

Mathematical Foundation for Compressed Sensing

Jan-Olov Strömberg

Royal Institute of Technology, Stockholm, Sweden

Lecture 12, March 6, 2012

An outline for today

- A short summary from last lectures:

An outline for today

- A short summary from last lectures:
 - A lower estimate for RIP.

An outline for today

- A short summary from last lectures:
 - A lower estimate for RIP.
 - RIP of Random matrices .

An outline for today

- A short summary from last lectures:
 - A lower estimate for RIP.
 - RIP of Random matrices .
 - A construction of a RIP matrix .

An outline for today

- A short summary from last lectures:
 - A lower estimate for RIP.
 - RIP of Random matrices .
 - A construction of a RIP matrix .
- Incoherent bases and Structured Random matrices.

An outline for today

- A short summary from last lectures:
 - A lower estimate for RIP.
 - RIP of Random matrices .
 - A construction of a RIP matrix .
- Incoherent bases and Structured Random matrices.
- RIP estimates for Structured Random matrices (no proof today).

An outline for today

- A short summary from last lectures:
 - A lower estimate for RIP.
 - RIP of Random matrices .
 - A construction of a RIP matrix .
- Incoherent bases and Structured Random matrices.
- RIP estimates for Structured Random matrices (no proof today).
- Non-uniform versus uniform recovery of sparse vectors.

Remains to do

Things that remains to be done:

- The proof of RIP estimate for Structured Random matrices.

Remains to do

Things that remains to be done:

- The proof of RIP estimate for Structured Random matrices.
- More about non-uniform recovery.

Remains to do

Things that remains to be done:

- The proof of RIP estimate for Structured Random matrices.
- More about non-uniform recovery.
- The recovery of almost s -sparse vector with noise.

A short summary from last lecture:

A short summary from last lecture: Recall the definition property of a matrix:

A short summary from last lecture:

A short summary from last lecture: Recall the definition property of a matrix:

The $m \times N$ matrix \mathbf{A} satisfies **Restricted Isometry Property (RIP)** with **constant δ_s** if

A short summary from last lecture:

A short summary from last lecture: Recall the definition property of a matrix:

The $m \times N$ matrix \mathbf{A} satisfies **Restricted Isometry Property (RIP)** with **constant δ_s** if

$$| \|\mathbf{Ax}\|_2^2 - \|\mathbf{x}\|_2^2 | \leq \delta_s \|\mathbf{x}\|_2^2,$$

for all s -sparse vectors \mathbf{x} .

A short summary from last lecture:

A short summary from last lecture: Recall the definition property of a matrix:

The $m \times N$ matrix \mathbf{A} satisfies **Restricted Isometry Property (RIP)** with **constant δ_s** if

$$|\|\mathbf{Ax}\|_2^2 - \|\mathbf{x}\|_2^2| \leq \delta_s \|\mathbf{x}\|_2^2,$$

for all s -sparse vectors \mathbf{x} .

Theorem 4.1: If $\delta_s < 1$ there is a constant $C > 0$ such that if any $m \times N$ matrix \mathbf{A} has RIP with constant δ_s , then

$$m > Cs \log(Ne/s).$$

A short summary from last lecture:

A short summary from last lecture: Recall the definition property of a matrix:

The $m \times N$ matrix \mathbf{A} satisfies **Restricted Isometry Property (RIP)** with **constant δ_s** if

$$| \|\mathbf{Ax}\|_2^2 - \|\mathbf{x}\|_2^2 | \leq \delta_s \|\mathbf{x}\|_2^2,$$

for all s -sparse vectors \mathbf{x} .

Theorem 4.1: If $\delta_s < 1$ there is a constant $C > 0$ such that if any $m \times N$ matrix \mathbf{A} has RIP with constant δ_s , then

$$m > Cs \log(Ne/s).$$

More precisely we get

$$m \geq \frac{s \log(2Ne/s) - \log 4}{2(\log(\sqrt{1 - \delta_s} + 4\sqrt{1 + \delta_s}) - \log(1 - \delta_s))}.$$

RIP for Gaussian Matrices

For Gaussian random matrices we have

Theorem 4.5: There is a constant $C > 0$ such that for any $\epsilon > 0$, and $0 < \delta \leq 1$, the following holds: If

$$m > C \frac{s}{\delta^2} \log(N/(s\epsilon)) + \text{lower order terms,}$$

then the Gaussian $m \times N$ matrix \mathbf{A} (as above) satisfy RIP constant $\delta_s = \delta$ with a probability not less than $1 - \epsilon$.

RIP for Gaussian Matrices

For Gaussian random matrices we have

Theorem 4.5: There is a constant $C > 0$ such that for any $\epsilon > 0$, and $0 < \delta \leq 1$, the following holds: If

$$m > C \frac{s}{\delta^2} \log(N/(s\epsilon)) + \text{lower order terms,}$$

then the Gaussian $m \times N$ matrix \mathbf{A} (as above) satisfy RIP constant $\delta_s = \delta$ with a probability not less than $1 - \epsilon$.

RIP for Gaussian Matrices

For Gaussian random matrices we have

Theorem 4.5: There is a constant $C > 0$ such that for any $\epsilon > 0$, and $0 < \delta \leq 1$, the following holds: If

$$m > C \frac{s}{\delta^2} \log(N/(s\epsilon)) + \text{lower order terms},$$

More precisely

$$m > \frac{2s \log(N/s) + 4s \log \log(N/s)}{\delta_s - \log(1 + \delta_s)} + \text{lower order terms} .$$

then the Gaussian $m \times N$ matrix \mathbf{A} (as above) satisfy RIP constant $\delta_s = \delta$ with a probability not less than $1 - \epsilon$.

Construction of matrices satisfyin RIP

Construction of RIP matrices:

We constructed an $m \times N$ matrix \mathbf{A} statistfying the RIP property with constant δ_s with

$$m \sim C \frac{s^2}{\delta_s^2} \log N.$$

Construction of matrices satisfyin RIP

Construction of RIP matrices:

We constructed an $m \times N$ matrix \mathbf{A} statistfying the RIP property with constant δ_s with

$$m \sim C \frac{s^2}{\delta_s^2} \log N.$$

We constructed a matrix \mathbf{A} such that for any index-subset S , $|S| = s$, the corresponding matrix \mathbf{A}_S of columns vectors of \mathbf{A} is **almost** orthonormal.

Construction of matrices satisfyin RIP

Construction of RIP matrices:

We constructed an $m \times N$ matrix \mathbf{A} statistfyin the RIP property with constant δ_s with

$$m \sim C \frac{s^2}{\delta_s^2} \log N.$$

We constructed a matrix \mathbf{A} such that for any index-subset S , $|S| = s$, the corresponding matrix \mathbf{A}_S of columns vectors of \mathbf{A} is **almost** orthonormal.

More precisely:

$$\mathbf{A}_S = \mathbf{U} + \mathbf{V},$$

where \mathbf{U} is orthonormal and the column in \mathbf{V} has length less than δ_s/\sqrt{s} .

Structured Random Matrices

Definition: **Structured Random matrices:**

Structured Random Matrices

Definition: **Structured Random matrices:**

- A structured $m \times N$ random matrix \mathbf{A} is generated by one Random vector variable $Z = (Z_1, Z_2, \dots, Z_N)$.

Structured Random Matrices

Definition: **Structured Random matrices:**

- A structured $m \times N$ random matrix \mathbf{A} is generated by one Random vector variable $Z = (Z_1, Z_2, \dots, Z_N)$.
- The random components Z_j , $1 \leq j \leq N$
 - need not to be independent-

Structured Random Matrices

Definition: **Structured Random matrices:**

- A structured $m \times N$ random matrix \mathbf{A} is generated by one Random vector variable $Z = (Z_1, Z_2, \dots, Z_N)$.
- The random components Z_j , $1 \leq j \leq N$
 - need not to be independent-
 - however: $E(Z_i Z_j) = 0$ for $i \neq j$, and $E(Z_j^2) = 1$ for all j .

Structured Random Matrices

Definition: **Structured Random matrices:**

- A structured $m \times N$ random matrix \mathbf{A} is generated by one Random vector variable $Z = (Z_1, Z_2, \dots, Z_N)$.
- The random components Z_j , $1 \leq j \leq N$
 - need not to be independent-
 - however: $E(Z_i Z_j) = 0$ for $i \neq j$, and $E(Z_j^2) = 1$ for all j .
- All Z_j are uniformly bounded: there is a constant $K \geq 1$ such that $|Z_j| \leq K$ for all j .

Structured Random Matrices

Definition: **Structured Random matrices:**

- A structured $m \times N$ random matrix \mathbf{A} is generated by one Random vector variable $Z = (Z_1, Z_2, \dots, Z_N)$.
- The random components Z_j , $1 \leq j \leq N$
 - need not to be independent-
 - however: $E(Z_i Z_j) = 0$ for $i \neq j$, and $E(Z_j^2) = 1$ for all j .
- All Z_j are uniformly bounded: there is a constant $K \geq 1$ such that $|Z_j| \leq K$ for all j .
- Each **row** \mathbf{A}_i is an independent copy of the random variable

Structured Random Matrices

Definition: **Structured Random matrices:**

- A structured $m \times N$ random matrix \mathbf{A} is generated by one Random vector variable $Z = (Z_1, Z_2, \dots, Z_N)$.
- The random components Z_j , $1 \leq j \leq N$
 - need not to be independent-
 - however: $E(Z_i Z_j) = 0$ for $i \neq j$, and $E(Z_j^2) = 1$ for all j .
- All Z_j are uniformly bounded: there is a constant $K \geq 1$ such that $|Z_j| \leq K$ for all j .
- Each **row** \mathbf{A}_i is an independent copy of the random variable
- Often the $m \times N$ matrix \mathbf{A} is normalised:

$$\mathbf{A} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} (\mathbf{A}_j)_{j=1}^N.$$

Structured Random Matrices

Definition: **Structured Random matrices:**

- A structured $m \times N$ random matrix \mathbf{A} is generated by one Random vector variable $Z = (Z_1, Z_2, \dots, Z_N)$.
- The random components Z_j , $1 \leq j \leq N$
 - need not to be independent-
 - however: $E(Z_i Z_j) = 0$ for $i \neq j$, and $E(Z_j^2) = 1$ for all j .
- All Z_j are uniformly bounded: there is a constant $K \geq 1$ such that $|Z_j| \leq K$ for all j .
- Each **row** \mathbf{A}_i is an independent copy of the random variable
- Often the $m \times N$ matrix \mathbf{A} is normalised:

$$\mathbf{A} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} (\mathbf{A}_j)_{j=1}^N.$$

- Note that \mathbf{A}_i is the **columns** of \mathbf{A} then

$$E(\langle \mathbf{A}_i, \mathbf{A}_j \rangle) = 0 \text{ for } i \neq j \text{ and } E(\langle \mathbf{A}_i, \mathbf{A}_i \rangle) = 1 \text{ all } j.$$

Structured Random Matrices

Random Matrix from an Orthonormal $N \times N$ Matrix:

Structured Random Matrices

Random Matrix from an Orthonormal $N \times N$ Matrix:

- Let \mathbf{B} be an orthonormal $N \times N$ matrix

Structured Random Matrices

Random Matrix from an Orthonormal $N \times N$ Matrix:

- Let \mathbf{B} be an orthonormal $N \times N$ matrix
- We assume there is a constant K such that elements \mathbf{B}_{ij} of \mathbf{B} satisfies $|B_{ij}| \leq K\sqrt{N}$.

Structured Random Matrices

Random Matrix from an Orthonormal $N \times N$ Matrix:

- Let \mathbf{B} be an orthonormal $N \times N$ matrix
- We assume there is a constant K such that elements \mathbf{B}_{ij} of \mathbf{B} satisfies $|B_{ij}| \leq K\sqrt{N}$.
- Let Z be the any row of \mathbf{B} multiplied by \sqrt{N} , each row chosen by equal probability $\frac{1}{N}$.

Example: The discrete Fourier matrix

Structured Random Matrices

Random Matrix from an Orthonormal basis

Structured Random Matrices

Random Matrix from an Orthonormal basis

- Let $\{\varphi_j(t)\}_j$ be an orthonormal basis on an interval I .

Structured Random Matrices

Random Matrix from an Orthonormal basis

- Let $\{\varphi_j(t)\}_j$ be an orthonormal basis on an interval I .
- Assume that there is a constant $K \geq 1$ such that $|\varphi_j(t)| \leq K$ for all $t \in I$ and all j .

Structured Random Matrices

Random Matrix from an Orthonormal basis

- Let $\{\varphi_j(t)\}_j$ be an orthonormal basis on an interval I .
- Assume that there is a constant $K \geq 1$ such that $|\varphi(t)| \leq K$ for all $t \in I$ and all j .
- Let T be a random variable with its values uniformly distributed on the interval I .

Structured Random Matrices

Random Matrix from an Orthonormal basis

- Let $\{\varphi_j(t)\}_j$ be an orthonormal basis on an interval I .
- Assume that there is a constant $K \geq 1$ such that $|\varphi(t)| \leq K$ for all $t \in I$ and all j .
- Let T be a random variable with its values uniformly distributed on the interval I .
- Let $Z = (Z_1, Z_2, \dots, Z_N)$, where $Z_j = \varphi_j(T)$.

Example: A wavelet basis and ..

Example: A wavelet basis and ..
the noiselet basis.

Structured matrix form an incoherent pair of bases

Let $\{\mathbf{e}_j\}$ and $\{\mathbf{f}_k\}$ be a pair of orthonormal bases on \mathbb{R}^N .

Structured matrix form an incoherent pair of bases

Let $\{\mathbf{e}_j\}$ and $\{\mathbf{f}_k\}$ be a pair of orthonormal bases on \mathbb{R}^N .

Definition:

Structured matrix form an incoherent pair of bases

Let $\{\mathbf{e}_j\}$ and $\{\mathbf{f}_k\}$ be a pair of orthonormal bases on \mathbb{R}^N .

Definition:

A pair of orthonormal bases is **incoherent** if all inner products $\langle \mathbf{e}_j, \mathbf{f}_k \rangle$ are small.

Structured matrix form an incoherent pair of bases

Let $\{\mathbf{e}_j\}$ and $\{\mathbf{f}_k\}$ be a pair of orthonormal bases on \mathbb{R}^N .

Definition:

A pair of orthonormal bases is **incoherent** if all inner products $\langle \mathbf{e}_j, \mathbf{f}_k \rangle$ are small.

Since

$$\sum_j \langle \mathbf{e}_j, \mathbf{f}_k \rangle^2 = \sum_k \langle \mathbf{e}_j, \mathbf{f}_k \rangle^2 = 1,$$

an upper bound for these innerproducts has to be at least $1/\sqrt{N}$.

Structured matrix form an incoherent pair of bases

Let $\{\mathbf{e}_j\}$ and $\{\mathbf{f}_k\}$ be a pair of orthonormal bases on \mathbb{R}^N .

Definition:

A pair of orthonormal bases is **incoherent** if all inner products $\langle \mathbf{e}_j, \mathbf{f}_k \rangle$ are small.

Since

$$\sum_j \langle \mathbf{e}_j, \mathbf{f}_k \rangle^2 = \sum_k \langle \mathbf{e}_j, \mathbf{f}_k \rangle^2 = 1,$$

an upper bound for these innerproducts has to be at least $1/\sqrt{N}$.

Definition:

Structured matrix form an incoherent pair of bases

Let $\{\mathbf{e}_j\}$ and $\{\mathbf{f}_k\}$ be a pair of orthonormal bases on \mathbb{R}^N .

Definition:

A pair of orthonormal bases is **incoherent** if all inner products $\langle \mathbf{e}_j, \mathbf{f}_k \rangle$ are small.

Since

$$\sum_j \langle \mathbf{e}_j, \mathbf{f}_k \rangle^2 = \sum_k \langle \mathbf{e}_j, \mathbf{f}_k \rangle^2 = 1,$$

an upper bound for these innerproducts has to be at least $1/\sqrt{N}$.

Definition:

The pair of orthonormal bases is **incoherent with constant K** if all the inner products above are bounded by K/\sqrt{N} .

Random matrix from incoherent bases

How to get the Structured random Matrix from the pair of incoherent bases:

Random matrix from incoherent bases

How to get the Structured random Matrix from the pair of incoherent bases:

- Let \mathbf{E}^{-1} be the $N \times N$ -matrix with rows \mathbf{e}_j .

Random matrix from incoherent bases

How to get the Structured random Matrix from the pair of incoherent bases:

- Let \mathbf{E}^{-1} be the $N \times N$ -matrix with rows \mathbf{e}_j .
- Let \mathbf{F} be the matrix with columns \mathbf{f}_k .

Random matrix from incoherent bases

How to get the Structured random Matrix from the pair of incoherent bases:

- Let \mathbf{E}^{-1} be the $N \times N$ -matrix with rows \mathbf{e}_j .
- Let \mathbf{F} be the matrix with columns \mathbf{f}_k .
- Then $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{E}^{-1}\mathbf{F}$ with entries $\langle \mathbf{e}_j, \mathbf{f}_k \rangle$ is an orthonormal $N \times N$ -matrix as above with constant K .

Random matrix from incoherent bases

How to get the Structured random Matrix from the pair of incoherent bases:

- Let \mathbf{E}^{-1} be the $N \times N$ -matrix with rows \mathbf{e}_j .
- Let \mathbf{F} be the matrix with columns \mathbf{f}_k .
- Then $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{E}^{-1}\mathbf{F}$ with entries $\langle \mathbf{e}_j, \mathbf{f}_k \rangle$ is an orthonormal $N \times N$ -matrix as above with constant K .
- the $N \times N$ matrix \mathbf{B} we get structured random matrix by selecting each row by probability $\frac{1}{N}$.

If U is the input signal we use the basis $\{\mathbf{e}_j\}$ and random measurement

$$\mathbf{y}_j = \langle U, \mathbf{e}_j \rangle,$$

If U is the input signal we use the basis $\{\mathbf{e}_j\}$ and random measurement

$$\mathbf{y}_j = \langle U, \mathbf{e}_j \rangle,$$

and U is sparsely represented into the basis $\{\mathbf{f}_k\}$ i.e

$$U = \sum_k \mathbf{x}_k \mathbf{f}_k,$$

for $\mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{x}_k)$ sparse.

If U is the input signal we use the basis $\{\mathbf{e}_j\}$ and random measurement

$$\mathbf{y}_j = \langle U, \mathbf{e}_j \rangle,$$

and U is sparsely represented into the basis $\{\mathbf{f}_k\}$ i.e

$$U = \sum_k \mathbf{x}_k \mathbf{f}_k,$$

for $\mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{x}_k)$ sparse. This gives the equation

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}.$$

RIP for Structured Random Matrices

For structured random matrices \mathbf{A} with uniform bound K the best known result (ref. Holger Rauhut, 2011)

Rauhut gives the proof of

RIP for Structured Random Matrices

For structured random matrices \mathbf{A} with uniform bound K the best known result (ref. Holger Rauhut, 2011)

Rauhut gives the proof of

Theorem 6.1

There is a constant $C > 0$ and $D < 0$ such that for any $\epsilon > 0$, and $0 < \delta \leq 1$, the following holds:
If

RIP for Structured Random Matrices

For structured random matrices \mathbf{A} with uniform bound K the best known result (ref. Holger Rauhut, 2011)

Rauhut gives the proof of

Theorem 6.1

There is a constant $C > 0$ and $D < 0$ such that for any $\epsilon > 0$, and $0 < \delta \leq 1$, the following holds:
If

$$m > D \frac{K^2 s}{\delta^2} \ln^2(100s) \ln(4N) \ln(10m) \ln\left(\frac{7}{\epsilon}\right),$$

then

RIP for Structured Random Matrices

For structured random matrices \mathbf{A} with uniform bound K the best known result (ref. Holger Rauhut, 2011)

Rauhut gives the proof of

Theorem 6.1

There is a constant $C > 0$ and $D < 0$ such that for any $\epsilon > 0$, and $0 < \delta \leq 1$, the following holds:
If

$$m > D \frac{K^2 s}{\delta^2} \ln^2(100s) \ln(4N) \ln(10m) \ln\left(\frac{7}{\epsilon}\right),$$

then the $m \times N$ structured random matrix \mathbf{A} (as above) satisfy RIP constant $\delta_s = \delta$ with

RIP for Structured Random Matrices

For structured random matrices \mathbf{A} with uniform bound K the best known result (ref. Holger Rauhut, 2011)

Rauhut gives the proof of

Theorem 6.1

There is a constant $C > 0$ and $D < 0$ such that for any $\epsilon > 0$, and $0 < \delta \leq 1$, the following holds:
If

$$m > D \frac{K^2 s}{\delta^2} \ln^2(100s) \ln(4N) \ln(10m) \ln\left(\frac{7}{\epsilon}\right),$$

then the $m \times N$ structured random matrix \mathbf{A} (as above) satisfy RIP constant $\delta_s = \delta$ with a probability not less than $1 - \epsilon$.

RIP for Structured Random Matrices

For structured random matrices \mathbf{A} with uniform bound K the best known result (ref. Holger Rauhut, 2011)

Rauhut gives the proof of

Theorem 6.1

There is a constant $C > 0$ and $D < 0$ such that for any $\epsilon > 0$, and $0 < \delta \leq 1$, the following holds:
If

$$m > D \frac{K^2 s}{\delta^2} \ln^2(100s) \ln(4N) \ln(10m) \ln\left(\frac{7}{\epsilon}\right),$$

then the $m \times N$ structured random matrix \mathbf{A} (as above) satisfy RIP constant $\delta_s = \delta$ with a probability not less than $1 - \epsilon$.

The constant D is very large, it satisfies $D < 163931.48$.

Rauhut also state a better result:

Theorem 6.2

There is a constant $C > 0$ and $D < 0$ such that for any $\epsilon > 0$, and $0 < \delta \leq 1$, the following holds:

Rauhut also state a better result:

Theorem 6.2

There is a constant $C > 0$ and $D < 0$ such that for any $\epsilon > 0$, and $0 < \delta \leq 1$, the following holds: If

$$\frac{m}{\ln(10m)} > C \frac{K^2 s}{\delta^2} \ln^2(100s) \ln(N),$$

Rauhut also state a better result:

Theorem 6.2

There is a constant $C > 0$ and $D < 0$ such that for any $\epsilon > 0$, and $0 < \delta \leq 1$, the following holds: If

$$\frac{m}{\ln(10m)} > C \frac{K^2 s}{\delta^2} \ln^2(100s) \ln(N),$$
$$\frac{m}{\ln(10m)} > D \frac{K^2 s}{\delta^2} \ln\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right).$$

then

Rauhut also state a better result:

Theorem 6.2

There is a constant $C > 0$ and $D < 0$ such that for any $\epsilon > 0$, and $0 < \delta \leq 1$, the following holds: If

$$\frac{m}{\ln(10m)} > C \frac{K^2 s}{\delta^2} \ln^2(100s) \ln(N),$$
$$\frac{m}{\ln(10m)} > D \frac{K^2 s}{\delta^2} \ln\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right).$$

then the $m \times N$ structured random matrix \mathbf{A} (as above) satisfy RIP constant $\delta_s = \delta$ with

Rauhut also state a better result:

Theorem 6.2

There is a constant $C > 0$ and $D < 0$ such that for any $\epsilon > 0$, and $0 < \delta \leq 1$, the following holds: If

$$\frac{m}{\ln(10m)} > C \frac{K^2 s}{\delta^2} \ln^2(100s) \ln(N),$$
$$\frac{m}{\ln(10m)} > D \frac{K^2 s}{\delta^2} \ln\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right).$$

then the $m \times N$ structured random matrix \mathbf{A} (as above) satisfy RIP constant $\delta_s = \delta$ with a probability not less than $1 - \epsilon$.

Rauhut also state a better result:

Theorem 6.2

There is a constant $C > 0$ and $D < 0$ such that for any $\epsilon > 0$, and $0 < \delta \leq 1$, the following holds: If

$$\frac{m}{\ln(10m)} > C \frac{K^2 s}{\delta^2} \ln^2(100s) \ln(N),$$
$$\frac{m}{\ln(10m)} > D \frac{K^2 s}{\delta^2} \ln\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right).$$

then the $m \times N$ structured random matrix \mathbf{A} (as above) satisfy RIP constant $\delta_s = \delta$ with a probability not less than $1 - \epsilon$.

The constants C and D are very large:

Rauhut also state a better result:

Theorem 6.2

There is a constant $C > 0$ and $D < 0$ such that for any $\epsilon > 0$, and $0 < \delta \leq 1$, the following holds: If

$$\frac{m}{\ln(10m)} > C \frac{K^2 s}{\delta^2} \ln^2(100s) \ln(N),$$
$$\frac{m}{\ln(10m)} > D \frac{K^2 s}{\delta^2} \ln\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right).$$

then the $m \times N$ structured random matrix \mathbf{A} (as above) satisfy RIP constant $\delta_s = \delta$ with a probability not less than $1 - \epsilon$.

The constants C and D are very large:

The constants satisfy $C < 17190$ and $D < 456$.

Uniform versus non-uniform recovery with random matrices

Let \mathbf{A} be $m \times N$ random matrix. Let sparseness level s and let $\epsilon > 0$ be given

Uniform versus non-uniform recovery with random matrices

Let \mathbf{A} be $m \times N$ random matrix. Let sparsness level s and let $\epsilon > 0$ be given

- **Uniform recovery:** With large probability $(1 - \epsilon)$ a realisation of \mathbf{A} have the property that all s sparse vectors \mathbf{x} can uniqely be recorvered from the equation $\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{y}$ by l_1 opitmization:

Uniform versus non-uniform recovery with random matrices

Let \mathbf{A} be $m \times N$ random matrix. Let sparsness level s and let $\epsilon > 0$ be given

- **Uniform recovery:** With large probability $(1 - \epsilon)$ a realisation of \mathbf{A} have the property that all s sparse vectors \mathbf{x} can uniquely be recovered from the equation $\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{y}$ by l_1 optimization:

$$\min_{\bar{\mathbf{x}} \in R^N} \|\bar{\mathbf{x}}\|_1 \text{ subject to } \mathbf{A}\bar{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{y}.$$

Uniform versus non-uniform recovery with random matrices

Let \mathbf{A} be $m \times N$ random matrix. Let sparsness level s and let $\epsilon > 0$ be given

- **Uniform recovery:** With large probability $(1 - \epsilon)$ a realisation of \mathbf{A} have the property that all s sparse vectors \mathbf{x} can uniquely be recovered from the equation $\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{y}$ by l_1 optimization:

$$\min_{\bar{\mathbf{x}} \in R^N} \|\bar{\mathbf{x}}\|_1 \text{ subject to } \mathbf{A}\bar{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{y}.$$

- **Non-uniform recovery:** For all s sparse vectors \mathbf{x} there is a large probability $(1 - \epsilon)$ that at realisation of \mathbf{A} has the property that \mathbf{x} can be recovered from the equation $\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{y}$ by l_1 optimization:

Uniform versus non-uniform recovery with random matrices

Let \mathbf{A} be $m \times N$ random matrix. Let sparsness level s and let $\epsilon > 0$ be given

- **Uniform recovery:** With large probability $(1 - \epsilon)$ a realisation of \mathbf{A} have the property that all s sparse vectors \mathbf{x} can uniquely be recovered from the equation $\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{y}$ by l_1 optimization:

$$\min_{\bar{\mathbf{x}} \in R^N} \|\bar{\mathbf{x}}\|_1 \text{ subject to } \mathbf{A}\bar{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{y}.$$

- **Non-uniform recovery:** For all s sparse vectors \mathbf{x} there is a large probability $(1 - \epsilon)$ that at realisation of \mathbf{A} has the property that \mathbf{x} can be recovered from the equation $\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{y}$ by l_1 optimization:

$$\min_{\bar{\mathbf{x}} \in R^N} \|\bar{\mathbf{x}}\|_1 \text{ subject to } \mathbf{A}\bar{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{y}.$$

Uniform recovery for Structured R. Matrices

Collorary Theorem 6.2

There is a constant $C_1 > 0$ and $D_1 < 0$ such that for any $\epsilon > 0$, the following holds:

Uniform recovery for Structured R. Matrices

Collorary Theorem 6.2

There is a constant $C_1 > 0$ and $D_1 < 0$ such that for any $\epsilon > 0$, the following holds: If

$$\frac{m}{\ln(10m)} > C_1 K^2 s \ln^2(100s) \ln(N),$$

Uniform recovery for Structured R. Matrices

Collorary Theorem 6.2

There is a constant $C_1 > 0$ and $D_1 < 0$ such that for any $\epsilon > 0$, the following holds: If

$$\frac{m}{\ln(10m)} > C_1 K^2 s \ln^2(100s) \ln(N),$$
$$\frac{m}{\ln(10m)} > D_1 K^2 s \ln\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right),$$

then

Uniform recovery for Structured R. Matrices

Collorary Theorem 6.2

There is a constant $C_1 > 0$ and $D_1 < 0$ such that for any $\epsilon > 0$, the following holds: If

$$\frac{m}{\ln(10m)} > C_1 K^2 s \ln^2(100s) \ln(N),$$
$$\frac{m}{\ln(10m)} > D_1 K^2 s \ln\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right),$$

then the $m \times N$ structured random matrix \mathbf{A} (as above) will have the uniform recovery property with

Uniform recovery for Structured R. Matrices

Collorary Theorem 6.2

There is a constant $C_1 > 0$ and $D_1 < 0$ such that for any $\epsilon > 0$, the following holds: If

$$\frac{m}{\ln(10m)} > C_1 K^2 s \ln^2(100s) \ln(N),$$
$$\frac{m}{\ln(10m)} > D_1 K^2 s \ln\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right),$$

then the $m \times N$ structured random matrix \mathbf{A} (as above) will have the uniform recovery property with a probability not less than $1 - \epsilon$.

Non-uniform recovery for Structured R. Matrices

Refer to Cande's and Yaniv Plan

Theorem 6.3

There is a constant $C_0 > 0$ such that
for any $\beta > 0$, $K \geq 1$, the following holds:

Non-uniform recovery for Structured R. Matrices

Refer to Cande's and Yaniv Plan

Theorem 6.3

There is a constant $C_0 > 0$ such that for any $\beta > 0$, $K \geq 1$, the following holds: If

$$m > C_0(1 + \log(\epsilon))K^2s \ln(N),$$

then

Non-uniform recovery for Structured R. Matrices

Refer to Cande's and Yaniv Plan

Theorem 6.3

There is a constant $C_0 > 0$ such that for any $\beta > 0$, $K \geq 1$, the following holds: If

$$m > C_0(1 + \log(\epsilon))K^2s \ln(N),$$

then the structured $m \times N$ random matrix \mathbf{A} (as above - with non-coherence constant K) will have the non-uniform recovery property with

Non-uniform recovery for Structured R. Matrices

Refer to Cande's and Yaniv Plan

Theorem 6.3

There is a constant $C_0 > 0$ such that for any $\beta > 0$, $K \geq 1$, the following holds: If

$$m > C_0(1 + \log(\epsilon))K^2s \ln(N),$$

then the structured $m \times N$ random matrix \mathbf{A} (as above - with non-coherence constant K) will have the non-uniform recovery property with a probability not less than $1 - \frac{5}{n} - \epsilon$.

Recovery with approximative sparsness and noise

Refer to Cande's et al.

Assume that the vector \mathbf{x} to be recoverd is not s sparse but can be well approximated by s -sparse functions for some s .

Recovery with approximative sparsness and noise

Refer to Cande's et al.

Assume that the vector \mathbf{x} to be recoverd is not s sparse but can be **well approximated by s -sparse functions** for some s . Assume also we have some noise $\sigma\mathbf{z}$, i.e.

Recovery with approximative sparsness and noise

Refer to Cande's et al.

Assume that the vector \mathbf{x} to be recoverd is not s sparse but can be **well approximated by s -sparse functions** for some s . Assume also we have some noise $\sigma\mathbf{z}$, i.e.

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} + \sigma\mathbf{z},$$

where \mathbf{z} is Gaussian $\mathbf{z} \sim N(0, 1)$.

(However assuming $\|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{z}\|_{\infty} \leq \lambda_N$ for some $\lambda_N \geq 0$.)

Recovery with approximative sparsness and noise

Refer to Cande's et al.

Assume that the vector \mathbf{x} to be recoverd is not s sparse but can be **well approximated by s -sparse functions** for some s . Assume also we have some noise $\sigma\mathbf{z}$, i.e.

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} + \sigma\mathbf{z},$$

where \mathbf{z} is Gaussian $\mathbf{z} \sim N(0, 1)$.

(However assuming $\|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{z}\|_\infty \leq \lambda_N$ for some $\lambda_N \geq 0$.)

We consider the l_1 regularized least-square problem

$$\min_{\bar{\mathbf{x}} \in \mathbb{R}^N} \|\mathbf{A}\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \mathbf{y}\|_2 + \lambda \|\bar{\mathbf{x}}\|_1, \quad (*)$$

Theorem 6.4: Let \mathbf{x} be an arbitrary vector in \mathbb{R}^n . Then with probability at least $1 - \frac{6}{n} - 6\epsilon$ the solution to (*) with $\lambda = 10\sqrt{\log N}$ obeys

Theorem 6.4: Let \mathbf{x} be an arbitrary vector in \mathbb{R}^n . Then with probability at least $1 - \frac{6}{n} - 6\epsilon$ the solution to (*) with $\lambda = 10\sqrt{\log N}$ obeys

$$\begin{aligned} \|\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \mathbf{x}\|_2 &\leq \\ &\leq \min_{1 \leq s \leq \bar{s}} C \sqrt{(1 + \log(\frac{1}{\epsilon})s \log^5 N)} \left[\frac{\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_s\|_1}{\sqrt{s}} + \sigma \sqrt{\frac{s \log N}{m}} \right], \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 6.4: Let \mathbf{x} be an arbitrary vector in \mathbb{R}^n . Then with probability at least $1 - \frac{6}{n} - 6\epsilon$ the solution to (*) with $\lambda = 10\sqrt{\log N}$ obeys

$$\begin{aligned} \|\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \mathbf{x}\|_2 &\leq \\ &\leq \min_{1 \leq s \leq \bar{s}} C \sqrt{(1 + \log(\frac{1}{\epsilon})s \log^5 N)} \left[\frac{\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_s\|_1}{\sqrt{s}} + \sigma \sqrt{\frac{s \log N}{m}} \right], \end{aligned}$$

or with error in l_1 norm:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \mathbf{x}\|_1 &\leq \\ &\leq \min_{1 \leq s \leq \bar{s}} C \sqrt{(1 + \log(\frac{1}{\epsilon})s \log^5 N)} \left[\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_s\|_1 + s\sigma \sqrt{\frac{\log N}{m}} \right]. \end{aligned}$$