av(t)$$

this is a stable discrete time system because the eigenvalue is at 0.

- (c) We have that x(t+1) = a(y(t) w(t)) + v(t) and since the best estimates for the noises are w(t) = v(t) = 0, we have that $\hat{x}(t+1) = ay(t)$, which is what the result in a) says.
- **3.** Define $V(x,k) = x_k^T P_k x_k$, which satisfies

$$V(x_{k+1}, k+1) - V(x_k, k) = (Ax_k + Bu_k)^T P_{k+1}(Ax_k + Bu_k) - x_k^T P_k x_k$$

By summing the above identity from k = 0 to N - 1 we get

$$J(u) - V(x_0, 0) = x_N^T S x_N + \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} (x_k^T Q x_k + u_k^T R u_k)$$

- $V(x_N, N) + \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} (A x_k + B u_k)^T P_{k+1} (A x_k + B u_k) - x_k^T P_k x_k$
= $x_N^T (S - P_N) x_N + \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \|u_k + (R + B^T P_{k+1}B)^{-1} B^T P_{k+1} A x_k\|_{(R+B^T P_{k+1}B)}^2$
+ $x_k^T (-P_k + A^T P_{k+1}A + Q - A^T P_{k+1} B (R + B^T P_{k+1}B)^{-1} B^T P_{k+1} A) x_k$

where the last equality was obtained using completion of squares and where the norm notation should be interpreted as $||u||_Q^2 = x^T Q x$. By using the Riccati equation tis simplifies to

$$J(u) - V(x_0, 0) = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \|u_k + (R + B^T P_{k+1} B)^{-1} B^T P_{k+1} A x_k\|_{(R+B^T P_{k+1} B)}^2 \ge 0$$

with equality if $u_k = -(R + B^T P_{k+1}B)^{-1}B^T P_{k+1}Ax_k$.

To show that the Riccati equation is well defined we will prove that $P_k \ge 0$, for k = 0, 1, ..., N. This will ensure that the inverse of $R + B^T P_k B$ is well defined. The same arguments as above prove that

$$\underbrace{x_N^T S x_N + \sum_{k=k_0}^{N-1} (x_k^T Q x_k + u_k^T R u_k) - V(x_{k_0}, k_0)}_{J(k_0, u)} = \sum_{k=k_0}^{N-1} \|u_k + (R + B^T P_{k+1} B)^{-1} B^T P_{k+1} A x_k\|_{(R+B^T P_{k+1} B)}^2$$

Hence,

$$V(x_{k_0}, k_0) = x_{k_0}^T P_{k_0} x_{k_0} = \min_u J(k_0, u) \ge 0$$

which implies that $P_{k_0} \ge 0$ since x_{k_0} is arbitrary. This completes the proof.