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## Abstract

In this talk, I will focus on a recent result together with Vesa Julin, concerning the approximation of functions of Bounded Variation (BV) using special neural networks on the unit circle. I will present the motivation for studying these special networks, their properties, and hopefully some proofs. Specifically the results we will cover: the closure of the class of neural networks in $L^{2}$, a uniform approximation result, and a localization result.

## Origin of the problem

A real valued single hidden layer neural network is defined as

$$
f_{W, a}(x)=\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i} \sigma\left(w_{i} \cdot x+b_{i}\right): \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}
$$

usually $W \in \mathbb{R}^{m(n+2)}$ is $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}, w_{1}, b_{1}, w_{2}, b_{2}, \ldots\right)$. Above $\sigma$ is called an activation function.

1. $\sigma(x)=\frac{1}{1+e^{-x}}$, sigmoid
2. $\sigma(x)=\tanh (x)$
3. $\sigma(x)=\max (0, x)$, ReLU.

## Origin of the problem

Neural networks can be used for many things, but often they are used in the context of (least squares) regression

$$
\inf _{W, a}\left\|f_{W, a}-y\right\|_{L^{2}(\mu)}^{2}
$$

where $\mu$ is the empirical measure and $y(x)$ is the observation at $x$.

## A network on the sphere

Consider

$$
f_{W, a}(x)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i} \sigma\left(w_{i} \cdot x\right)
$$

where the vectors $w_{i} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, W=\left(w_{1}, \ldots, w_{m}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{m n}$, denote the weights, and the coefficients $a_{i} \in\{-1,1\}, a=\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}\right)$, are given and the activation function is $\sigma(t)=\max \{t, 0\}$.

## Exponential convergence in the overparametrized regime

Let $\mu$ be the empirical measure for $N$ datapoints $\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)$, where $x_{i}$ is on the unit sphere, and $\left|y_{i}\right| \leq 1$.

Theorem (Du, Zhai, Poczós, Singh: 2019)
If the number of hidden nodes $m \gtrsim \frac{N^{6}}{\lambda_{0}^{4}}$ and we intialize $W$, a randomly, then with high probability we have

$$
\left\|f_{W(t), a}-y\right\|_{L^{2}(\mu)}^{2} \leq e^{-\lambda_{0} t}\left\|f_{W(0), a}-y\right\|_{L^{2}(\mu)}^{2}
$$

## Exponential convergence in the overparametrized regime

Let $\mu$ be the empirical measure for $N$ datapoints $\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)$, where $x_{i}$ is on the unit sphere, and $\left|y_{i}\right| \leq 1$.
Theorem (Du, Zhai, Poczós, Singh: 2019)
If the number of hidden nodes $m \gtrsim \frac{N^{6}}{\lambda_{0}^{4}}$ and we intialize $W$, a randomly, then with high probability we have

$$
\left\|f_{W(t), a}-y\right\|_{L^{2}(\mu)}^{2} \leq e^{-\lambda_{0} t}\left\|f_{W(0), a}-y\right\|_{L^{2}(\mu)}^{2}
$$

Here $\lambda_{0}$ is the smallest eigenvalue of the following Gramian matrix

$$
\mathbb{E}_{w \sim N(0,1)}\left[\left(x_{i} \mathbb{I}\left\{x_{i} \cdot w \geq 0\right\}\right) \cdot\left(x_{j} \mathbb{I}\left\{x_{j} \cdot w \geq 0\right\}\right)\right]
$$

## What happens in the underparametrized regime?

Recall that the network is (in 2D)

$$
f_{W, a}(x)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i} \sigma\left(w_{i} \cdot x\right), \quad x \in S^{1}
$$

where the vectors $w_{i} \in \mathbb{R}^{2}, W=\left(w_{1}, \ldots, w_{m}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2 m}$, denote the weights, and the coefficients $a_{i} \in\{-1,1\}, a=\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}\right)$, are given and the activation function is $\sigma(t)=\max \{t, 0\}$.

Given the vector a we denote the class of functions above as $\mathcal{H}_{m, a}$.

## What happens in the underparametrized regime?

These are the questions that we would like to answer in a quantitative way:

1. Do we still have exponential convergence?
2. What can we say about the minimum value of

$$
\inf _{W}\left\|f_{W, a}-y\right\|^{2}
$$

## The behavior changes

Let us take the following example

$$
y(x)=y\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\mathbb{I}_{\left\{x_{2} \geq 0\right\}} x_{1}
$$

and consider approximating the above using $\mathcal{H}_{2, a}, a=(1,-1)$. We will see in a moment that

$$
\inf _{W \in \mathbb{R}^{4}} \Phi(W):=\inf _{W \in \mathbb{R}^{4}}\left\|f_{W, a}-y\right\|_{L^{2}\left(S^{1}\right)}=0
$$

but $\Phi(W)>0$ for all $W \in \mathbb{R}^{4}$. Furthermore

$$
\frac{d}{d t} W_{t}=-\nabla_{W} \Phi(W)
$$

satisfies $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty}\left\|W_{t}\right\|=\infty$ for certain $W_{0}$.

## The behavior changes

$$
y(x)=y\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\mathbb{I}_{\left\{x_{2} \geq 0\right\}} x_{1}
$$

Take the network

$$
f_{W, a}(x)=\sigma\left(\frac{1}{h} x_{2}+x_{1}\right)-\sigma\left(\frac{1}{h} x_{2}\right)
$$

## The behavior changes

So,

1. the problem is not coercive
2. does not have a global minimum
3. and the gradient descent may diverge.

A way to get around this would be to consider a penalized form of the minimization problem, to keep $|W|$ bounded.

## The goal

1. What can we say quantitatively about the value of

$$
\inf _{W}\left\|f_{W, a}-y\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} ?
$$

2. And how far away from that is

$$
\inf _{W \in B_{R}}\left\|f_{W, a}-y\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} ?
$$

In other words, how much do we pay in terms of the minimum value, in order to constrain the minimization problem?
When we say quantitative, we mean estimates with explicit constants.

## Closure in $L^{2}$

Our example shows that in general there is no global minima for

$$
\inf _{W}\left\|f_{W}-y\right\|_{2}^{2}
$$

so we need to identify the closure of $\mathcal{H}_{m, a}$ in $L^{2}$.
Theorem
A function $g: S^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ belongs to the space $\overline{\mathcal{H}}_{m, a}$ if and only if it is of the form

$$
g(x)=\sum_{i \in J} \mathbb{I}\left\{\hat{w}_{i} \cdot x \geq 0\right\}\left(v_{i} \cdot x\right)+\sum_{i \in K} a_{i} \sigma\left(w_{i} \cdot x\right)
$$

where $\hat{w}_{i}$ are unit vectors, the set of indices $J, K$ are disjoint and $|J| \leq \underline{m}$.

$$
\underline{\mathrm{m}}=\min \left\{\left|i: a_{i}=-1\right|,\left|i: a_{i}=1\right|\right\}
$$

## The properties of the function class

Simple observation

$$
\sigma(t)=\max \{t, 0\}=\frac{|t|}{2}+\frac{t}{2}=\text { symmetric }+ \text { linear. }
$$

since $w_{i} \cdot x$ is linear, we know that every function $f_{W} \in \mathcal{H}_{m, a}$ is
$f_{W}=$ antipodally symmetric + linear.

## Symmetry

## Lemma

For a function on $S^{1}$ of the form

$$
g(x)=\sum_{i \in J} \mathbb{I}\left\{\hat{w}_{i} \cdot x \geq 0\right\} v_{i} \cdot x
$$

if we decompose it into the antipodally symmetric and antisymmetric parts we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g_{s}(x)=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \in J} \operatorname{sgn}\left(\hat{w}_{i} \cdot x\right)\left(v_{i} \cdot x\right) \\
& g_{a}(x)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\sum_{i \in J} v_{i}\right) \cdot x
\end{aligned}
$$

## Uniform approximation theorem

Written in polar coordinates, the function $g: S^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$
g(\theta)=\mathbb{I}_{\left(-\theta_{0}, \theta_{0}\right)} \cos (\theta)-\mathbb{I}_{\left(-\theta_{0}+\pi, \theta_{0}+\pi\right)} \cos (\theta) \in \overline{\mathcal{H}}_{2,(-1,1)}
$$

Theorem (Uniform approximation)
Assume that $y \in B V\left(S^{1}\right)$ is symmetric + linear, then

$$
\inf _{f_{W} \in \mathcal{H}_{m, a}}\left\|f_{W}-y\right\|_{2}^{2} \leq \frac{62\|y\|_{B V}^{2}}{\underline{m}}
$$

## Main result, the localization theorem

Theorem
Assume that $y \in B V\left(S^{1}\right)$ is such that $\|y\|_{L^{2}\left(S^{1}\right)} \leq 1$. Then for all $R \geq R_{0}$ the following holds

$$
\min _{\substack{f_{W} \in \mathcal{H}_{m, a} \\|W| \leq C(m) R}}\left\|f_{W}-y\right\|_{L^{2}\left(S^{1}\right)}^{2}
$$

$$
\leq \inf _{f_{W} \in \mathcal{H}_{m, a}}\left\|f_{W}-y\right\|_{L^{2}\left(S^{1}\right)}^{2}+5 \cdot 10^{4}\left(\|y\|_{B V}^{2}+1\right) \frac{1}{R^{1 / 9}}
$$

where $C(m)=\sqrt{m / \underline{m}}$ and

$$
R_{0}=\max \left\{\left(10\|y\|_{B V}\right)^{6}, 4 \cdot 10^{7}\right\}
$$

## Idea of proof

1. We prove it assuming first that $y \in C^{1}\left(S^{1}\right)$.
2. The closure allows us to find the minimizer

$$
g(x)=\sum_{i \in J} \mathbb{I}\left\{\hat{w}_{i} \cdot x \geq 0\right\}\left(v_{i} \cdot x\right)+\sum_{i \in K} a_{i} \sigma\left(w_{i} \cdot x\right)
$$

3. The symmetric part of the minimizer satisfies an Euler-Lagrange equation. The Euler-Lagrange equation can be used to prove that the minimizer inherits some regularity from $y$.
4. We then turn these regularity estimates into bounds of the vectors $v_{i}$.
5. We use these bounds to construct a local approximation $|W| \leq C(m) R$.

## Thank you

