

On the spectrum of the Kronig–Penney model in a constant electric field

Simon Larson

Caltech & University of Gothenburg

joint work with

Rupert L. Frank, Caltech & LMU Munich

Djurö, August 2021

The problem

Consider the one-dimensional Schrödinger operator

$$L_{F,\lambda} = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} - Fx + \lambda \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \delta(x - n) \quad \text{in } L^2(\mathbb{R})$$

with $F, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

Question: How do spectral properties of $L_{F,\lambda}$ depend on the parameters?

The problem

Consider the one-dimensional Schrödinger operator

$$L_{F,\lambda} = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} - Fx + \lambda \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \delta(x - n) \quad \text{in } L^2(\mathbb{R})$$

with $F, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

Question: How do spectral properties of $L_{F,\lambda}$ depend on the parameters?

Some special cases:

- $F = 0, \lambda = 0$ then $\sigma = [0, \infty)$ only ac (the Laplace operator)
- $F \neq 0, \lambda = 0$ then $\sigma = \mathbb{R}$ only ac (the Stark operator),
- $F = 0, \lambda \neq 0$ then spectrum is only ac with band structure (the Kronig–Penney model)

In general case few **mathematically rigorous** results exist.

Ao '90, and independently Buslaev '99, suggested that the nature of the spectrum depends on number theoretic properties of F and the size of F/λ^2 .

In Berzhkovskii–Ovchinnikov '76 and Borysowicz '97 the potential for number theoretic dependence is missed.

Main results

$$L_{F,\lambda} = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} - Fx + \lambda \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \delta(x - n) \text{ with } F > 0 \text{ and } \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$$

Theorem (Frank–L., '21)

Fix $F \in \pi^2 \mathbb{Q}_+$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and write $F = \frac{\pi^2}{3} \frac{p}{q}$ with $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$\sigma_{ac}(L_{F,\lambda}) = \mathbb{R}, \quad \sigma_{sc}(L_{F,\lambda}) = \emptyset, \quad \sigma_{pp}(L_{F,\lambda}) \subseteq \left\{ \frac{\pi^2}{3q} m + \lambda : m \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}.$$

Main results

$$L_{F,\lambda} = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} - Fx + \lambda \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \delta(x - n) \text{ with } F > 0 \text{ and } \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$$

Theorem (Frank–L., '21)

Fix $F \in \pi^2 \mathbb{Q}_+$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and write $F = \frac{\pi^2 p}{3q}$ with $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$\sigma_{ac}(L_{F,\lambda}) = \mathbb{R}, \quad \sigma_{sc}(L_{F,\lambda}) = \emptyset, \quad \sigma_{pp}(L_{F,\lambda}) \subseteq \left\{ \frac{\pi^2}{3q} m + \lambda : m \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}.$$

Remarks:

- By translation by 1 the spectrum of $L_{F,\lambda}$ is F periodic so the possible eigenvalues only depend on m through $m \bmod p$.
- The δ is a critical case. If $L = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} - Fx + V$ then
 - ▶ $V \in L^1 \cap H^{-1/2}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}) \implies \sigma_{ac}(L) = \mathbb{R}$ (Galina Perelman '03)
 - ▶ $V = \sum \delta'(x - n) \implies \sigma_{ac}(L) = \emptyset$ (Avron–Exner–Last '94, Exner '95)
- We push techniques used by Perelman '05 to prove related results.
- While the theorem assumes $F \in \pi^2 \mathbb{Q}_+$ most of our work does not need this assumption.
- When $F \in \mathbb{R}_+ \setminus \pi^2 \mathbb{Q}_+$ one expects a transition from singular continuous to pure point spectrum as λ^2/F is increased.

Main results

$L_{F,\lambda}^\omega = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} - Fx + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} g_n(\omega) \delta(x - n)$, with $F > 0$ and $g_n(\omega)$ independent random variables, at least one having ac distribution and for all n

$$\mathbb{E}_\omega[g_n] = 0, \quad \mathbb{E}_\omega[g_n^2] = \lambda^2, \quad \mathbb{E}_\omega[|g_n|^\beta] < C \quad \text{for some } \beta > 4.$$

Theorem (Frank–L., '21)

Almost surely $L_{F,\lambda}^\omega$ defines a self-adjoint operator in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ with $\sigma(L_{F,\lambda}^\omega) = \mathbb{R}$. Moreover, the spectrum is almost surely

- *purely singular continuous if $F > \lambda^2/2$,*
- *only pure point if $F < \lambda^2/2$.*

Main results

$L_{F,\lambda}^\omega = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} - Fx + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} g_n(\omega) \delta(x - n)$, with $F > 0$ and $g_n(\omega)$ independent

random variables, at least one having ac distribution and for all n

$$\mathbb{E}_\omega[g_n] = 0, \quad \mathbb{E}_\omega[g_n^2] = \lambda^2, \quad \mathbb{E}_\omega[|g_n|^\beta] < C \quad \text{for some } \beta > 4.$$

Theorem (Frank–L., '21)

Almost surely $L_{F,\lambda}^\omega$ defines a self-adjoint operator in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ with $\sigma(L_{F,\lambda}^\omega) = \mathbb{R}$.
Moreover, the spectrum is almost surely

- purely singular continuous if $F > \lambda^2/2$,
- only pure point if $F < \lambda^2/2$.

Remarks:

- Delyon–Simon–Souillard '85 proved $\begin{cases} F/\lambda^2 \text{ small} \implies \text{a.s. only pp} \\ F/\lambda^2 \text{ large} \implies \text{a.s. continuous spectrum} \end{cases}$
- For $-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} - Fx + \lambda W_\omega$ (W_ω white noise) analogue result by Minami '92
- Kiselev–Last–Simon '97 considered $-\Delta + \frac{g_n(\omega)}{(1+|n|)^\alpha}$ in $l^2(\mathbb{Z})$, analogue result in critical case $\alpha = 1/2$.
- If $F > \lambda^2/2$, a.s. spectral measure vanishes on sets of Hausdorff dim. less than $1 - \frac{\lambda^2}{2F}$ (by techniques of Jitomirskaya–Last '99 & '00 and Damanik–Killip–Lenz '00).

Reduction to ODE's

By Gilbert–Pearson subordinacy theory and in the random case the theory of rank-one perturbations (spectral averaging) proof is reduced to analysing solutions of the ODE

$$\begin{aligned} -\psi''(x) - Fx\psi(x) &= E\psi(x) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z} \\ J\psi(n) &= 0 \quad \text{and} \quad J\psi'(n) = g_n\psi(n) \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{Z}. \end{aligned}$$

where $Ju(x) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} [u(x + \varepsilon) - u(x - \varepsilon)]$ and $g_n = \lambda$ or $g_n = g_n(\omega)$.

Reduction to ODE's

By **Gilbert–Pearson subordination theory** and in the random case the **theory of rank-one perturbations** (spectral averaging) proof is reduced to analysing solutions of the ODE

$$\begin{aligned} -\psi''(x) - Fx\psi(x) &= E\psi(x) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z} \\ J\psi(n) &= 0 \quad \text{and} \quad J\psi'(n) = g_n\psi(n) \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{Z}. \end{aligned}$$

where $Ju(x) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} [u(x + \varepsilon) - u(x - \varepsilon)]$ and $g_n = \lambda$ or $g_n = g_n(\omega)$.

Specifically:

1. Does there exist a solution of the equation **subordinate** at $\pm\infty$?
2. If they exist, are the subordinate solutions **square integrable**?

Definition A non-trivial solution ψ is **subordinate at $+\infty$** if for any lin. indep. solution η

$$\lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_0^M |\psi(x)|^2 dx}{\int_0^M |\eta(x)|^2 dx} = 0.$$

Subordinacy at $-\infty$ is defined similarly.

Main ODE results

Lemma (Both models)

*For all $E \in \mathbb{R}$ there exists a solution ψ of the eigenvalue equation **subordinate** and **square integrable** at $-\infty$.*

Main ODE results

Lemma (Both models)

For all $E \in \mathbb{R}$ there exists a solution ψ of the eigenvalue equation *subordinate* and *square integrable* at $-\infty$.

Proposition (Deterministic model)

For $F \in \pi^2 \mathbb{Q}_+$, $F = \frac{\pi^2}{3} \frac{q}{p}$, and $E \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{ \frac{\pi^2}{3p} m + \lambda : m \in \mathbb{Z} \}$ there exists no solution of the eigenvalue equation subordinate at $+\infty$.

Main ODE results

Lemma (Both models)

For all $E \in \mathbb{R}$ there exists a solution ψ of the eigenvalue equation *subordinate* and *square integrable* at $-\infty$.

Proposition (Deterministic model)

For $F \in \pi^2 \mathbb{Q}_+$, $F = \frac{\pi^2}{3} \frac{q}{p}$, and $E \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{ \frac{\pi^2}{3p} m + \lambda : m \in \mathbb{Z} \}$ there exists no solution of the eigenvalue equation subordinate at $+\infty$.

Proposition (Random model)

Let $F > 0$, $E \in \mathbb{R}$ and g_n be independent r.v.'s as before. Then

- i) for *every boundary condition* at 0 the corresponding solution ψ of the eigenvalue equation satisfies, *almost surely*,

$$\int_M^{M+1} |\psi(x)|^2 dx = M^{-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\lambda^2}{4F} + o(1)} \quad \text{as } M \rightarrow \infty.$$

- ii) *almost surely* there *exists a boundary condition* at 0 such that the corresponding solution ψ of the eigenvalue equation satisfies

$$\int_M^{M+1} |\psi(x)|^2 dx = M^{-\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\lambda^2}{4F} + o(1)} \quad \text{as } M \rightarrow \infty.$$

Relative Prüfer coordinates

Define the **reference solution**

$$\zeta(x) = \left(\frac{\pi}{F^{1/3}}\right)^{1/2} \left(i\text{Ai}(-F^{1/3}(x + E/F)) + \text{Bi}(-F^{1/3}(x + E/F)) \right)$$

which satisfies

$$-\zeta''(x) - Fx\zeta(x) = E\zeta(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \{\zeta, \bar{\zeta}\}(x) \neq 0.$$

Relative Prüfer coordinates

Define the **reference solution**

$$\zeta(x) = \left(\frac{\pi}{F^{1/3}}\right)^{1/2} \left(i\text{Ai}(-F^{1/3}(x + E/F)) + \text{Bi}(-F^{1/3}(x + E/F)) \right)$$

which satisfies

$$-\zeta''(x) - Fx\zeta(x) = E\zeta(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \{\zeta, \bar{\zeta}\}(x) \neq 0.$$

Lemma

There exists real-valued and increasing $\gamma \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\zeta(x) = \frac{e^{i\gamma(x)}}{\sqrt{\gamma'(x)}},$$
$$\gamma(x) = \frac{2\sqrt{F}}{3}x^{3/2} + \frac{E}{\sqrt{F}}x^{1/2} + \frac{\pi}{2} + O(x^{-1/2}),$$

and the asymptotic expansion can be differentiated.

Relative Prüfer coordinates, complex set-up

Let ψ be a **real-valued** solution of the eigenvalue equation then there exists uniquely determined $\{\alpha(n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \subset \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ such that

$$\psi(x) = \alpha(n)\zeta(x) + \bar{\alpha}(n)\bar{\zeta}(x) \quad \text{for } x \in (n-1, n].$$

Relative Prüfer coordinates, complex set-up

Let ψ be a **real-valued** solution of the eigenvalue equation then there exists uniquely determined $\{\alpha(n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \subset \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ such that

$$\psi(x) = \alpha(n)\zeta(x) + \bar{\alpha}(n)\bar{\zeta}(x) \quad \text{for } x \in (n-1, n].$$

Lemma

Set $U(n) = \frac{g_n}{\gamma'(n)}$, then

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha(n+1) \\ \bar{\alpha}(n+1) \end{pmatrix} = A_n \begin{pmatrix} \alpha(n) \\ \bar{\alpha}(n) \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{with } A_n = \mathbb{1} + \frac{U(n)}{2i} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & e^{-2i\gamma(n)} \\ -e^{2i\gamma(n)} & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Furthermore,

$$\int_{n-1}^n |\psi(x)|^2 dx \sim \frac{|\alpha(n)|^2}{n^{1/2}}.$$

Remarks:

- 1) Same structure as equations appearing for OPUC, $A_n \in \text{SU}(1, 1)$.
- 2) The **non-linear** phase γ differs from more classical case.
- 3) $U(n) \sim n^{-1/2}$ matches **critical** decay rate in **Kiselev–Last–Simon '97**.

Relative Prüfer coordinates

Define the **Prüfer Radius and angle** $R, \eta: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\alpha(n) = \frac{R(n)}{2i} e^{i\eta(n)} \quad \text{and let} \quad \theta(n) = \gamma(n) + \eta(n),$$

with $\eta(1) \in (-\pi, \pi]$ and $\eta(n+1) - \eta(n) \in (-\pi, \pi]$.

Relative Prüfer coordinates

Define the **Prüfer Radius and angle** $R, \eta: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\alpha(n) = \frac{R(n)}{2i} e^{i\eta(n)} \quad \text{and let} \quad \theta(n) = \gamma(n) + \eta(n),$$

with $\eta(1) \in (-\pi, \pi]$ and $\eta(n+1) - \eta(n) \in (-\pi, \pi]$.

Lemma

Then

$$R(n+1)^2 = R(n)^2 \left[1 + U(n) \sin(2\theta(n)) + U(n)^2 \sin^2(\theta(n)) \right],$$
$$\cot(\eta(n+1) + \gamma(n)) = \cot(\eta(n) + \gamma(n)) + U(n).$$

Relative Prüfer coordinates

Define the **Prüfer Radius and angle** $R, \eta: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\alpha(n) = \frac{R(n)}{2i} e^{i\eta(n)} \quad \text{and let} \quad \theta(n) = \gamma(n) + \eta(n),$$

with $\eta(1) \in (-\pi, \pi]$ and $\eta(n+1) - \eta(n) \in (-\pi, \pi]$.

Lemma

Then

$$\begin{aligned} R(n+1)^2 &= R(n)^2 \left[1 + U(n) \sin(2\theta(n)) + U(n)^2 \sin^2(\theta(n)) \right], \\ \cot(\eta(n+1) + \gamma(n)) &= \cot(\eta(n) + \gamma(n)) + U(n). \end{aligned}$$

Since $U(n) = \frac{g_n}{\gamma'(n)} \sim n^{-1/2} \rightarrow 0$ (almost surely),

$$\begin{aligned} \log\left(\frac{R(n+1)}{R(n)}\right) &= \frac{U(n)}{2} \sin(2\theta(n)) + \frac{U(n)^2}{8} + \frac{U(n)^2}{8} \left[\cos(4\theta(n)) - \frac{1}{2} \cos(2\theta(n)) \right] \\ &\quad + O(|U(n)|^3) \end{aligned}$$

Repeated use of the equations yield

$$\begin{aligned}\log\left(\frac{R(N+1)}{R(1)}\right) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N U(n) \sin(2\theta(n)) + \frac{1}{8} \sum_{n=1}^N U(n)^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{8} \sum_{n=1}^N U(n)^2 \left[\cos(4\theta(n)) - \frac{1}{2} \cos(2\theta(n)) \right] + O(1)\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\eta(N+1) - \eta(1) &= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N U(n) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N U(n) \cos(2\theta(n)) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{n=1}^N U(n)^2 \left[\sin(2\theta(n)) - \frac{1}{2} \sin(4\theta(n)) \right] + O(1)\end{aligned}$$

Repeated use of the equations yield

$$\begin{aligned} \log\left(\frac{R(N+1)}{R(1)}\right) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N U(n) \sin(2\theta(n)) + \frac{1}{8} \sum_{n=1}^N U(n)^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{8} \sum_{n=1}^N U(n)^2 \left[\cos(4\theta(n)) - \frac{1}{2} \cos(2\theta(n)) \right] + O(1) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \eta(N+1) - \eta(1) &= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N U(n) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N U(n) \cos(2\theta(n)) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{n=1}^N U(n)^2 \left[\sin(2\theta(n)) - \frac{1}{2} \sin(4\theta(n)) \right] + O(1) \end{aligned}$$

Repeated use of the equations yield

$$\log\left(\frac{R(N+1)}{R(1)}\right) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N U(n) \sin(2\theta(n)) + \frac{1}{8} \sum_{n=1}^N U(n)^2 \\ + \frac{1}{8} \sum_{n=1}^N U(n)^2 \left[\cos(4\theta(n)) - \frac{1}{2} \cos(2\theta(n)) \right] + O(1)$$

$$\eta(N+1) - \eta(1) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N U(n) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N U(n) \cos(2\theta(n)) \\ + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{n=1}^N U(n)^2 \left[\sin(2\theta(n)) - \frac{1}{2} \sin(4\theta(n)) \right] + O(1)$$

Need to understand **exponential sums** of the form

$$\sum_{a < n \leq b} \left(\frac{g_n}{\gamma'(n)} \right)^m e^{i\mu\theta(n)} \quad \text{with} \quad \begin{cases} m = 1, 2, \\ \mu = 2, 4, \\ g_n \equiv \lambda \text{ or } g_n \text{ indep. r.v.'s} \end{cases}$$

Heuristically: $\theta = \gamma + \eta$ can be treated as a small perturbation of γ .

The random model $g_n(\omega)$ indep. r.v.'s with $\mathbb{E}_\omega[g_n] = 0$, $\mathbb{E}_\omega[g_n^2] = \lambda^2$.

Claim: for any $R(1), \eta(1)$ almost surely

$$\log\left(\frac{R(N+1)}{R(1)}\right) = \frac{\lambda^2}{8F} \log(N)(1 + o(1))$$

The random model $g_n(\omega)$ indep. r.v.'s with $\mathbb{E}_\omega[g_n] = 0$, $\mathbb{E}_\omega[g_n^2] = \lambda^2$.

Claim: for any $R(1), \eta(1)$ almost surely

$$\log\left(\frac{R(N+1)}{R(1)}\right) = \frac{\lambda^2}{8F} \log(N)(1 + o(1))$$

Following closely **Kiselev–Last–Simon '97**

$$\sum_{n=1}^N \frac{g_n(\omega)^2}{\gamma'(n)^2} =$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^N \frac{g_n(\omega)^2}{\gamma'(n)^2} e^{i\mu\theta(n)} =$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^N \frac{g_n(\omega)}{\gamma'(n)} e^{i2\theta(n)} =$$

The random model $g_n(\omega)$ indep. r.v.'s with $\mathbb{E}_\omega[g_n] = 0$, $\mathbb{E}_\omega[g_n^2] = \lambda^2$.

Claim: for any $R(1), \eta(1)$ almost surely

$$\log\left(\frac{R(N+1)}{R(1)}\right) = \frac{\lambda^2}{8F} \log(N)(1 + o(1))$$

Following closely **Kiselev–Last–Simon '97**

$$\sum_{n=1}^N \frac{g_n(\omega)^2}{\gamma'(n)^2} = \lambda^2 \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{\gamma'(n)^2} + \underbrace{\sum_{n=1}^N \frac{g_n(\omega)^2 - \lambda^2}{\gamma'(n)^2}}_{\substack{\mathbb{E}[\cdot]=0, \\ \mathbb{E}[(\cdot)^2] \lesssim \frac{1}{n^2}}} \stackrel{\text{a.s.}}{=} \frac{\lambda^2}{F} \log(N) + O(1),$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^N \frac{g_n(\omega)^2}{\gamma'(n)^2} e^{i\mu\theta(n)} =$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^N \frac{g_n(\omega)}{\gamma'(n)} e^{i2\theta(n)} =$$

The random model $g_n(\omega)$ indep. r.v.'s with $\mathbb{E}_\omega[g_n] = 0$, $\mathbb{E}_\omega[g_n^2] = \lambda^2$.

Claim: for any $R(1), \eta(1)$ almost surely

$$\log\left(\frac{R(N+1)}{R(1)}\right) = \frac{\lambda^2}{8F} \log(N)(1 + o(1))$$

Following closely **Kiselev–Last–Simon '97**

$$\sum_{n=1}^N \frac{g_n(\omega)^2}{\gamma'(n)^2} = \lambda^2 \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{\gamma'(n)^2} + \underbrace{\sum_{n=1}^N \frac{g_n(\omega)^2 - \lambda^2}{\gamma'(n)^2}}_{\mathbb{E}[\cdot]=0, \mathbb{E}[(\cdot)^2] \lesssim \frac{1}{n^2}} \stackrel{\text{a.s.}}{=} \frac{\lambda^2}{F} \log(N) + O(1),$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^N \frac{g_n(\omega)^2}{\gamma'(n)^2} e^{i\mu\theta(n)} = \lambda^2 \underbrace{\sum_{n=1}^N \frac{e^{i\mu\theta(n)}}{\gamma'(n)^2}}_{\text{van der Corput}} + \underbrace{\sum_{n=1}^N \frac{g_n(\omega)^2 - \lambda^2}{\gamma'(n)^2} e^{i\mu\theta(n)}}_{\mathbb{E}[\cdot]=0, \mathbb{E}[(\cdot)^2] \lesssim \frac{1}{n^2}} \stackrel{\text{a.s.}}{=} O(1),$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^N \frac{g_n(\omega)}{\gamma'(n)} e^{i2\theta(n)} =$$

The random model $g_n(\omega)$ indep. r.v.'s with $\mathbb{E}_\omega[g_n] = 0$, $\mathbb{E}_\omega[g_n^2] = \lambda^2$.

Claim: for any $R(1), \eta(1)$ almost surely

$$\log\left(\frac{R(N+1)}{R(1)}\right) = \frac{\lambda^2}{8F} \log(N)(1 + o(1))$$

Following closely **Kiselev–Last–Simon '97**

$$\sum_{n=1}^N \frac{g_n(\omega)^2}{\gamma'(n)^2} = \lambda^2 \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{\gamma'(n)^2} + \underbrace{\sum_{n=1}^N \frac{g_n(\omega)^2 - \lambda^2}{\gamma'(n)^2}}_{\mathbb{E}[\cdot]=0, \mathbb{E}[(\cdot)^2] \lesssim \frac{1}{n^2}} \stackrel{\text{a.s.}}{=} \frac{\lambda^2}{F} \log(N) + O(1),$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^N \frac{g_n(\omega)^2}{\gamma'(n)^2} e^{i\mu\theta(n)} = \lambda^2 \underbrace{\sum_{n=1}^N \frac{e^{i\mu\theta(n)}}{\gamma'(n)^2}}_{\text{van der Corput}} + \underbrace{\sum_{n=1}^N \frac{g_n(\omega)^2 - \lambda^2}{\gamma'(n)^2} e^{i\mu\theta(n)}}_{\mathbb{E}[\cdot]=0, \mathbb{E}[(\cdot)^2] \lesssim \frac{1}{n^2}} \stackrel{\text{a.s.}}{=} O(1),$$

$$\underbrace{\sum_{n=1}^N \frac{g_n(\omega)}{\gamma'(n)} e^{i2\theta(n)}}_{\mathbb{E}[\cdot]=0, \mathbb{E}[(\cdot)^2] \lesssim \frac{1}{n}} \stackrel{\text{a.s.}}{=} o(\log(N)^{1/2+\varepsilon}) \quad \text{for all } \varepsilon > 0$$

The random model $g_n(\omega)$ indep. r.v.'s with $\mathbb{E}_\omega[g_n] = 0$, $\mathbb{E}_\omega[g_n^2] = \lambda^2$.

Claim: for any $R(1), \eta(1)$ almost surely

$$\log\left(\frac{R(N+1)}{R(1)}\right) = \frac{\lambda^2}{8F} \log(N)(1 + o(1))$$

Following closely **Kiselev–Last–Simon '97**

$$\sum_{n=1}^N \frac{g_n(\omega)^2}{\gamma'(n)^2} = \lambda^2 \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{\gamma'(n)^2} + \underbrace{\sum_{n=1}^N \frac{g_n(\omega)^2 - \lambda^2}{\gamma'(n)^2}}_{\mathbb{E}[\cdot]=0, \mathbb{E}[(\cdot)^2] \lesssim \frac{1}{n^2}} \stackrel{\text{a.s.}}{=} \frac{\lambda^2}{F} \log(N) + O(1),$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^N \frac{g_n(\omega)^2}{\gamma'(n)^2} e^{i\mu\theta(n)} = \lambda^2 \underbrace{\sum_{n=1}^N \frac{e^{i\mu\theta(n)}}{\gamma'(n)^2}}_{\text{van der Corput}} + \underbrace{\sum_{n=1}^N \frac{g_n(\omega)^2 - \lambda^2}{\gamma'(n)^2} e^{i\mu\theta(n)}}_{\mathbb{E}[\cdot]=0, \mathbb{E}[(\cdot)^2] \lesssim \frac{1}{n^2}} \stackrel{\text{a.s.}}{=} O(1),$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^N \underbrace{\frac{g_n(\omega)}{\gamma'(n)}}_{\mathbb{E}[\cdot]=0, \mathbb{E}[(\cdot)^2] \lesssim \frac{1}{n}} e^{i2\theta(n)} \stackrel{\text{a.s.}}{=} o(\log(N)^{1/2+\varepsilon}) \quad \text{for all } \varepsilon > 0$$

Remarks:

- Abstract $\text{SU}(1, 1)$ machinery implies existence of subordinate solution.
- Only the last estimate does not work for deterministic model.

The deterministic model

Problem: In general we are not able to accurately compute asymptotics of

$$\sum_{n=1}^N \frac{e^{i2\gamma(n)}}{\gamma'(n)} \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty.$$

Recall: $\gamma(x) = \frac{2\sqrt{F}}{3}x^{3/2} + \frac{E}{\sqrt{F}}x^{1/2} + \frac{\pi}{2} + O(x^{-1/2}).$

The deterministic model

Problem: In general we are not able to accurately compute asymptotics of

$$\sum_{n=1}^N \frac{e^{i2\gamma(n)}}{\gamma'(n)} \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty.$$

Recall: $\gamma(x) = \frac{2\sqrt{F}}{3}x^{3/2} + \frac{E}{\sqrt{F}}x^{1/2} + \frac{\pi}{2} + O(x^{-1/2})$.

However we can understand partial sums of lengths larger than $O(1)$
 \implies we can coarse grain our equations.

The deterministic model

Problem: In general we are not able to accurately compute asymptotics of

$$\sum_{n=1}^N \frac{e^{i2\gamma(n)}}{\gamma'(n)} \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty.$$

Recall: $\gamma(x) = \frac{2\sqrt{F}}{3}x^{3/2} + \frac{E}{\sqrt{F}}x^{1/2} + \frac{\pi}{2} + O(x^{-1/2})$.

However we can understand partial sums of lengths larger than $O(1)$
 \implies we can coarse grain our equations.

- Strong cancellations unless $\gamma'(x)$ close to $\pi\mathbb{Z}$.
- Define X_l by $\gamma'(X_l) = \pi l$,

$$\gamma'(x) = \sqrt{F}x^{1/2} + O(x^{-1/2}) \quad \implies \quad X_l = \frac{\pi^2}{F}l^2 + O(1),$$

natural scale is given by $x \sim \frac{\pi^2}{F}l^2$.

- By combination of Poisson summation formula and the method of stationary phase we can accurately compute

$$\sum_{n \in I_l} \frac{e^{2i\gamma(n)}}{\gamma'(n)} \quad \text{with } I_l = \left(\frac{\pi^2}{F} \left(l - \frac{1}{2} \right)^2, \frac{\pi^2}{F} \left(l + \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 \right].$$

Theorem (Frank–L., '21)

Fix $F > 0$, $E \in \mathbb{R}$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, and set $I_l = \left(\frac{\pi^2}{F} (l - \frac{1}{2})^2, \frac{\pi^2}{F} (l + \frac{1}{2})^2 \right]$.

Let ψ be a real-valued solution of the eigenvalue equation, then there exist $\mathcal{R}, \Lambda: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that for $x \in I_l$

$$\psi(x) = \mathcal{R}(l) \frac{e^{i\Lambda(l) - i\lambda\sqrt{|x|/F}} \zeta(x) - e^{-i\Lambda(l) + i\lambda\sqrt{|x|/F}} \bar{\zeta}(x)}{2i} + O\left(\frac{\mathcal{R}(l)|\zeta(x)|}{\sqrt{l}}\right).$$

Moreover, \mathcal{R}, Λ satisfy

$$\log\left(\frac{\mathcal{R}(l+1)}{\mathcal{R}(l)}\right) = \frac{\lambda \sin(2\Theta(l))}{\sqrt{2Fl}} + \frac{\lambda^2}{4Fl} \left[1 + \cos(4\Theta(l))\right] + O(l^{-5/4}),$$
$$\Lambda(l+1) - \Lambda(l) = \frac{\lambda \cos(2\Theta(l))}{\sqrt{2Fl}} + O(l^{-3/4}),$$

where

$$\Theta(l) = \Gamma(l) + \Lambda(l) \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma(l) = -\frac{\pi^3}{3F} l^3 + \frac{\pi}{F} (E - \lambda)l + \frac{5\pi}{8},$$

Remarks:

- $|\zeta(x)| \sim l^{-1/2}$ on I_l .
- Main term in representation of ψ solves equation between integers.
- Higher order terms in Λ equation are known but complicated.

The deterministic model, the rational case

Question: What makes $F \in \pi^2\mathbb{Q}$ special?

The deterministic model, the rational case

Question: What makes $F \in \pi^2\mathbb{Q}$ special?

Write $F = \frac{\pi^2}{3} \frac{p}{q}$ and compute change of \mathcal{R}, Λ when from $l = pk$ to $l = p(k+1)$.

$$\sum_{l=pk}^{p(k+1)-1} \frac{e^{2i\Gamma(l)+2i\Lambda(l)}}{\sqrt{l}} \approx \frac{e^{2i\Gamma(pk)+2i\Lambda(pk)}}{\sqrt{pk}} \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} e^{2i(\Gamma(pk+j)-\Gamma(pk))}$$

The deterministic model, the rational case

Question: What makes $F \in \pi^2\mathbb{Q}$ special?

Write $F = \frac{\pi^2}{3} \frac{p}{q}$ and compute change of \mathcal{R}, Λ when from $l = pk$ to $l = p(k+1)$.

$$\sum_{l=pk}^{p(k+1)-1} \frac{e^{2i\Gamma(l)+2i\Lambda(l)}}{\sqrt{l}} \approx \frac{e^{2i\Gamma(pk)+2i\Lambda(pk)}}{\sqrt{pk}} \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} e^{2i(\Gamma(pk+j)-\Gamma(pk))}$$

Key observation: For $k \in \mathbb{N}, j = 0, \dots, p-1$,

$$\Gamma(pk) = \underbrace{-\pi qp^2 k^3}_{\in \pi\mathbb{Z}} + \underbrace{\frac{\pi p}{F}(E-\lambda)k + \frac{5\pi}{8}}_{\text{linear!}}$$

$$\Gamma(pk+j) - \Gamma(pk) = \underbrace{-3\pi qkj^2 - 3pqk^2j}_{\in \pi\mathbb{Z}} + \underbrace{-\frac{\pi q}{p}j^3 + \frac{\pi}{F}(E-\lambda)j}_{\text{independent of } k}$$

\implies A new effective problem with **linear phase!**

Summary and conclusion

We considered

$$-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} - Fx + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} g_n \delta(x - n) \quad \text{in } L^2(\mathbb{R}).$$

- For $g_n \equiv \lambda$ and $F \in \pi^2 \mathbb{Q}$ we prove that the spectrum is **pure ac** away from an explicit set of possible eigenvalues.
- For g_n independent random variables with $\mathbb{E}[g_n] = 0, \mathbb{E}[g_n^2] = \lambda^2$ we prove **transition from pp to sc spectrum** as F/λ^2 increases.
- Using relative **Prüfer coordinates** the problem is reduced to a discrete system resembling the OPUC setting which is analysed using **exponential sum estimates**.
- It remains an open problem what happens when $F \notin \pi^2 \mathbb{Q}$ in first model and when $F/\lambda^2 = 1/2$ in second.

Summary and conclusion

We considered

$$-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} - Fx + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} g_n \delta(x - n) \quad \text{in } L^2(\mathbb{R}).$$

- For $g_n \equiv \lambda$ and $F \in \pi^2 \mathbb{Q}$ we prove that the spectrum is **pure ac** away from an explicit set of possible eigenvalues.
- For g_n independent random variables with $\mathbb{E}[g_n] = 0, \mathbb{E}[g_n^2] = \lambda^2$ we prove **transition from pp to sc spectrum** as F/λ^2 increases.
- Using relative **Prüfer coordinates** the problem is reduced to a discrete system resembling the OPUC setting which is analysed using **exponential sum estimates**.
- It remains an open problem what happens when $F \notin \pi^2 \mathbb{Q}$ in first model and when $F/\lambda^2 = 1/2$ in second.

Thank you for your attention!