

Some Developments and Applications of Local Time-Space Calculus

Raouf Ghomrasni*

Abstract

We show that the following limit $\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \int_0^t \{F(s, B_s - \varepsilon) - F(s, B_s + \varepsilon)\} ds$ is well defined for a large class of functions $F(t, x)$ and moreover we connect it with the integration with respect to local time L_t^x .

We give an illustrative example of the no continuity of the integration with respect to local time in the random case.

Key words and phrases: Itô's formula, local time, Brownian motion.

MSC2000: 60H05, 60J65.

1 Introduction

1.1. The local time of the Brownian motion B at the point a is defined as follows:

$$L_t^a = \mathbb{P} - \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \int_0^t 1_{(|B_s - a| \leq \varepsilon)} ds$$

which equivalently could be written as follows:

$$L_t^a = \mathbb{P} - \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \int_0^t (1_{(B_s - \varepsilon \leq a)} - 1_{(B_s + \varepsilon \leq a)}) ds.$$

Here we are, more generally, interested in the limit in L^1

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \int_0^t \{F(s, B_s - \varepsilon) - F(s, B_s + \varepsilon)\} ds$$

for some function F .

Our motivation come from the desire to connect Chitashvili and Mania results ([3]) with those of Eisenbaum ([5]).

1.2. We give an example which illustrates that the integration with respect to $(L_t^x; 0 \leq t \leq 1, x \in \mathbb{R})$ does not admit a linear extension in the random case (see section 3.2 for details) and in particular local time is not a 1-integrator which is also proved by Eisenbaum ([5]).

1.3. The power of the local time-space calculus is illustrated by results concerning extensions of the Itô-Tanaka formula and a change-of-variable formula with local time on curves.

*Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Aarhus, Ny Munkegade, DK-8000 Aarhus C, Denmark and Centre for Mathematical Physics and Stochastics (funded by the Danish National Research Foundation), e-mail: raouf@imf.au.dk

2 Notation and preliminaries

Let $B = (B_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a standard Brownian motion and $(L_t^x; t \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R})$ be a continuous version of its local time process. Let $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ denote the natural filtration generated by B . Without loss of generality, we restrict our attention to functions defined on $[0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}$.

For a measurable function f from $[0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}$ into \mathbb{R} define the norm $\| \cdot \|$ by

$$\| f \| = 2 \left(\int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}} f^2(s, x) e^{-x^2/2s} \frac{ds dx}{\sqrt{2\pi s}} \right)^{1/2} + \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}} |xf(s, x)| e^{-x^2/2s} \frac{ds dx}{s\sqrt{2\pi s}}.$$

Let \mathcal{H} be the set of functions f such that $\| f \| < \infty$.

In Eisenbaum [5], it is shown that the integration with respect to L is possible in the following sense. Let f_{Δ} be an elementary function on $[0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}$, meaning that

$$f_{\Delta}(t, x) = \sum_{(s_i, x_j) \in \Delta} f_{i,j} 1_{(s_i, s_{i+1}]}(t) 1_{(x_j, x_{j+1}]}(x),$$

where $\Delta = \{(s_i, x_j), 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m\}$ is an $[0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}$ grid, and, for every (i, j) , f_{ij} is in \mathbb{R} . For such a function, integration with respect to L is defined by

$$\int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_{\Delta}(s, x) dL_s^x = \sum_{(s_i, x_j) \in \Delta} f_{i,j} (L_{s_{i+1}}^{x_{j+1}} - L_{s_i}^{x_{j+1}} - L_{s_{i+1}}^{x_j} + L_{s_i}^{x_j}).$$

Let f be an element of \mathcal{H} . For any sequence of elementary functions $(f_{\Delta_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ converging to f in \mathcal{H} , the sequence $(\int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_{\Delta_k}(s, x) dL_s^x)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges in L^1 . The limit obtained does not depend of the choice of the sequence (f_{Δ_k}) and represents the integral $\int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(s, x) dL_s^x$.

Theorem 2.1 ([5]) *Let A be a random process such that for each x , $A(\cdot, x)$ is adapted to $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ and a.s. $\partial A / \partial t$ and $\partial A / \partial x$ exist and are continuous. Moreover a.s. $\partial A / \partial x$ is an element of \mathcal{H} , with bounded variations on compacts.*

Then for $t \geq 0$ we have

$$A(t, B_t) = A(0, B_0) + \int_0^t \frac{\partial A}{\partial t}(s, B_s) ds + \int_0^t \frac{\partial A}{\partial x}(s, B_s) dB_s - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\partial A}{\partial x}(s, x) dL_s^x.$$

3 Main results

3.1 Deterministic case

Theorem 3.1 *Let F be a bounded element of \mathcal{H} . The following equalities hold in L^1 :*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^t \left\{ F(s, B_s) - F(s, B_s - \varepsilon) \right\} ds = - \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} F(s, x) dL_s^x \quad (3.1)$$

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^t \left\{ F(s, B_s + \varepsilon) - F(s, B_s) \right\} ds = - \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} F(s, x) dL_s^x \quad (3.2)$$

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \int_0^t \left\{ F(s, B_s - \varepsilon) - F(s, B_s + \varepsilon) \right\} ds = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} F(s, x) dL_s^x. \quad (3.3)$$

- Remark 3.1** 1. If we take $F(t, x) = 1_{(x \leq a)}$ in (6.3.1) we have the very definition of L_t^a
 2. Eisenbaum [5] has shown that, for any borelian function $b(t)$:

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \int_0^t 1_{(|B_s - b(s)| < \varepsilon)} ds = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} 1_{(-\infty, b(s))}(x) dL_s^x \quad \text{in } L^1$$

which corresponds to (6.3.3) with $F(t, x) = 1_{(x \leq b(t))}$.

Proof: Define $H_\varepsilon(t, x) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{x-\varepsilon}^x F(t, y) dy$. Then $H_\varepsilon \rightarrow F$ in \mathcal{H} as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$. On the one hand $\frac{\partial}{\partial x} H_\varepsilon(t, x) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \{F(t, x) - F(t, x - \varepsilon)\}$. It follows that (see Eisenbaum [5] Theorem 5.1 (ii)) $\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} H_\varepsilon(s, x) dL_s^x = -\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^t \{F(s, B_s) - F(s, B_s - \varepsilon)\} ds$. On the other hand $\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} H_\varepsilon(s, x) dL_s^x \rightarrow \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} F(s, x) dL_s^x$ in L^1 . □

Corollary 3.1 ([12]) *The following relation holds in L^1 :*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \int_0^t g(s) I(b(s) - \varepsilon < B_s < b(s) + \varepsilon) ds = \int_0^t g(s) dL_s^b$$

for a continuous function $g : [0, t] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and a continuous curve $b(\cdot)$ with bounded variation on $[0, t]$.

Proof: We apply Theorem 6.3.1 to the function $F(t, x) = g(t) I(x < b(t))$. It follows: $\frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \int_0^t g(s) I(b(s) - \varepsilon < B_s < b(s) + \varepsilon) ds \rightarrow \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(s) I(x < b(s)) dL_s^x$ in L^1 as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$. We conclude using Corollary 2.9 ([13]) that for the continuous function g we have $\int_0^t g(s) dL_s^{b(s)} = \int_0^t g(s) dL_s^b$. □

3.2 Random function case

Let a, b in \mathbb{R} with $a < b$. Let \mathcal{M} be the set of elementary processes A such that

$$A(s, x) = \sum_{(s_i, x_j) \in \Delta} A_{ij} 1_{(s_i, s_{i+1}]}(s) 1_{(x_j, x_{j+1}]}(x),$$

where $(s_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ is a subdivision of $(0, 1]$, $(x_j)_{1 \leq j \leq m}$ is a finite sequence of real numbers in $(a, b]$, $\Delta = \{(s_i, x_j), 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m\}$, and A_{ij} an \mathcal{F}_{s_j} -measurable random variable such that $|A_{ij}| \leq 1$ for every (i, j) .

Eisenbaum [5] asked the following question: Does integration with respect to $(L_t^x; 0 \leq t \leq 1, x \in \mathbb{R})$ admit a linear extension to \mathcal{P} the field generated by \mathcal{M} , verifying the following property:

If $(A_n)_{n \geq 0}$ converges a.e. to $A(t, x)$, then $(\int_0^1 \int_a^b A_n(s, x) dL_s^x)_{n \geq 0}$ converges in L^1 to $\int_0^1 \int_a^b A(s, x) dL_s^x$.

She only obtained a negative answer to the following weaker question:

$$\text{Is the set } \left\{ \int_0^1 \int_a^b A(s, x) dL_s^x, A \in \mathcal{M} \right\} \text{ bounded in } L^1 ?$$

Consequently integration with respect to $(L_t^x; 0 \leq t \leq 1, x \in \mathbb{R})$ does not admit a *continuous* extension in L^1 .

Here we give an *illustrative example*, thanks to a result obtained by Walsh, which shows the lack of a *linear* extension.

Let us define $A_\varepsilon(t, x) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{x-\varepsilon}^x L_t^y dy$ and $\tilde{A}_\varepsilon(t, x) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_x^{x+\varepsilon} L_t^y dy$. We see easily that $A_\varepsilon(t, x)$ (resp. $\tilde{A}_\varepsilon(t, x)$) converges a.e. to L_t^x , nevertheless we have:

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} A_\varepsilon(s, x) dL_s^x \neq \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \tilde{A}_\varepsilon(s, x) dL_s^x.$$

Let us recall, for the convenience of the reader, Walsh's theorem about the decomposition of $A(t, B_t) := \int_0^t 1_{\{B_s \leq B_t\}} ds$.

Theorem 3.2 ([14]) $A(t, B_t)$ has the decomposition

$$A(t, B_t) = \int_0^t L_s^{B_s} dB_s + X_t$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} X_t &= \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \int_0^t \{L_s^{B_s} - L_s^{B_s - \varepsilon}\} ds \\ &= t + \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \int_0^t \{L_s^{B_s + \varepsilon} - L_s^{B_s}\} ds \end{aligned}$$

The limits exist in probability, uniformly for t in compact sets.

Our example follows by recalling the following property:

$$\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} A_\varepsilon(s, x) dL_s^x = -\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^t \{L_s^{B_s} - L_s^{B_s - \varepsilon}\} ds.$$

Proposition 3.1 (A more explicit decomposition of $A(t, B_t)$) Let $B = (B_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a standard Brownian motion and $(L_t^x; x \in \mathbb{R}, t \geq 0)$ a continuous version of its local time process. Let $A(t, x) = \int_{-\infty}^x L_t^y dy$.

Then $A(t, B_t)$ is a Dirichlet process and has the following decomposition:

$$A(t, B_t) = t + \int_0^t L_s^{B_s} dB_s - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} L_s^x dL_s^x.$$

Moreover we have:

$$-\frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} L_s^x dL_s^x = \mathbb{P}\text{-}\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \int_0^t \{L_s^{B_s + \varepsilon} - L_s^{B_s}\} ds.$$

Proof: As noted by J. Walsh [14] $A(t, x)$ is continuously differentiable in t as long as $B_t \neq x$. Indeed, we have $A(t, x) = \int_0^t 1_{\{B_t \leq x\}} ds$ so $A_t(t, x) = 1_{(B_t \leq x)}$. Moreover, $A(t, x)$ is continuously differentiable in x and $A_x(t, x) = L_t^x$, but the second derivative fails to exist and this thanks to Eisenbaum's theorem (theorem 5.3 in [5]) doesn't matter. □

3.3 Link with principal value

Theorem 3.3 *Let F be an absolutely continuous function on \mathbb{R} such that F_x is absolutely continuous on $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$. Suppose that*

(i) *there exists a limit $\alpha = \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} (F_x(\varepsilon) - F_x(-\varepsilon))$;*

(ii) $F_x \in L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$

(iii) $x(F_x(x))^2 \rightarrow 0$ as $x \rightarrow 0$.

Then a.s.

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \int_0^t \{F_x(B_s + \varepsilon) - F_x(B_s - \varepsilon)\} ds = \alpha L_t^0 + \text{v.p.} \int_0^t F_{xx}(B_s) ds.$$

Proof: We set

$$\frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \int_0^t \{F_x(B_s + \varepsilon) - F_x(B_s - \varepsilon)\} ds = I_\varepsilon + J_\varepsilon$$

where

$$I_\varepsilon := \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \int_0^t 1_{(|B_s| > \varepsilon)} \{F_x(B_s + \varepsilon) - F_x(B_s - \varepsilon)\} ds$$

$$J_\varepsilon := \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \int_0^t 1_{(|B_s| \leq \varepsilon)} \{F_x(B_s + \varepsilon) - F_x(B_s - \varepsilon)\} ds.$$

Using (ii), (iii) and the fact that F_x is absolutely continuous in $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, it follows:

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} I_\varepsilon = \text{v.p.} \int_0^t F_{xx}(B_s) ds.$$

On the other hand:

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} J_\varepsilon = \left\{ \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} (F_x(\varepsilon) - F_x(-\varepsilon)) \right\} L_t^0.$$

□

4 Applications

In the following two theorems we use Eisenbaum's formula to give a clean proof of two theorems originated from Nasyrov ([10]; theorem 9) and ([10]; theorem 10).

Theorem 4.1 (the generalized Tanaka formula)

For $t \geq 0$, $z > 0$, $y \in \mathbb{R}$, we have:

$$\frac{1}{2}(z \wedge L_t^y) = 1_{(L_t^y \leq z)} (B_t - y)^+ - (B_0 - y)^+ - \int_0^t 1_{(B_s \geq y, L_s^y \leq z)} dB_s.$$

Proof: We take the following function $F(x, t) = 1_{[0, z]}(t) (x - y)^+$. It follows that $F_x(x, t) = 1_{(t \leq z)} 1_{(x \geq y)}$. Hence

$$\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} F_x(x, L_s^y) dL_s^x = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} 1_{(x \geq y)} 1_{L_s^y \leq z} dL_s^x = - \int_0^t 1_{(L_s^y \leq z)} dL_s^y = -(z \wedge L_t^y).$$

□

Theorem 4.2 (the generalized Skorokhod equation) *Let Φ a C^1 function. For $t \geq 0$, we have:*

$$\Phi(L_t^0) |B_t| = \Phi(0) |B_0| + \int_0^t \text{sign}(B_s) \Phi(L_s^0) dB_s + \int_0^{L_t^0} \Phi(z) dz.$$

In this case,

$$\int_0^{L_t^0} \Phi(z) dz = - \min_{0 \leq s \leq t} \min \left(\int_0^s \text{sign}(B_u) \Phi(L_u^0) dB_u, 0 \right).$$

Proof: We have

$$-\frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Phi(L_s^0) \text{sign}(x) dL_s^x = -\frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \int_0^\infty \Phi(L_s^0) dL_s^x + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \int_{-\infty}^0 \Phi(L_s^0) dL_s^x$$

hence we obtain $-\frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \Phi(L_s^0) \text{sign}(x) dL_s^x = \int_0^t \Phi(L_s^0) dL_s^0$.

□

Theorem 4.3 ([11])

Let $B = (B_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a standard Brownian motion and let $b : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function of bounded variation. Setting $C = \{(s, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R} \mid x < b(s)\}$ and $D = \{(s, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R} \mid x > b(s)\}$ suppose that a continuous function $F : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is given such that F is $C^{1,2}$ on \overline{C} and F is $C^{1,2}$ on \overline{D} . Then we have:

$$\begin{aligned} F(t, B_t) &= F(0, B_0) + \int_0^t F_t(s, B_s) ds + \int_0^t F_x(s, B_s) dB_s \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t F_{xx}(s, B_s) I(B_s \neq b(s)) ds \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \left(F_x(s, b_{s+}) - F_x(s, b_{s-}) \right) I(B_s = b(s)) dL_s^b. \end{aligned}$$

Proof: We focus on the last term:

$$\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} F_x(s, x) dL_s^x = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} F_x(s, x) 1_{\{x \neq b(s)\}} dL_s^x + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} F_x(s, x) 1_{\{x = b(s)\}} dL_s^x$$

It follows,

$$\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} F_x(s, x) dL_s^x = - \int_0^t F_{xx}(s, B_s) I(B_s \neq b(s)) ds - \int_0^t \left(F_x(s, b_{s+}) - F_x(s, b_{s-}) \right) d_s L_s^{b(s)}$$

To conclude we need to recall the extended definition of the local time to the borelian curves due to Eisenbaum [5]:

$$L_t^{b(\cdot)} = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} 1_{(-\infty, b(s))}(x) dL_s^x$$

It follows that $d_t L_t^{b(\cdot)} = d_t L_t^{b(t)}$.

We suggest another method based on Theorem 6.3.1:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{4\varepsilon} \int_0^t \left\{ F_x(s, B_s + \varepsilon) - F_x(s, B_s - \varepsilon) \right\} ds = I_\varepsilon + J_\varepsilon \\ I_\varepsilon &:= \frac{1}{4\varepsilon} \int_0^t 1_{(-\varepsilon < B_s - b_s < +\varepsilon)^c} \left\{ F_x(s, B_s + \varepsilon) - F_x(s, B_s - \varepsilon) \right\} ds \\ J_\varepsilon &:= \frac{1}{4\varepsilon} \int_0^t 1_{(-\varepsilon < B_s - b_s < +\varepsilon)} \left\{ F_x(s, B_s + \varepsilon) - F_x(s, B_s - \varepsilon) \right\} ds. \end{aligned}$$

We have the following (see ([11]) for details):

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} I_\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t 1_{(B_s \neq b_s)} F_{xx}(s, B_s) ds$$

and

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} J_\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t 1_{(B_s = b_s)} \left\{ F_x(s, B_s+) - F_x(s, B_s-) \right\} dL_s^b.$$

□

Remark 4.1 1. For an extension of Theorem 6.4.3 to continuous semimartingales see the paper by Peskir [12]. Independantly, see also Elworthy et al [4].

2. A particular case have been obtained by Jacka (see Section 5.2 in [8]).

Corollary 4.1 (the discrete case of $b(\cdot)$ reduced to $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$) Let $B = (B_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a standard Brownian motion and let $a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_n$ be real numbers, and denote $D = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$. Suppose that a function $F : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous and F_x and F_{xx} exist and are continuous on $\mathbb{R} \setminus D$, and the limits

$$F_x(a_k \pm) := \lim_{x \rightarrow a_k \pm} F_x(x) \quad F_{xx}(a_k \pm) := \lim_{x \rightarrow a_k \pm} F_{xx}(x)$$

exist and are finite.

Then we have:

$$F(B_t) = F(B_0) + \int_0^t F_x(B_s) dB_s + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t F_{xx}(B_s) ds + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \left\{ F_x(a_i+) - F_x(a_i-) \right\} L_t^{a_i}$$

Remark 4.2 Corollary 6.4.1 is actually Problem 6.24 given in Karatzas and Shreve [9].

References

- [1] N. Bouleau and M. Yor, *Sur la variation quadratique des temps locaux de certaines semimartingales*. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math **292** (1981), 491–494.
- [2] A. S. Cherny, *Principal values of the integral functionals of Brownian motion: Existence, continuity and extension of Itô's formula*. Sémin. Probab. 35, Lecture Notes in Math. **1755** (2001), 348–370.
- [3] R. J. Chitashvili and M. G. Mania, *Decomposition of the maximum of semimartingales and generalized Itô's formula*. New trends in probability and statistics, Vol. **1** (Bakuriani, 1990), 301–350.
- [4] K. D. Elworthy, A. Truman and H. Z. Zhao, *A generalized Itô formula and asymptotics of heat equations with caustics in one-dimension*. Preprint (<http://mahz-mac.lboro.ac.uk/%7emahz/papers.html>).
- [5] N. Eisenbaum, *Integration with respect to local time*. Potential Anal. **13** (2000), 303–328.
- [6] N. Eisenbaum, *On local times of a symmetric stable process as a doubly indexed process*. Bernoulli **6** (2000), 871–886.
- [7] H. Föllmer, P. Protter and A. N. Shiriyayev, *Quadratic covariation and an extension of Itô's formula*. Bernoulli **1** (1995), 149–169.
- [8] S. D. Jacka, *Local times, optimal stopping and semimartingales*. Ann. Probab. **21** (1993), 329–339.
- [9] I. Karatzas and S. E. Shreve, *Brownian Motion and Stochastic Calculus*. Springer-Verlag, New York, (1991).
- [10] S. F. Nasyrov, *On local times for functions and stochastic processes: II*. Theory Probab. Appl. **41** (1996), 275–287.
- [11] J. L. Pedersen and G. Peskir, *On nonlinear integral equations arising in problems of optimal stopping*. Research Report No. **426** (2002) Dept. Theoret. Statist. Aarhus (17 pp). *Proc. Funct. Anal.* **VII** (Dubrovnik 2001), *Various Publ. Ser.* **46**, (2002), 159–175.
- [12] G. Peskir, *A change-of-variable formula with local time on curves*. Research Report No. **428** (2002), Dept. Theoret. Statist. Aarhus (17 pp).
- [13] Ph. Protter and J. San Martín, *General change of variable formulas for semimartingales in one and finite dimensions*. Probab. Theory Related Fields **97** (1993), 363–381.
- [14] J. B. Walsh, *Some remarks on $A(t, B_t)$* . Sémin. Probab. 27, Lecture Notes in Math. **1557** (1993), 173–176.