

(j) Not all Boolean algebras are isomorphic to an algebra of all subsets of a set. (Show by example that there are countable Boolean algebras.)

*Note* This investigation is completed in 5.S.

#### L FILTERS

A theory of convergence has been built on the concept of filter. A filter  $\mathcal{F}$  in a set  $X$  is a family of non-void subsets of  $X$  such that

- (i) the intersection of two members of  $\mathcal{F}$  always belongs to  $\mathcal{F}$ ; and
- (ii) if  $A \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $A \subset B \subset X$ , then  $B \in \mathcal{F}$ .

In the terminology of the previous problem a filter is a proper dual ideal in the Boolean ring of all subsets of  $X$ . A filter  $\mathcal{F}$  converges to a point  $x$  in a topological space  $X$  iff each neighborhood of  $x$  is a member of  $\mathcal{F}$  (that is, the neighborhood system of  $x$  is a subfamily of  $\mathcal{F}$ ).

(a) A subset  $U$  is open iff  $U$  belongs to every filter which converges to a point of  $U$ .

(b) A point  $x$  is an accumulation point of a set  $A$  iff  $A \sim \{x\}$  belongs to some filter which converges to  $x$ .

(c) Let  $\phi_x$  be the collection of all filters which converge to a point  $x$ . Then  $\bigcap \{\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{F} \in \phi_x\}$  is the neighborhood system of  $x$ .

(d) If  $\mathcal{F}$  is a filter converging to  $x$  and  $\mathcal{G}$  is a filter which contains  $\mathcal{F}$ , then  $\mathcal{G}$  converges to  $x$ .

(e) A filter in  $X$  is an *ultrafilter* iff it is properly contained in no filter in  $X$ . If  $\mathcal{F}$  is an ultrafilter in  $X$  and the union of two sets is a member of  $\mathcal{F}$ , then one of the two sets belongs to  $\mathcal{F}$ . In particular, if  $A$  is a subset of  $X$ , then either  $A$  or  $X \sim A$  belongs to  $\mathcal{F}$ . (Problem 2.I again.)

(f) One might suspect that filters and nets lead to essentially equivalent theories. Grounds for this suspicion may be found in the following facts:

- (i) If  $\{x_n, n \in D\}$  is a net in  $X$ , then the family  $\mathcal{F}$  of all sets  $A$  such that  $\{x_n, n \in D\}$  is eventually in  $A$  is a filter in  $X$ .
- (ii) Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a filter in  $X$  and let  $D$  be the set of all pairs  $(x, F)$  such that  $x \in F$  and  $F \in \mathcal{F}$ . Direct  $D$  by agreeing that  $(y, G) \geq (x, F)$  iff  $G \subset F$ , and let  $f(x, F) = x$ . Then  $\mathcal{F}$  is precisely the family of all sets  $A$  such that the net  $\{f(x, F), (x, F) \in D\}$  is eventually in  $A$ .

*Notes* The definition of filter is due to H. Cartan; his treatment of convergence is given in full in Bourbaki [1]. Proposition (c) is a remark of W. H. Gottschalk; (f) is part of the folklore of the subject.