

# 1 Probability theory

## 1.1 Basics

Consider a *finite sample space*  $\Omega$

$$\Omega = \{\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_M\}, \quad M < \infty$$

Define a *probability measure*  $P$  on  $\Omega$  such that

$$P(\{\omega_i\}) = P(\omega_i) = p_i > 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, M$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^M p_i = 1.$$

For every subset  $A$  of  $\Omega$ ,  $A \subseteq \Omega$ , we have that

$$P(A) = \sum_{\omega_i \in A} P(\omega_i).$$

A *random variable*  $X$  on  $\Omega$  is a mapping

$$X : \Omega \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}.$$

The *expectation* of  $X$  is defined as

$$E[X] = \sum_{i=1}^M X(\omega_i) P(\omega_i).$$

## 1.2 Sigma-algebras and information

It is important to know which information is available to investors. This is formalized using  $\sigma$ -algebras and filtrations.

**Definition 1** A collection  $\mathcal{F}$  of subsets of  $\Omega$  is called a  $\sigma$ -algebra (or  $\sigma$ -field) if the following hold.

1.  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{F}$ .
2. If  $A \in \mathcal{F}$  then  $A^c \in \mathcal{F}$ .
3. If  $A_n \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  then  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \in \mathcal{F}$

**Remark 1** When working on a finite sample space  $\Omega$  condition 3 will reduce to

- 3.' If  $A$  and  $B \in \mathcal{F}$  then  $A \cup B \in \mathcal{F}$ .

**Example 1** The following are examples of  $\sigma$ -algebras.

1.  $\mathcal{F} = 2^\Omega = \{A | A \subseteq \Omega\}$ , the power set of  $\Omega$ .
2.  $\mathcal{F} = \{\emptyset, \Omega\}$ , the trivial  $\sigma$ -algebra.
3.  $\mathcal{F} = \{\emptyset, A, A^c, \Omega\}$ .

□

**Definition 2** A set  $\mathcal{P} = \{A_1, \dots, A_n\}$  of nonempty subsets of the sample space  $\Omega$  is called a (finite) partition of  $\Omega$  if

$$1. \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i = \Omega$$

$$2. A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset \text{ for } i \neq j$$

The  $\sigma$ -algebra consisting of all possible unions of the  $A_i$ 's (including the empty set) is called the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $\mathcal{P}$  and is denoted by  $\sigma(\mathcal{P})$ .

**Remark 2** On a finite sample space every  $\sigma$ -algebra is generated by a partition.

When making decisions investors may only use the information available to them. This is formalized by measurability requirements.

**Definition 3** A function  $X : \Omega \longrightarrow \{x_1, \dots, x_K\}$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -measurable if

$$f^{-1}(x_i) = \{\omega \in \Omega | X(\omega) = x_i\} \in \mathcal{F} \quad \text{for all } x_i$$

If  $X$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -measurable we write  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ .

**Remark 3** Let  $\mathcal{F} = \sigma(\mathcal{P})$ . Then a function  $f : \Omega \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -measurable if and only if  $f$  is constant on each set  $A_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

This captures the idea that based on the available information we should be able to determine the value of  $X$ .

Measurability is preserved under a lot of operations which is the content of the next proposition.

**Proposition 1** Assume that  $X$  and  $Y$  are  $\mathcal{F}$ -measurable. Then the following hold:

1. For all real numbers  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  the functions

$$\alpha X + \beta Y, \quad X \cdot Y$$

are measurable.

2. If  $Y(\omega) \neq 0$  for all  $\omega$ , then

$$\frac{X}{Y}$$

is measurable.

3. If  $\{X_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  is a (countable) sequence of measurable functions, then the functions

$$\sup_n X_n, \quad \inf_n X_n, \quad \limsup_n X_n, \quad \liminf_n X_n,$$

are measurable.

**Definition 4** Let  $X$  be a function  $X : \Omega \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Then  $\mathcal{F} = \sigma(X)$  is the smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra such that  $X$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -measurable.

If  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are functions such that  $X_i : \Omega \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , then  $\mathcal{F} = \sigma(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  is the smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra such that  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are  $\mathcal{G}$ -measurable.

The next proposition formalizes the idea that if  $Z$  is measurable with respect to a certain  $\sigma$ -algebra, then “the value of  $Z$  is completely determined by the information in the  $\sigma$ -algebra”.

**Proposition 2** *Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be mappings such that  $X_i : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Assume that  $Z : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is  $\sigma(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ -measurable. Then there exists a function  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that*

$$Z(\omega) = f(X_1(\omega), \dots, X_n(\omega)).$$

We also need to know what is meant by independence. Recall that two events  $A$  and  $B$  on a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  are independent if

$$P(A \cap B) = P(A) \cdot P(B).$$

For  $\sigma$ -algebras and random variables on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  we have the following definition.

**Definition 5** *The  $\sigma$ -algebras  $\mathcal{F}_1, \dots, \mathcal{F}_n$  are independent if*

$$P\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^n A_i\right) = \prod_{i=1}^n P(A_i) \quad \text{whenever } A_i \in \mathcal{F}_i, \ i = 1, \dots, n.$$

*Random variables  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are independent if  $\sigma(X_1), \dots, \sigma(X_n)$  are independent.*

### 1.3 Stochastic processes and filtrations

Let  $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ .

**Definition 6** *A stochastic process  $\{S_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$  on the probability space  $(\omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  is a mapping*

$$S : \mathbb{N} \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

*such that for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$*

$$S_n(\cdot) : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

*is  $\mathcal{F}$ -measurable*

Note that  $S_n(\omega) = S(n, \omega)$ . We have that for a fixed  $n$

$$\omega \rightarrow S(n, \omega)$$

is a random variable. For a fixed  $\omega$

$$n \rightarrow S(n, \omega)$$

is a deterministic function of time, called the *realization* or *sample path* of  $S$  for the outcome  $\omega$ .

**Remark 4** In this course we will mostly be looking at a fixed time horizon so the process will only live up until time  $T$ , that is we will be looking at processes  $\{S_n\}_{n=0}^T$ .

A stochastic process generates information and as before this is formalized in terms of  $\sigma$ -algebras, only now there will be a time dimension as well.

**Definition 7** Let  $\{S_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$  be random process on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ . The  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $S$  over  $[0, t]$  is defined by

$$\mathcal{F}_t^S = \sigma\{S_n; n \leq t\}.$$

We interpret  $\mathcal{F}_t^S$  as the information generated by observing  $S$  over the time interval  $[0, t]$ . More generally information developing over time is formalized by filtrations. These are families of increasing  $\sigma$ -algebras.

**Definition 8** A filtration  $\underline{\mathcal{F}} = \{\mathcal{F}_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  is an indexed family of  $\sigma$ -algebras on  $\Omega$  such that

1.  $\mathcal{F}_n \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ ,  $n \geq 0$ ,
2. if  $m \leq n$  then  $\mathcal{F}_m \subseteq \mathcal{F}_n$ .

**Remark 5** As stated before, we will mostly be looking at a fixed time horizon in this course so the filtration will only live up until time  $T$ , that is we will be looking at filtrations  $\underline{\mathcal{F}} = \{\mathcal{F}_n\}_{n=0}^T$ .

For stochastic process the following measurability conditions are relevant.

**Definition 9** Given a filtration  $\underline{\mathcal{F}}$  and a random process  $S$  on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  we say that  $S$  is adapted to  $\underline{\mathcal{F}}$  if

$$S_n \in \mathcal{F}_n \quad \text{for all } n \geq 0,$$

and  $S$  is predictable with respect to  $\underline{\mathcal{F}}$  if

$$S_n \in \mathcal{F}_{n-1} \quad \text{for all } n \geq 1.$$

## 1.4 Conditional expectation

Let  $X$  be a random variable on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  and  $\mathcal{G}$  a  $\sigma$ -algebra such that  $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ . In this section we aim to define the expectation of  $X$  given the information in  $\mathcal{G}$ , or conditional on  $\mathcal{G}$ ,  $E[X|\mathcal{G}]$ . We will do this in three steps.

1. First we will define the expectation of  $X$  given a set  $B \in \mathcal{F}$ , such that  $P(B) \neq 0$ , i.e.  $E[X|B]$ . Recall that

$$E[X] = \sum_{i=1}^M X(\omega_i)P(\omega_i) = \sum_{\omega \in \Omega} X(\omega)P(\omega)$$

Now it would seem natural (?) to use the normalized probabilities

$$\frac{P(\omega_i)}{P(B)} \quad \text{on } B.$$

We thus define

$$E[X|B] = \sum_{\omega_i \in B} X(\omega_i) \frac{P(\omega_i)}{P(B)} = \frac{1}{P(B)} \sum_{\omega \in B} X(\omega)P(\omega)$$

**Example 2** Consider the finite sample space  $\Omega = \{\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3\}$  endowed with the power  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{F} = 2^\Omega$ , and a probability measure  $P$  such that  $P(\omega_i) = 1/3$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3$ . Furthermore let  $B_1 = \{\omega_1, \omega_3\}$ ,  $B_2 = \{\omega_2\}$ ,  $\mathcal{P} = \{B_1, B_2\}$ , and  $\mathcal{G} = \sigma(\mathcal{P})$ . Finally, let

$$X(\omega) = I_{\{\omega_1\}}(\omega) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \omega = \omega_1 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then we have that

$$E[X] = \sum_{\omega \in \Omega} X(\omega)P(\omega) = 1 \cdot \frac{1}{3} + 0 \cdot \frac{1}{3} + 0 \cdot \frac{1}{3} = \frac{1}{3}$$

and that

$$E[X|B_1] = \frac{1}{P(B_1)} \sum_{\omega \in B_1} X(\omega)P(\omega) = \frac{1}{1/3 + 1/3} \left( 1 \cdot \frac{1}{3} + 0 \cdot \frac{1}{3} \right) = \frac{1}{2},$$

whereas

$$E[X|B_2] = \frac{1}{P(B_2)} \sum_{\omega \in B_2} X(\omega)P(\omega) = \frac{1}{1/3} \cdot 0 \cdot \frac{1}{3} = 0.$$

□

2. Next we will define the expectation of  $X$  conditional on a partition  $\mathcal{P}$  of  $\Omega$ . Suppose that  $\mathcal{P} = \{B_1, \dots, B_K\}$  and that  $P(B_i) \neq 0$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, K$ . Note that for any random variable  $Y$  measurable with respect to  $\sigma(\mathcal{P})$  we have that if  $\omega_i \in B_j$

$$Y(\omega_i) = E[Y|B_j]$$

since  $Y$  is constant on each  $B_i$ . This means that

$$Y(\omega) = \sum_{i=1}^K E[Y|B_i] I_{B_i}(\omega),$$

where  $I_{B_i}$  denotes the indicator function of  $B_i$ , i.e.

$$I_{B_i}(\omega) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \omega \in B_i \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We now define

$$E[X|\mathcal{P}](\omega) = \sum_{i=1}^K E[X|B_i] I_{B_i}(\omega).$$

Note that this means that  $E[X|\mathcal{P}]$  is a random variable  $Z$  such that

- (a)  $Z \in \sigma(\mathcal{P})$  and that
- (b) for all  $B \in \sigma(\mathcal{P})$  we have that

$$\sum_{\omega \in B} Z(\omega)P(\omega) = \sum_{\omega \in B} X(\omega)P(\omega).$$

**Example 3** Continuing on Example 2 we can compute

$$E[X|\mathcal{P}] = \sum_{i=1}^2 E[X|B_i]I_{B_i}(\omega) = \frac{1}{2} \cdot I_{B_1}(\omega) + 0 \cdot I_{B_2}(\omega).$$

□

3. Now we are ready to give the general definition of  $E[X|\mathcal{G}]$ .

**Definition 10** Consider a random variable  $X$  on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  and a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{G}$  such that  $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ . The conditional expectation of  $X$  given  $\mathcal{G}$  denoted  $E[X|\mathcal{G}]$  is any random variable  $Z$  such that

- (a)  $Z \in \mathcal{G}$ , and
- (b) for all  $A \in \mathcal{G}$  we have that

$$\sum_{\omega \in A} Z(\omega)P(\omega) = \sum_{\omega \in A} X(\omega)P(\omega).$$

The proposition below states some properties of the conditional expectation.

**Proposition 3** The conditional expectation has the following properties. Suppose that  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$  and that  $X$  and  $Y$  are random variables on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ . Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a  $\sigma$ -algebra such that  $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ . Then the following hold.

1. Linearity.

$$E[\alpha X + \beta Y|\mathcal{G}] = \alpha E[X|\mathcal{G}] + \beta E[Y|\mathcal{G}].$$

2. Monotonicity. If  $X \leq Y$  then

$$E[X|\mathcal{G}] \leq E[Y|\mathcal{G}].$$

3.

$$E[E[X|\mathcal{G}]] = E[X].$$

4. If  $\mathcal{H}$  is  $\sigma$ -algebra such that  $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$  then

(a)

$$E[E[X|\mathcal{H}]|\mathcal{G}] = E[X|\mathcal{H}],$$

(b)

$$E[E[X|\mathcal{G}]|\mathcal{H}] = E[X|\mathcal{H}].$$

Thus “the smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra always wins”.

5. Jensen’s inequality. If  $\varphi$  is a convex function, then

$$\varphi(E[X|\mathcal{G}]) \leq E[\varphi(X)|\mathcal{G}].$$

6. If  $X$  is independent of  $\mathcal{G}$  then

$$E[X|\mathcal{G}] = E[X].$$

7. Taking out what is known. If  $X \in \mathcal{G}$  then

$$E[XY|\mathcal{G}] = X \cdot E[Y|\mathcal{G}].$$