

Kære Dan,

Du havde naturligvis ret, Lemma 1.2 for en  $A[X]$ -modul  $M$  var ikke dkket af det jeg skrev. Jeg har tilfjet det herunder, og samtidig rettet et par mindre fejl.

**1. The alternator.** Let  $M$  be an  $A$ -module. The symmetric group  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  has a natural  $A$ -linear action on the tensor power  $\bigotimes_A^n M$ . We denote by

$$\left(\bigotimes_A^n M\right)^{\text{sym}} \quad \text{and} \quad \left(\bigotimes_A^n M\right)^{\text{a-sym}} \quad \text{respectively,}$$

the  $A$ -submodule of symmetric and anti-symmetric elements, that is, elements  $x \in \bigotimes_A^n M$  such that, for all  $\sigma$ ,

$$\sigma(x) = x, \quad \text{respectively} \quad \sigma(x) = \text{sign}(\sigma)x.$$

The *alternator* is the  $A$ -linear map  $\text{alt}: \bigotimes_A^n M \rightarrow \bigotimes_A^n M$  defined by

$$(1.1) \quad \text{alt}(x) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \text{sign}(\sigma)\sigma(x).$$

The tensors in the image are called *alternating*, and we denote by  $\left(\bigotimes_A^n M\right)^{\text{alt}}$  the submodule of alternating tensors. Clearly,  $\text{alt}(x)$  is an anti-symmetric tensor. Hence

$$\left(\bigotimes_A^n M\right)^{\text{alt}} \subseteq \left(\bigotimes_A^n M\right)^{\text{a-sym}}.$$

[*Remark.* Clearly, if  $x$  is anti-symmetric, then  $\text{alt}(x) = n!x$ . Hence, over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , equality holds in the inclusion above. It follows from the observation below, that equality also holds if  $M$  is  $A$ -free and 2 is a non zero-divisor in  $A$ .]

**2. The alternator on a free module.** Assume that  $M$  is free with basis  $e_i$ ,  $i \in I$ . Then the tensors  $e(i_1, \dots, i_n) := e_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{i_n}$  form a basis for  $\bigotimes_A^n M$ . For any  $x \in \bigotimes_A^n M$ , we denote by  $x(i_1, \dots, i_n)$  the coefficient to  $e(i_1, \dots, i_n)$  when  $x$  is expanded in terms of this basis. We may view  $x(i_1, \dots, i_n)$  as a function defined on  $n$ -tuples of indices. Then:

**3. Observation.** *In the setup with a free module  $M$ :*

- (i)  $x$  is symmetric, if and only if  $x(i_1, \dots, i_n)$  is symmetric.
- (ii)  $x$  is anti-symmetric, if and only if  $x(i_1, \dots, i_n)$  is anti-symmetric.
- (iii)  $x$  is alternating, if and only if  $x(i_1, \dots, i_n)$  is alternating, that is,  $x(i_1, \dots, i_n)$  is anti-symmetric and vanishes when  $i_\nu = i_\mu$  for some  $\nu \neq \mu$ .

Moreover, the submodule  $\left(\bigotimes_A^n M\right)^{\text{alt}}$  is free with basis

$$\text{alt } e(i_1, \dots, i_n),$$

where the  $i_1, \dots, i_n$  runs through all strictly decreasing sequences of indices  $i_1 > \dots > i_n$ . [Here we assume for simplicity that the index set  $I$  is totally ordered.]

*Proof.* Only the assertion about the alternator requires an argument. Clearly, the image

$$(3.1) \quad \text{alt } e(i_1, \dots, i_n) = \sum \text{sign}(\sigma) e(i_{\sigma^{-1}1}, \dots, i_{\sigma^{-1}n})$$

is alternating in the  $i_1, \dots, i_n$ . So the special images  $\text{alt } e(i_1, \dots, i_n)$  for  $i_1 > \dots > i_n$  generate  $(\otimes_A^n M)^{\text{alt}}$ . The special images are linearly independent, since the base elements involved in the sums (3.1) for the special images are different and disjoint. So the special images form a basis for  $(\otimes_A^n M)^{\text{alt}}$ . The characterization (iii) is an obvious consequence.

**4. Lemma.** *The alternator is alternating, that is, the map  $\text{alt}$  induces an  $A$ -linear map,*

$$(4.1) \quad \bigwedge_A^n M \rightarrow (\otimes_A^n M)^{\text{alt}}.$$

*If  $M$  is free, then the map (4.1) is an isomorphism.*

*Proof.* By construction, the kernel of the surjection  $\otimes_A^n M \rightarrow \bigwedge_A^n M$  is the  $A$ -submodule generated by tensors of the form,

$$y = y_1 \otimes \dots \otimes y_n, \quad \text{with } y_\nu = y_\mu \quad \text{for some } \nu < \mu.$$

Since, obviously, the alternator  $\text{alt}$  vanishes on tensors of this form, the first assertion holds. To prove the second, it suffices to note that, in the setup of 2, the basis for  $\bigwedge_A^n M$  given by the  $n$ -vectors  $e_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_n}$  for  $i_1 > \dots > i_n$  is mapped to the basis for  $(\otimes_A^n M)^{\text{alt}}$  described in observation 3.

**5. The symmetric structure.** Let  $B$  be a commutative  $A$ -algebra. Then the tensor power  $\otimes_A^n B$  is an  $A$ -algebra, and the submodule  $S := (\otimes_A^n B)^{\text{sym}}$  of symmetric tensors is a subalgebra. If  $M$  is a  $B$ -module, then the tensor power  $\otimes_A^n M$  is a module over  $\otimes_A^n B$ , and in particular an  $S$ -module. Moreover, the  $A$ -submodules,

$$(\otimes_A^n M)^{\text{sym}}, \quad (\otimes_A^n M)^{\text{a-sym}}, \quad \text{and} \quad (\otimes_A^n M)^{\text{alt}},$$

are  $S$ -submodules, and the alternator is  $S$ -linear

$$\text{alt}: \otimes_A^n M \rightarrow (\otimes_A^n M)^{\text{alt}}.$$

*Lemma.* *If  $M$  is  $A$ -free, or if  $B$  is  $A$ -free, or if 2 is invertible in  $M$ , then there is a unique structure of  $\bigwedge_A^n M$  as an  $S$ -module such that the surjection  $\otimes_A^n M \rightarrow \bigwedge_A^n M$  is  $S$ -linear.*

*Proof.* The kernel of the surjection is described in Lemma 4. It suffices to prove, under the assumptions of the Lemma, that if  $y$  is an element of the form (4.1) and  $f \in \otimes_A^n B$  is invariant under the transposition  $\tau$  interchanging  $\nu$  and  $\mu$ , then  $fy$  belongs to the kernel. We consider the case  $n = 2$ ; the proof in the general case is

similar, but the notation is more involved. Note that the kernel contains all tensors of the form  $y' \otimes y'' + y'' \otimes y'$ .

Assume first that 2 is invertible in  $M$ . Then  $f = (f + \tau(f))/2$ , and, consequently,  $f$  is a sum of tensors of the form  $b' \otimes b + b \otimes b'$ . So it suffices to treat the case when  $f = b' \otimes b'' + b'' \otimes b'$ . Then, as just noted,  $fy = b'y \otimes b''y + b''y \otimes b'y$ .

Assume next the  $B$  is  $A$ -free with basis  $e_i, i \in I$ . Then, obviously, the tensors of the form  $e_i \otimes e_i$  and  $e_i \otimes e_j + e_j \otimes e_i$  for  $i < j$  form a basis of  $(B \otimes_A B)^{\text{sym}}$ . So we may assume that  $f$  is of one of these forms. If  $f$  is of the first form, the assertion is obvious, and if  $f$  is of the second form, the assertion was proved in the previous paragraph.

Finally, assume that  $M$  is  $A$  free. Then, by Lemma 1.4, the kernel is the same as the kernel of the alternator. As the alternator is  $S$ -linear, the kernel is an  $S$ -submodule, as asserted.

**6. Alternating polynomials.** For the polynomial ring  $A[X]$ , the tensor power  $\bigotimes_A^n A[X]$  may be identified with ring of polynomials  $A[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  in  $n$  variables, and the subalgebra  $S := A[X_1, \dots, X_n]^{\text{sym}}$  is the algebra of symmetric polynomials.

**7. Lemma.** *Let  $f \in A[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  be an anti-symmetric polynomial. Then  $f$  is alternating, iff  $f$  vanishes after the substitution  $X_j = X_i$  for every  $j > i$ , iff  $f$  is divisible by  $\Delta = \prod_{i < j} (X_j - X_i)$ . Moreover,  $\Delta = \text{alt}(X_1^{n-1} \cdots X_n^0)$ .*

*Proof.* Classical.

**8. Corollary.** *The exterior power  $\bigwedge_A^n A[X]$  is a free  $S$ -module of rank 1 generated by  $X^{n-1} \wedge \cdots \wedge X^1 \wedge X^0$ .*

*Proof.* The structure on  $\bigwedge_A^n A[X]$  is described in 5. To prove the claim, it suffices to note that under the  $S$ -linear isomorphism  $\bigwedge_A^n A[X] \rightarrow A[X_1, \dots, X_n]^{\text{alt}}$  described in Lemma 4, the  $n$ -vector  $X^{n-1} \wedge \cdots \wedge X^0$  is mapped to  $\Delta$ , and  $\Delta$  is a free  $S$ -generator for the module of alternating polynomials by Lemma 7.

**9. Noter.** En stor del af ovenstående er naturligvis indeholdt i “A determinantal formula ...”, men jeg synes generaliteten ovenfor giver lidt mere indsigt. Et par ting forvirrer mig:

(1). Jeg prøvede et stykke tid at definere alternerende tensorer bare i tilfældet hvor  $M$  var fri, og her ved karakteriseringen i Observation 3(iii). Men så løb jeg ind i problemet med om definitionen var uafhængig af valg af basis. At den er uafhængig følger naturligvis af at definitionen på alternerende givet her er helt basis-uafhængig. Men er denne uafhængighed for en fri modul a priori oplagt?

(2). I fremstillingen ovenfor kommer strukturen af  $\bigwedge_A^n A[X]$  som modul over ringen  $S$  af symmetriske polynomier via isomorfien i Observation 3. Det er ikke så forskelligt fra konstruktionen i “A determinantal formula ...”. På den anden side ser det ud som om det foregående viser følgende: Antag, at  $B$  er en  $A$ -algebra, og at  $M$  er en  $B$ -modul, fri over  $A$ . Da er den ydre potens  $\bigwedge_A^n M$  på naturlig måde en modul over  $(\bigwedge_A^n B)^{\text{sym}}$ . Kan det virkelig passe? Det er da ikke klart for mig, at kernen for

$\bigotimes_A^n M \rightarrow \bigwedge_A^n M$  er invariant under  $(\bigotimes_A^n B)^{\text{sym}}$ . [Dette er behandlet i 5; men jeg synes stadig, at der er noget mystisk i tilfældet hvor  $M$  er fri. ]

(3). En af grundene til at jeg gjorde ovenstående så generelt var at jeg håbede, at det kunne give lidt indsigt i den fundamentale  $S$ -isomorfi ( $S = A[X_1, \dots, X_n]^{\text{sym}}$ ):

$$\bigwedge_A^n A[X] \rightarrow \bigwedge_S^n S[X_1].$$

Venstresiden er en fri rang-1 modul over  $S$  ifølge korollar 8, og det er højresiden også (fordi  $S[X_1]$  er en rang- $n$  modul over  $S$ ). Pointen er, at den naturlige naturlige afbildning er  $S$ -lineær, eller om du vil – og det er det du giver et meget smukt bevis for – at de to strukturer af højresiden som  $S$ -modul: den naturlige via at  $S[X_1]$  er en  $S$ -modul, og den symmetriske via det alternerende ovenfor – er den samme struktur.

Men det er vist ikke nemmere via isomorfien fra Lemma 4, anvendt på  $M = S[X_1]$  og  $A = S$ ?