

# THE PURE PART OF THE IDEALS IN $C(X)$

Emad Abu Osba

University of Petra, Amman 961343, Jordan. emad@uop.edu.jo.

H. Al-Ezeh

University of Jordan, Amman 11942, Jordan.

June 20, 2004

## Abstract

Let  $C(X)$  be the ring of all continuous real valued functions defined on a completely regular  $T_1$ -space. For each ideal  $I$  in  $C(X)$  let  $mI$  be the pure part of the ideal  $I$ .

In this article we show that  $mI = O^{\theta(I)}$ , where  $\theta(I) = \bigcap_{f \in I} \text{cl}_{\beta X} Z(f)$ .

The pure part of many ideals in  $C(X)$  is calculated.

We found that  $mC_K(X)$ , the pure part of the ideal of functions with compact support, is finitely generated if and only if  $\beta X - \theta(C_K(X))$  is compact,  $mC_K(X)$  is countably generated if and only if  $\beta X - \theta(C_K(X))$  is Lindelöff and  $mC_K(X)$  is generated by a star finite set if and only if  $\beta X - \theta(C_K(X))$  is paracompact. Similar results are obtained for the pure part of the ideal  $C_\Psi(X)$ , the ideal of functions with pseudocompact support.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $X$  be a completely regular  $T_1$ -space,  $\beta X$  the Stone-Ćech compactification of  $X$  and  $\nu X$  the Hewitt realcompactification of  $X$ . Let  $C(X)$  be the ring of all continuous real valued functions defined on  $X$ . For each  $f \in C(X)$ , let  $Z(f) = \{x \in X: f(x) = 0\}$ ,  $\text{coz } f = X - Z(f)$ , the support of  $f = S_X(f) = \text{cl}_X \text{coz}(f)$ ,  $S_{\nu X}(f^\nu) = \text{cl}_{\nu X}(\nu X - Z(f^\nu))$ , where  $f^\nu$  is the extension of  $f$  to  $\nu X$ ,  $S_{\beta X}(f^\beta) = \text{cl}_{\beta X}(\beta X - Z(f^\beta))$ , where  $f^\beta$  is the continuous extension to  $\beta X$  for the function

$$f^*(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & f(x) \geq 1 \\ f(x) & -1 \leq f(x) \leq 1 \\ -1 & f(x) \leq -1 \end{cases}$$

If  $I$  is an ideal in  $C(X)$ , then  $\text{coz } I = \bigcup_{f \in I} \text{coz } f$ .

For each subset  $A \subseteq \beta X$ , let  $M^A = \{f \in C(X): A \subseteq \text{cl}_{\beta X} Z(f)\}$  and  $O^A = \{f \in C(X): A \subseteq \text{Int}_{\beta X} \text{cl}_{\beta X} Z(f)\} = \{f \in C(X): A \subseteq \text{Int}_{\beta X} Z(f^\beta)\}$ .

An ideal  $I$  of  $C(X)$  is called a **pure ideal** if for each  $f \in I$ , there exists  $g \in I$  such that  $f = fg$ . It is clear that in this case  $g = 1$  on  $S_X(f)$ .

For any undefined terms here the reader may consult [12].

Purity attracted the attention of a lot of people working in ring and module theories. A large class of commutative rings can be classified through the pure ideals of the ring. The pure ideals in  $C(X)$  were completely characterized in [3].

Arbitrary sum and finite intersection of pure ideals is a pure ideal, see [7]. One might ask whether intersection of arbitrary family of pure ideals is pure. In fact the answer is not true in general. The following example was given in [3]. Let  $\mathbb{R}$  be the space of reals. The ideal  $I = O^{(0,1)} = \bigcap_{x \in (0,1)} O^x$  in  $C(\mathbb{R})$  is not

pure, since the function  $f(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x \leq 1 \\ x - 1 & x > 1 \end{cases}$

belongs to  $I$ , while there is no  $g \in I$  such that  $f = fg$ , since in this case  $S_{\mathbb{R}}(f) = [1, \infty) \subseteq \text{coz } g$  which implies that  $(0,1) \not\subseteq \text{Int}_X(Z(g))$ .

Recall that a space  $X$  is called **basically disconnected** if for each  $f \in C(X)$ ,  $S_X(f)$  is open in  $X$ . We will show that intersection of arbitrary family of pure ideals in  $C(X)$  is pure if and only if  $X$  is basically disconnected, but first we'll need some preliminaries.

**Proposition 1.1** (Al-Ezeh [3]). An Ideal  $I$  of  $C(X)$  is pure if and only if  $I = O^A$  for some closed subset  $A \subseteq \beta X$ .

**Proposition 1.2** (Abu Osba [1]). For each  $A \subseteq \beta X$ . The ideal  $O^A$  is pure if and only if  $O^A = O^{\text{cl}_{\beta X} A}$ .

**Theorem 1.3.** Intersection of any family of pure ideals in  $C(X)$  is pure if and only if  $O^A$  is pure for each  $A \subseteq \beta X$ .

Proof. Suppose the condition is satisfied. Then for each  $A \subseteq \beta X$ ,  $O^A = \bigcap_{x \in A} O^x$  is an intersection of pure ideals and so it is pure.

Conversely, Let  $I = \bigcap_{\alpha \in \Delta} I_{\alpha}$ , where  $I_{\alpha}$  is pure for each  $\alpha \in \Delta$ . Then it follows by Proposition 1.1 that  $I_{\alpha} = O^{A_{\alpha}}$  for some closed subset  $A_{\alpha} \subseteq \beta X$ .

So  $I = \bigcap_{\alpha \in \Delta} O^{A_{\alpha}} = O^{\left(\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} A_{\alpha}\right)}$  is pure.  $\square$

**Theorem 1.4.** The following statements are equivalent:

- (1) The space  $X$  is basically disconnected.
- (2) The ideal  $O^A$  is pure for each  $A \subseteq \beta X$ .
- (3) For each  $f \in C(X)$ , the ideal  $O^{\text{Int}_{\beta X} Z(f^{\beta})}$  is pure.

Proof. (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): Suppose that  $X$  is basically disconnected, then so is  $\beta X$ , see [12]. Let  $A \subseteq \beta X$ , then  $O^{\text{cl}_{\beta X} A} \subseteq O^A$ .

Let  $f \in O^A$ , then  $A \subset \text{Int}_{\beta X} Z(f^\beta)$ . But  $\text{Int}_{\beta X} Z(f^\beta)$  is closed in  $\beta X$  since  $\beta X - \text{Int}_{\beta X} Z(f^\beta) = \text{cl}_{\beta X}(\beta X - Z(f^\beta)) = S_{\beta X}(f^\beta)$  is open in  $\beta X$ .

Hence  $\text{cl}_{\beta X} A \subset \text{Int}_{\beta X} Z(f^\beta)$ , and  $f \in O^{\text{cl}_{\beta X} A}$ .

Thus  $O^A = O^{\text{cl}_{\beta X} A}$  is pure by Proposition 1.2.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3): Clear.

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1): Let  $f \in C(X)$ . Then  $f \in O^{\text{Int}_{\beta X} Z(f^\beta)} = O^{\text{cl}_{\beta X} \text{Int}_{\beta X} Z(f^\beta)}$ . So  $\text{cl}_{\beta X} \text{Int}_{\beta X} Z(f^\beta) \subseteq \text{Int}_{\beta X} Z(f^\beta) \subseteq \text{cl}_{\beta X} \text{Int}_{\beta X} Z(f^\beta)$ . Hence  $S_{\beta X}(f^\beta) = \beta X - \text{Int}_{\beta X} Z(f^\beta)$  is clopen in  $\beta X$ .

Now,  $\text{coz } f = \text{coz } f^* = (\beta X - Z(f^\beta)) \cap X$ .

$S_X(f) = \text{cl}_X((\beta X - Z(f^\beta)) \cap X) = \text{cl}_{\beta X}((\beta X - Z(f^\beta)) \cap X) \cap X$ .

$= \text{cl}_{\beta X}((\beta X - Z(f^\beta)) \cap \text{cl}_{\beta X} X) \cap X$ , since  $\beta X - Z(f^\beta)$  is open in  $\beta X$ .

$= \text{cl}_{\beta X}((\beta X - Z(f^\beta)) \cap \beta X) \cap X$ .

$= \text{cl}_{\beta X}((\beta X - Z(f^\beta)) \cap X)$ .

$= S_{\beta X}(f^\beta) \cap X$ .

Thus  $S_X(f)$  is open in  $X$  for each  $f \in C(X)$ , which implies that  $X$  is basically disconnected.  $\square$

Recall that a ring  $R$  is called a **PP-ring** if every principal ideal of  $R$  is a projective  $R$ -module.

**Corollary 1.5.** The following statements are equivalent:

- (1) The space  $X$  is basically disconnected.
- (2) The ring  $C(X)$  is a PP-ring.
- (3) Intersection of arbitrary family of pure ideals in  $C(X)$  is pure.

Proof. For the equivalence of (1) and (2) see[9].  $\square$

The above corollary shows that in a basically disconnected space  $X$ , the pure ideals of  $C(X)$  form a complete lattice with  $I \vee J = I + J$  and  $I \wedge J = I \cap J$ .

If the ideal is not pure, then one can study the pure part of the ideal which have some interesting properties and it is a tool for studying the ideal itself.

**Definition 1.6.** For each ideal  $I$  of  $C(X)$ , let  $mI = \{f \in C(X): f \in fI\}$ . The ideal  $mI$  will be called the pure part of  $I$ .

It is clear that,  $mI \subseteq I$  and  $I$  is pure if and only if  $I = mI$ . It is shown in [7] that for any ideal  $I$  of  $C(X)$ ,  $mI$  is a pure ideal.

The pure part of the ideal was studied by many authors, such as in [5], [7], [10], [14] and [15]. Studying the pure part of the ideal is a tool for studying the ideal itself. In fact it is easier to deal with the pure part since it is pure.

In section 2, we characterize the pure part of the ideal  $I$  to be the ideal  $O^{\theta(I)}$ . In particular, we show that the pure part of a maximal ideal  $M^x$  is the ideal  $O^x$  and  $mM^A = O^{\text{cl}_{\beta X} A}$ .

In section 3, we find the pure part for some important well known ideals in  $C(X)$ , such as  $C_K(X)$ , the ideal of functions with compact support, the ideal  $C_\Psi(X)$ , the ideal of functions with pseudocompact support, ...etc.

In section 4, we study the relations between the generators of the ideal  $mC_K(X)$  and the topological properties of the set  $\beta X - \theta(C_K(X))$ . Similar results are obtained for the generators of  $mC_\Psi(X)$  and the topological properties of  $\beta X - \theta(C_\Psi(X))$ .

## 2. THE PURE PART OF THE IDEAL

For each ideal  $I$  of  $C(X)$ , let  $\theta(I) = \{x \in \beta X: I \subseteq M^x\}$ .

**Proposition 2.1**( Gillman and Jerison[12]). For each ideal  $I$  of  $C(X)$ ,  $\theta(I) = \bigcap_{f \in I} \text{cl}_{\beta X} Z(f)$ .

It is clear that for each ideal  $I$  of  $C(X)$ ,  $O^{\theta(I)}$  is a pure ideal, since  $\theta(I)$  is a closed set in  $\beta X$ .

We give now another characterization for  $mI$  using the set  $\theta(I)$ . This new characterization is some times helpful.

**Theorem 2.2.** For each ideal  $I$  of  $C(X)$ ,  $mI = O^{\theta(I)}$ .

Proof. Let  $f \in O^{\theta(I)}$ . Then  $\theta(I) \subseteq \text{Int}_{\beta X} Z(f^\beta) \subseteq Z(f^\beta)$ .

So  $\beta X - Z(f^\beta) \subseteq S_{\beta X}(f^\beta) \subseteq \beta X - \theta(I) = \bigcup_{g \in I} \beta X - \text{cl}_{\beta X} Z(g)$ . Compactness of

$S_{\beta X}(f^\beta)$  implies that there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $S_{\beta X}(f^\beta) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n \beta X - \text{cl}_{\beta X} Z(g_i)$ , where  $g_i \in I$  for each  $i$ .

Hence  $S_X(f) = S_{\beta X}(f^\beta) \cap X \subseteq (\bigcup_{i=1}^n \beta X - \text{cl}_{\beta X} Z(g_i)) \cap X \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n X - Z(g_i) = \text{coz}$

$g$ , where  $g = \sum_{i=1}^n g_i^2$ . It is clear that  $g \in I$ .

Define  $h(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{f}{g}(x) & x \in S_X(f) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

Then  $h \in C(X)$  and  $f = gh \in I$ . So  $O^{\theta(I)} \subseteq I$ . But  $O^{\theta(I)}$  is a pure ideal, since  $\theta(I)$  is a closed set in  $\beta X$ , see 1.1 above. So there exists  $k \in O^{\theta(I)} \subseteq I$  such that  $f = fk \in fI$  which implies that  $f \in mI$ . Thus  $O^{\theta(I)} \subseteq mI$ .

Now, if  $f \in mI$ , then  $f = fg$ , for some  $g \in I$ . Then  $f^\beta = f^\beta g^\beta$ , which implies that  $S_{\beta X}(f^\beta) \subseteq \beta X - Z(g^\beta)$ .

So  $\theta(I) \subseteq \text{cl}_{\beta X} Z(g) \subseteq Z(g^\beta) \subseteq \beta X - S_{\beta X}(f^\beta) \subseteq Z(f^\beta)$ .

Hence  $f \in O^{\theta(I)}$ .  $\square$

We now characterize the pure part of any maximal ideal in  $C(X)$ . This characterization would be very useful in the rest of this article. But first we find the pure part for an intersection of maximal ideals.

**Theorem 2.3.** For each subset  $A \subseteq \beta X$ ,  $mM^A = O^{\text{cl}_{\beta X} A}$ .

*Proof.* It follows by Proposition 1.1 that  $O^{\text{cl}_{\beta X} A}$  is pure, so  $O^{\text{cl}_{\beta X} A} \subseteq mM^A$ . Let  $f \in mM^A$ , then there exists  $g \in M^A$  such that  $f = fg$ , which implies that  $f^\beta = f^\beta g^\beta$ .

Hence  $\beta X - Z(f^\beta) \subseteq S_{\beta X}(f^\beta) \subseteq \beta X - Z(g^\beta)$ .

So  $A \subseteq \text{cl}_{\beta X} Z(g) \subseteq Z(g^\beta) \subseteq \beta X - S_{\beta X}(f^\beta) \subseteq Z(f^\beta)$ , which implies that  $\text{cl}_{\beta X} A \subseteq Z(g^\beta) \subseteq \text{Int}_{\beta X} Z(f^\beta)$ .

Hence  $f \in O^{\text{cl}_{\beta X} A}$ .  $\square$

The following corollary is an easy consequence of the above theorem and it characterizes the pure part of a maximal ideal in  $C(X)$ . Recall that a point  $x \in \beta X$  is called a **P-point** if  $M^x = O^x$ .

**Corollary 2.4.** For each  $x \in \beta X$ ,  $mM^x = O^x$ , and so  $M^x$  is pure if and only if  $x$  is a P-point.

Recall that a subset  $A \subseteq \beta X$  is called a **round subset** of  $\beta X$  if  $O^A = M^A$ , see [13].

**Corollary 2.5.** Let  $A \subseteq \beta X$ , then  $M^A$  is pure if and only if  $\text{cl}_{\beta X} A$  is a round subset of  $\beta X$ .

*Proof.* The ideal  $M^A$  is pure if and only if  $M^A = mM^A$  if and only if  $M^{\text{cl}_{\beta X} A} = M^A = O^{\text{cl}_{\beta X} A}$  if and only if  $\text{cl}_{\beta X} A$  is a round subset of  $\beta X$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.6.** For each  $A \subseteq \beta X$ ,  $mO^A = O^{\text{cl}_{\beta X} A}$ .

*Proof.* Since  $O^{\text{cl}_{\beta X} A}$  is a pure ideal contained in  $O^A$ , it follows that  $O^{\text{cl}_{\beta X} A} \subseteq mO^A \subseteq mM^A = O^{\text{cl}_{\beta X} A}$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.7.** For each  $A \subseteq \beta X$ ,  $M^A$  is pure if and only if  $O^A$  is pure and  $A$  is a round subset of  $\beta X$ .

*Proof.* If  $M^A$  is pure, then  $O^A \subseteq M^A = mM^A = O^{\text{cl}_{\beta X} A} \subseteq O^A$ . So  $O^A = M^A = O^{\text{cl}_{\beta X} A}$  which implies that  $A$  is a round subset of  $\beta X$  and  $O^A$  is pure. For the converse, we have  $O^{\text{cl}_{\beta X} A} = O^A = M^A$ , and hence the result.  $\square$

For each space  $X$  we have  $\beta X - vX$  is a round subset of  $\beta X$ , but  $M^{\beta X - vX}$  is not always pure, see [1]. If  $T$  is the Tychonoff blank, then  $O^{t_0}$  is pure but  $M^{t_0}$  is not, since  $M^{t_0} \neq O^{t_0}$ , where  $\{t_0\} = \beta T - T$ , see [12]. This shows that the two conditions are both necessary in the above corollary to prove that  $M^A$  is pure.

### 3. EXAMPLES

We now find the pure part for some important ideals in  $C(X)$ . We will use the results obtained in the previous section to characterize some properties of the space  $X$  using purity of these ideals.

The following sets and facts are well known:

$$C_K(X) = \{ f \in C(X) : S_X(f) \text{ is compact} \} = O^{\beta X-X}.$$

$$C_\Psi(X) = \{ f \in C(X) : S_X(f) \text{ is pseudocompact} \} = O^{\beta X-vX} = M^{\beta X-vX}.$$

$$C_\rho(X) = \{ f \in C(X) : S_X(f) \text{ is realcompact} \}.$$

$$I(X) = M^{\beta X-X}.$$

$$O^{vX-X} = \{ f \in C(X) : S_X(f) = \text{cl}_{vX} S_X(f) \}.$$

$$M^{vX-X} = \{ f \in C(X) : \text{coz}(f) \text{ is realcompact} \}.$$

The following lattice of inclusions exists in the general case.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & & & C_\Psi(X) \\
 & & & \nearrow & \\
 C_K(X) & \rightarrow & I(X) & & \\
 \downarrow & & & \searrow & \\
 O^{vX-X} & \rightarrow & C_\rho(X) & \rightarrow & M^{vX-X}
 \end{array}$$

A space  $X$  is called  $\mu$ -compact ( $\lambda$ -compact) if  $O^{\beta X-X} = M^{\beta X-X}(O^{vX-X} = M^{vX-X})$ .

For more informations about these ideals and spaces the reader may consult [6].

For each space  $X$ , let  $X_L = \{x \in X : x \text{ has a compact neighborhood in } X\}$ . Then  $X_L = \bigcup_{f \in C_K(X)} \text{coz } f = \text{coz}(C_K(X)) = \text{Int}_{\beta X} X$ , the space  $X$  is locally compact if and only if  $X = X_L$ , see [2]. We also have  $\beta X - X_L = \beta X - \text{Int}_{\beta X} X = \text{cl}_{\beta X}(\beta X - X) = \theta(C_K(X))$ .

For each space  $X$ , let  $kX = \{x \in vX : x \text{ has a compact neighborhood in } vX\}$ . Then  $kX = \{x \in \beta X : C_\Psi(X) \not\subseteq M^x\} = \bigcup_{f \in C_\Psi(X)} vX - Z(f^v) = \text{coz}(C_K(vX)) = \text{Int}_{\beta X}(vX)$ , the space  $vX$  is locally compact if and only if  $vX = kX$ , see [1]. We also have  $\beta X - kX = \beta X - \text{Int}_{\beta X}(vX) = \text{cl}_{\beta X}(\beta X - vX) = \theta(C_\Psi(X))$ .

$$\text{Now, } mC_K(X) = mO^{\beta X-X} = O^{\text{cl}_{\beta X}(\beta X-X)} = O^{\beta X-X_L}.$$

$mC_\Psi(X) = mM^{\beta X-vX} = O^{\text{cl}_{\beta X}(\beta X-vX)} = O^{\beta X-kX}$  and  $C_\Psi(X)$  is pure if and only if  $\beta X - kX$  is round.

$mI(X) = mM^{\beta X-X} = O^{\text{cl}_{\beta X}(\beta X-X)} = O^{\beta X-X_L}$  and  $I(X)$  is pure if and only if  $\beta X - X_L$  is round if and only if  $C_K(X)$  is pure and  $X$  is  $\mu$ -compact.

$mM^{vX-X} = O^{\text{cl}_{\beta X}(vX-X)}$  and  $M^{vX-X}$  is pure if and only if  $\text{cl}_{\beta X}(vX - X)$  is round if and only if  $O^{vX-X}$  is pure and  $X$  is  $\lambda$ -compact. Note that in this case  $C_\rho(X)$  would be an ideal of  $C(X)$ , see [16].

If  $M^{vX-X}$  and  $C_\Psi(X)$  are pure ideals, then so is  $I(X)$ , since  $\beta X - X = (\beta X - vX) \cup (vX - X)$  and the union of two round subsets is round, see [13].

#### 4. GENERATORS FOR THE PURE PART OF $C_K(X)$ AND $C_\Psi(X)$

In this section we study the relations between the topological properties of  $\beta X - \theta(C_K(X))$ ,  $\beta X - \theta(C_\Psi(X))$  and the generators of the ideals  $mC_K(X)$  and  $mC_\Psi(X)$  respectively.

It was proved in [1] that for each ideal  $I \subseteq C_K(X)$ ,  $I$  is pure if and only if  $\text{coz } I = \bigcup_{f \in I} S_X(f)$ . We will use this result quite often later on.

**Lemma 4.1.** For each ideal  $I$  of  $C(X)$   $\text{coz } mI = \text{coz } I$ .

*Proof.* The element  $x \in \text{coz } I$  if and only if  $x \in X$  and  $I \not\subseteq M^x$  if and only if  $x \in X$  and  $mI \not\subseteq M^x$  if and only if  $x \in \text{coz } mI$ , since  $I \subseteq M^x$  if and only if  $mI \subseteq M^x$ , see [14]. $\square$

**Corollary 4.2.** For each space  $X$ ,  $\text{coz}(mC_K(X)) = \text{coz}(C_K(X)) = X_L$ , and  $\text{coz}(mC_K(vX)) = \text{coz}(C_K(vX)) = kX$ .

Recall that  $f = f^2$  if and only if  $f(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & x \in \text{coz } f \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$  and in this case  $\text{coz } f = S_X(f)$ , see [4].

**Theorem 4.3.** The ideal  $mC_K(X)$  is finitely generated if and only if  $X_L$  is compact.

*Proof.* If  $mC_K(X)$  is finitely generated, then it is generated by an idempotent, since it is a pure ideal. So there exists  $f \in mC_K(X)$ , such that  $f = f^2$  and  $mC_K(X) = (f)$ . Then  $X_L = \text{coz } f = S_X(f)$  is compact.

Conversely, suppose that  $X_L$  is compact.

Define  $f(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & x \in X_L \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

Then  $f \in mC_K(X)$  and  $mC_K(X) = (f)$ . $\square$

A family of sets  $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$  is called **star finite (countable)** if for each  $\alpha \in \Delta$ ,  $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta = \emptyset$  for all but finitely (countably) many  $\beta \in \Delta$ . A family of functions  $\{f_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$  is called star finite (countable) if the family  $\{\text{coz } f_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$  is star finite (countable).

**Theorem 4.4.** The following statements are equivalent:

- (1) The space  $X_L$  is strongly paracompact.

- (2) The space  $X_L$  is paracompact.
- (3) The ideal  $mC_K(X)$  is generated by a star finite family.
- (4) The ideal  $mC_K(X)$  is generated by a star countable family..

Proof. (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): Clear.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3): Since  $mC_K(X)$  is pure,  $S_X(f) \subseteq X_L$  for each  $f \in mC_K(X)$ . Now the result follows from [8, 3.6 and 3.10].

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (4): Clear.

(4)  $\Rightarrow$  (1): Suppose that  $mC_K(X) = (f_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta)$ , where the family  $\{f_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$  is star countable.

Let  $\{U_\beta : \beta \in \Lambda\}$  be any open cover of  $X_L$ . For each  $\alpha \in \Delta$ ,  $S_X(f_\alpha) \subseteq X_L \subseteq \bigcup_{\beta \in \Lambda} U_\beta$ , which implies that  $\text{coz } f_\alpha \subseteq S_X(f_\alpha) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{n_\alpha} U_{\beta_i}$ .

Thus the family  $\{\text{coz } f_\alpha \cap U_{\beta_i} : \alpha \in \Delta, i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n_\alpha\}$  is a star countable refinement of  $\{U_\beta : \beta \in \Lambda\}$ , and therefore  $X_L$  is strongly paracompact.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.5.** The ideal  $mC_K(X)$  is countably generated if and only if  $X_L$  is Lindelöff.

Proof. Suppose that  $mC_K(X) = (f_1, f_2, f_3, \dots)$ . For each  $f \in mC_K(X)$ ,  $f = \sum_{i=1}^n g_i f_i$ , and  $\text{coz } f \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{coz } f_i$ .

Hence  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} S_X(f_i) \subseteq \bigcup_{g \in mC_K(X)} S_X(g) = \bigcup_{g \in mC_K(X)} \text{coz } g = X_L = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \text{coz } f_i \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} S_X(f_i)$ . Thus  $X_L$  is  $\sigma$ -compact and so it is Lindelöff.

Conversely, assume that  $X_L$  is Lindelöff. Then  $X_L = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \text{coz } f_i$ , where  $f_i \in mC_K(X)$  for each  $i$ .

Let  $f \in mC_K(X)$ , then  $S_X(f) \subseteq X_L = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \text{coz } f_i$ . Compactness of  $S_X(f)$  implies that there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $S_X(f) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{coz } f_i = \text{coz } g$ , where  $g = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i^2$ .

$$\text{Define } h(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{f}{g}(x) & x \in S_X(f) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Then  $h \in C(X)$  and  $f = hg = h \sum_{i=1}^n f_i^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n (hf_i)f_i \in (f_1, f_2, f_3, \dots)$ .

Hence  $mC_K(X)$  is countably generated.  $\square$

We now turn to the pure part of the ideal  $C_\Psi(X)$ . The isomorphism  $f \rightarrow f^\nu$  from  $C(X)$  onto  $C(\nu X)$  maps  $C_\Psi(X)$  onto  $C_K(\nu X)$  and maps  $mC_\Psi(X)$  onto  $mC_K(\nu X)$ , see [1]. Then the following results are easily obtained.



**Theorem 4.6.** The ideal  $mC_{\Psi}(X)$  is finitely generated if and only if  $kX$  is compact.

**Theorem 4.7.** The following statements are equivalent:

- (1) The space  $kX$  is strongly paracompact.
- (2) The space  $kX$  is paracompact.
- (3) The ideal  $mC_{\Psi}(X)$  is generated by a star finite family.
- (4) The ideal  $mC_{\Psi}(X)$  is generated by a star countable family.

**Theorem 4.8.** The ideal  $mC_{\Psi}(X)$  is countably generated if and only if  $kX$  is Lindelöff.

## REFERENCES

- [1] E. Abu Osba, Purity of the Ideal of Continuous Functions with Pseudo-compact Support, *Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, **29:7** (2002), 381-388.
- [2] E. Abu Osba and H. Al-Ezeh, Purity of the Ideal of Continuous Functions with Compact Support, *Math. Jor. Okayama Univ.*, **41** (1999), 111-120.
- [3] H. Al-Ezeh, Pure Ideals in Reduced Gelfand Rings with Unity, *Arch. Math.*, **35** (1989), 266-269.
- [4] H. Al-Ezeh, M. A. Natsheh and D. Husein, Some Properties of the Ring of Continuous Functions, *Arch. Math.*, **51** (1988), 60-64.
- [5] F. Azarpanah, O. A. S. Karamzadeh and A. Rezai Aliabad, On Ideals Consisting Entirely of Zero Divisors, *Communications in Algebra*, **28(2)** (2000), 1061-1073.
- [6] I. Blum and S. Swaminthan, A Note on Intersection of Ideals in Rings of Continuous Functions, *Colloquia Mathematica Societatis János Bolyai*, **23** Topology, Budapest (Hungary), (1978), 155-162.
- [7] F. Borceux and G. Van Den Bossche, Algebra in a Localic Topos with Applications to Ring Theory, *LMN* **1038** Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, (1983).
- [8] J. G. Brookshear, Projective Ideals in Rings of Continuous Functions, *Pacif. Jor. Math.*, **71(2)** (1977), 313-333.
- [9] J. G. Brookshear, On Projective Prime Ideals in  $C(X)$ , *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **69** (1978), 203-204.
- [10] G. DeMarco, Projectivity of Pure Ideals, *Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova*, **68** (1983), 289-304.
- [11] R. Engelking, General Topology, *Sigma Series in Pure Math.*, **6** Heldermann Verlag Berlin, (1989).
- [12] L. Gillman and M. Jerison, Rings of Continuous Functions, *Graduate Texts in Math.*, **43** Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, (1976)
- [13] M. Mandelker, Round  $z$ -Filters and Round Subsets of  $\beta X$ , *Israel J. Math.*, **7** (1969), 1-8.
- [14] D. Rudd, On Isomorphisms Between Ideals in Rings of Continuous Functions, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **159** (1971), 335-353.

- [15] D. Rudd, P-ideals and F-ideals in Rings of Continuous Functions, *Fund. Math.*, **88(1)** (1975), 53-59.
- [16] J. Schommer, Functions with Realcompact Support, *Kyungpook Math. J.*, **35** (1995), 93-103.