

OF TECHNOLOGY

MCMC for computing probabilities of rare events

Thorbjörn Gudmundsson & Henrik Hult Royal Institute of Technology, Stockholm, Sweden

Setting Consider a random walk

 $S_m = Y_1 + \dots + Y_m,$

where the Ys are i.i.d. with known distribution. The objective is to compute the probability

 $p_m = \mathbb{P}(S_m > am), \text{ for } m \text{ large and } a > \mathbb{E}[Y].$

• Sometimes no analytical solution known.

• An alternative is stochastic simulation.

Monte Carlo

1. Generate n independent copies $S_m(1), \ldots, S_m(n)$. 2. Compute empirical estimate

$$\hat{p}_m = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n I\{S_m(i) > am\}.$$

Simple to implement, unbiased and consistent.

MCMC algorithm

There exists a **best choice** for G that gives zero variance, the conditional distribution given by

 $\mathbb{P}(S_m \in \cdot | S_m > am).$

Its density is given by

 $g(x) = \frac{f(x)I\{x > am\}}{\mathbb{P}(S_m > am)}.$

An MCMC algorithm is a tool to sample a random variable despite only knowing its density up to a normalising constant. The density of S_m under G is precisely of that nature.

Sample *n* (dependent) copies $S_m(1), \ldots, S_m(n)$ via MCMC from the zero variance distribution G.

$$S_m(i) \sim g(\cdot) = \frac{f(\cdot)I\{\cdot > am\}}{p_m}.$$

How to extract the information about the normalising constant p_m from the sample? Main Result Consider a random walk

 $S_m = Y_1 + \dots + Y_m,$

where the Ys are i.i.d. with heavy tails in the following sense $\frac{\mathbb{P}(S_m > am)}{\mathbb{P}(M_m > am)} \to 1 \quad \text{as } m \to \infty,$

 $M_m = \max\{Y_1, \ldots, Y_m\}$, e.g. Cauchy, regularly varying, subexponential. The objective is to compute the probability

 $p_m = \mathbb{P}(S_m > am), \text{ for } m \text{ large and } a > \mathbb{E}[Y].$

The zero variance distribution is

 $\mathbb{P}(S_m \le x | S_m > am),$

and because of the heavy-tail nature of the Ys, choose v as the density of

 $\mathbb{P}(S_m \le x | M_m > am)$

This choice of v gives a consistent and efficient MCMC estimator:



Figure 1. The Monte Carlo estimate compared against the true probability in the case when the Ys are Cauchy. The estimate is shown to converge to the true value with number of simulations, but with considerable variation.

What about efficiency? We would like the relative error $\operatorname{Std}(\hat{p}_m)/p_m$ to be bounded (or vanishing). For the Monte Carlo estimate

$$\frac{\operatorname{Std}(\hat{p}_m)}{p_m} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \frac{\sqrt{p_m - p_m^2}}{p_m} \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{np_m}} \to \infty$$

as $p_m \rightarrow 0$. For rare events Monte Carlo requires a large computational cost.

MC estimate is not efficient.

MCMC estimator

$$\mathbb{E}[u(S_m)] = \int u(x)g(x)dx = \int_{x>am} u(x)\frac{f(x)}{p_m}dx.$$
Setting $u(x) = \frac{v(x)}{f(x)}I\{x>am\}$

$$\mathbb{E}[u(S_m)] = \frac{1}{p_m}\int_{x>am} v(x)dx.$$
So choosing v is such that $\int_{x>am} v(x)dx = 1$

$$\mathbb{E}[u(S_m)] = \frac{1}{p_m}.$$
Consistent MCMC estimator given by
 $\hat{p}_m = \left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n u(S_m(i))\right)^{-1}, \qquad (1)$
where u and v are given by the above.
How should one choose v to ensure efficiency such as in the Importance Sampling case?

$$\hat{p}_{m} = \left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\frac{v(S_{m}(i))}{f(S_{m}(i))}I\{S_{m}(i) > am\}\right)^{-1}$$

$$= p_{\max}\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}I\{M_{m}(i) > am\}\right)^{-1},$$
where
$$p_{\max} = \mathbb{P}(M_{m} > am) = 1 - F_{Y}(am)^{m},$$
is easily calculated.
$$\int_{104}^{104}\int_{105}^{104}\int_{105}^{104}\int_{105}^{104}\int_{105}^{105}\int_{105}^{1$$

Importance sampling Denote the original distribution of S_m by F and density by f.

1. Generate *n* independent copies $S_m(1), \ldots, S_m(n)$ from a sampling distribution G.

2. Compute empirical estimate

$$\hat{p}_m = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{dF}{dG} I\{S_m(i) > am\}.$$

Both unbiased and consistent.

Problem reduced to finding a suitable sampling distribution G - can be difficult.

Efficiency of the MCMC estimator Consider the relative error of the estimator in (1). First order Taylor approximation gives

 $\frac{\mathbb{V}ar(\hat{p}_m)}{p_m^2} \approx \frac{p_m^2}{n} \mathbb{V}ar(u(S_m)) + \text{covariance term.}$

The covariance term can be shown to be vanishing and have no significant impact on the convergence.

 $\frac{p_m^2}{n} \mathbb{V}ar(u(S_m)) = \frac{p_m^2}{n} \left(\mathbb{E}\left[u(S_m)^2\right] - \left(\mathbb{E}\left[u(S_m)\right]\right)^2 \right) \\ = \frac{p_m^2}{n} \left(\mathbb{E}\left[u(S_m)^2\right] - \frac{1}{p_m^2}\right)$ $= \frac{1}{n} \left(p_m^2 \int_{x > am} \frac{v(x)^2}{f(x)^2} - 1 \right)$ Choosing $v(x) = g(x) = \frac{f(x)I\{x > am\}}{p_m}$ gives zero variance. **Heuristics:** v is chosen as an approximation of the zero variance density g

References

- S. Asmussen and P. W. Glynn. Stochastic Simulation, volume 57 of Stochastic Modelling and Applied Proba*bility.* Springer, New York, 2007.
- P. Dupuis, K. Leder, and H. Wang. *Importance sampling* for sums of random variables with regularily varying tails. ACM Transactions on Modeling and Computer Simulation, 17(3), 2007.
- P. Doukhan. Mixing, Properties and Examples, volume 84 of Lecture Notes in Statistics, Springer-Verlag, 1994.