# Approximation of BV functions using neural networks

#### Benny Avelin Joint work with Vesa Julin (Jyväskylä)

2021

## Abstract

In this talk, I will focus on a recent result together with Vesa Julin, concerning the approximation of functions of Bounded Variation (BV) using special neural networks on the unit circle. I will present the motivation for studying these special networks, their properties, and hopefully some proofs. Specifically the results we will cover: the closure of the class of neural networks in  $L^2$ , a uniform approximation result, and a localization result.

<□ > < □ > < □ > < Ξ > < Ξ > Ξ のQ @ 2/19

# Origin of the problem

A real valued single hidden layer neural network is defined as

$$f_{W,a}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i \sigma(w_i \cdot x + b_i) : \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$$

usually  $W \in \mathbb{R}^{m(n+2)}$  is  $(a_1, \ldots, a_m, w_1, b_1, w_2, b_2, \ldots)$ . Above  $\sigma$  is called an activation function.

1.  $\sigma(x) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-x}}$ , sigmoid 2.  $\sigma(x) = \tanh(x)$ 3.  $\sigma(x) = \max(0, x)$ , ReLU.

# Origin of the problem

Neural networks can be used for many things, but often they are used in the context of (least squares) regression

$$\inf_{W,a} \|f_{W,a} - y\|_{L^2(\mu)}^2$$

where  $\mu$  is the empirical measure and y(x) is the observation at x.

<□ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

## A network on the sphere

Consider

$$f_{W,a}(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i \sigma(w_i \cdot x),$$

where the vectors  $w_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $W = (w_1, \ldots, w_m) \in \mathbb{R}^{mn}$ , denote the weights, and the coefficients  $a_i \in \{-1, 1\}$ ,  $a = (a_1, \ldots, a_m)$ , are given and the activation function is  $\sigma(t) = \max\{t, 0\}$ .

< □ > < □ > < □ > < Ξ > < Ξ > Ξ のQ 5/19

## Exponential convergence in the overparametrized regime

Let  $\mu$  be the empirical measure for N datapoints  $(x_i, y_i)$ , where  $x_i$  is on the unit sphere, and  $|y_i| \leq 1$ .

Theorem (Du, Zhai, Poczós, Singh: 2019) If the number of hidden nodes  $m \gtrsim \frac{N^6}{\lambda_0^4}$  and we intialize W, a randomly, then with high probability we have

$$\|f_{W(t),a} - y\|_{L^{2}(\mu)}^{2} \leq e^{-\lambda_{0}t} \|f_{W(0),a} - y\|_{L^{2}(\mu)}^{2}$$

◆□ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ <

## Exponential convergence in the overparametrized regime

Let  $\mu$  be the empirical measure for N datapoints  $(x_i, y_i)$ , where  $x_i$  is on the unit sphere, and  $|y_i| \leq 1$ .

Theorem (Du, Zhai, Poczós, Singh: 2019) If the number of hidden nodes  $m \gtrsim \frac{N^6}{\lambda_0^4}$  and we intialize W, a randomly, then with high probability we have

$$\|f_{W(t),a} - y\|_{L^{2}(\mu)}^{2} \leq e^{-\lambda_{0}t} \|f_{W(0),a} - y\|_{L^{2}(\mu)}^{2}$$

Here  $\lambda_0$  is the smallest eigenvalue of the following Gramian matrix

$$\mathbb{E}_{w \sim N(0,1)} \left[ (x_i \mathbb{I}\{x_i \cdot w \ge 0\}) \cdot (x_j \mathbb{I}\{x_j \cdot w \ge 0\}) \right]$$

◆□ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ <

## What happens in the underparametrized regime?

Recall that the network is (in 2D)

$$f_{W,a}(x) = rac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \sum_{i=1}^m a_i \sigma(w_i \cdot x), \quad x \in S^1$$

where the vectors  $w_i \in \mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $W = (w_1, \ldots, w_m) \in \mathbb{R}^{2m}$ , denote the weights, and the coefficients  $a_i \in \{-1, 1\}$ ,  $a = (a_1, \ldots, a_m)$ , are given and the activation function is  $\sigma(t) = \max\{t, 0\}$ .

Given the vector *a* we denote the class of functions above as  $\mathcal{H}_{m,a}$ .

# What happens in the underparametrized regime?

These are the questions that we would like to answer in a quantitative way:

- 1. Do we still have exponential convergence?
- 2. What can we say about the minimum value of

$$\inf_{W} \|f_{W,a} - y\|^2$$

◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ● ○ ○ ○ 8/19

#### The behavior changes

Let us take the following example

$$y(x) = y(x_1, x_2) = \mathbb{I}_{\{x_2 \ge 0\}} x_1$$

and consider approximating the above using  $\mathcal{H}_{2,a}$ , a = (1, -1). We will see in a moment that

$$\inf_{W\in\mathbb{R}^4}\Phi(W):=\inf_{W\in\mathbb{R}^4}\|f_{W,a}-y\|_{L^2(S^1)}=0,$$

but  $\Phi(W) > 0$  for all  $W \in \mathbb{R}^4$ . Furthermore

$$\frac{d}{dt}W_t = -\nabla_W \Phi(W)$$

<ロト < @ ト < E ト < E ト E の Q (P 9/19

satisfies  $\lim_{t\to\infty}\|W_t\|=\infty$  for certain  $W_0.$ 

# The behavior changes

$$y(x) = y(x_1, x_2) = \mathbb{I}_{\{x_2 \ge 0\}} x_1$$

Take the network

$$f_{W,a}(x) = \sigma\left(\frac{1}{h}x_2 + x_1\right) - \sigma\left(\frac{1}{h}x_2\right)$$

<□ ▶ < □ ▶ < ■ ▶ < ■ ▶ < ■ ▶ = うへで 10/19

# The behavior changes

So,

- 1. the problem is not coercive
- 2. does not have a global minimum
- 3. and the gradient descent may diverge.

A way to get around this would be to consider a penalized form of the minimization problem, to keep |W| bounded.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三 のへで 11/19

The goal

1. What can we say quantitatively about the value of

$$\inf_{W} \|f_{W,a} - y\|_{L^2}^2 ?$$

2. And how far away from that is

$$\inf_{W \in B_R} \|f_{W,a} - y\|_{L^2}^2?$$

In other words, how much do we pay in terms of the minimum value, in order to constrain the minimization problem? When we say quantitative, we mean estimates with explicit constants.

# Closure in $L^2$

Our example shows that in general there is no global minima for

$$\inf_W \|f_W - y\|_2^2$$

so we need to identify the closure of  $\mathcal{H}_{m,a}$  in  $L^2$ .

#### Theorem

A function  $g:S^1\to\mathbb{R}$  belongs to the space  $\overline{\mathcal{H}}_{m,a}$  if and only if it is of the form

$$g(x) = \sum_{i \in J} \mathbb{I}\{\hat{w}_i \cdot x \ge 0\}(v_i \cdot x) + \sum_{i \in K} a_i \sigma(w_i \cdot x)$$

where  $\hat{w}_i$  are unit vectors, the set of indices J, K are disjoint and  $|J| \leq \underline{m}$ .

$$\underline{\mathbf{m}} = \min\{|i:a_i = -1|, |i:a_i = 1|\}$$

# The properties of the function class

Simple observation

$$\sigma(t) = \max\{t, 0\} = \frac{|t|}{2} + \frac{t}{2} =$$
symmetric + linear.

since  $w_i \cdot x$  is linear, we know that every function  $f_W \in \mathcal{H}_{m,a}$  is

 $f_W$  = antipodally symmetric + linear.

<□ ▶ < □ ▶ < ■ ▶ < ■ ▶ = りへで 14/19

# Symmetry

#### Lemma

For a function on  $S^1$  of the form

$$g(x) = \sum_{i \in J} \mathbb{I}\{\hat{w}_i \cdot x \ge 0\} v_i \cdot x$$

*if we decompose it into the antipodally symmetric and antisymmetric parts we get* 

$$g_{s}(x) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \in J} sgn(\hat{w}_{i} \cdot x)(v_{i} \cdot x)$$
$$g_{a}(x) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \sum_{i \in J} v_{i} \right) \cdot x$$

<□ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

## Uniform approximation theorem

Written in polar coordinates, the function  $g: S^1 \to \mathbb{R}$ 

$$g( heta) = \mathbb{I}_{(- heta_0, heta_0)} \cos( heta) - \mathbb{I}_{(- heta_0+\pi, heta_0+\pi)} \cos( heta) \in \overline{\mathcal{H}}_{2,(-1,1)}$$

#### Theorem (Uniform approximation)

Assume that  $y \in BV(S^1)$  is symmetric + linear, then

$$\inf_{f_{W}\in\mathcal{H}_{m,a}} \|f_{W} - y\|_{2}^{2} \leq \frac{62\|y\|_{BV}^{2}}{\underline{m}}$$

< □ ▶ < □ ▶ < ■ ▶ < ■ ▶ ■ ● ○ Q ○ 16/19

# Main result, the localization theorem

#### Theorem

Assume that  $y \in BV(S^1)$  is such that  $\|y\|_{L^2(S^1)} \le 1$ . Then for all  $R \ge R_0$  the following holds

$$\begin{split} \min_{\substack{f_W \in \mathcal{H}_{m,a} \\ |W| \le C(m)R}} \|f_W - y\|_{L^2(S^1)}^2 \\ & \leq \inf_{f_W \in \mathcal{H}_{m,a}} \|f_W - y\|_{L^2(S^1)}^2 + 5 \cdot 10^4 (\|y\|_{BV}^2 + 1) \frac{1}{R^{1/9}}, \end{split}$$
where  $C(m) = \sqrt{m/\underline{m}}$  and
 $R_0 = \max\{(10\|y\|_{BV})^6, 4 \cdot 10^7\}. \end{split}$ 

< □ ▶ < ■ ▶ < ≣ ▶ < ≣ ▶ ■ の へ ? 17/19

# Idea of proof

- 1. We prove it assuming first that  $y \in C^1(S^1)$ .
- 2. The closure allows us to find the minimizer

$$g(x) = \sum_{i \in J} \mathbb{I}\{\hat{w}_i \cdot x \ge 0\}(v_i \cdot x) + \sum_{i \in K} a_i \sigma(w_i \cdot x)$$

- The symmetric part of the minimizer satisfies an Euler-Lagrange equation. The Euler-Lagrange equation can be used to prove that the minimizer inherits some regularity from y.
- We then turn these regularity estimates into bounds of the vectors v<sub>i</sub>.
- 5. We use these bounds to construct a local approximation  $|W| \leq C(m)R$ .

Thank you

◆□ → ◆□ → ◆ 三 → ◆ 三 → の へ ○ 19/19