

EXAMINATION IN SF2942 PORTFOLIO THEORY AND RISK MANAGEMENT

Date: 2016-01-08, 14:00-19:00

Suggested solutions

-----

**Problem 1**

- (a) The relation between the price  $P$  of a bond with coupon rate  $C$ , face value  $F$ , maturity in  $n$  years and yield-to-maturity  $y$  is

$$P = \sum_{k=1}^n CF e^{-yk} + F e^{-yn}.$$

The formula for using zero rates  $r_k$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, n$  when valuing bonds is

$$P = \sum_{k=1}^n CF e^{-r_k k} + F e^{-r_n n}.$$

For Bond 1 we get

$$103e^{-0.035} = 103e^{-r_1} \Rightarrow r_1 = 0.035,$$

for Bond 2 we get

$$4e^{-0.045} + 104e^{-0.045 \cdot 2} = 4e^{-0.035} + 104e^{-r_2 \cdot 2} \Rightarrow r_2 = 0.0452,$$

and finally for Bond 3 we get

$$6e^{-0.05} + 6e^{-0.05 \cdot 2} + 106e^{-0.05 \cdot 3} = 6e^{-0.035} + 6e^{-0.0452 \cdot 2} + 106e^{-r_3 \cdot 3} \Rightarrow r_3 = 0.0505$$

- (b) The price of the bond is given by

$$P = 11e^{-0.035} + 11e^{-0.0452 \cdot 2} + 211e^{-0.0505 \cdot 3} = 202.00$$

- (c) If the bond defaults, then the present value is equal to

$$0.20 \cdot 100 \cdot e^{-0.035} = 19.31,$$

and if the bond does not default, then the present value is

$$100 \cdot e^{-0.0505 \cdot 3} = 85.94.$$

The price  $P$  of the bond is thus

$$P = 0.025 \cdot 19.31 + 0.975 \cdot 85.94 = 84.27.$$

**Problem 2**

(a) The optimal portfolio is given by

$$\begin{aligned} h &= \Sigma_Z^{-1} \Sigma_{L,Z} \\ h_0 &= E[L] - h^T E[Z]. \end{aligned}$$

Here

$$\Sigma_{L,Z} = \begin{bmatrix} \text{Cov}(L, Z_1) \\ \vdots \\ \text{Cov}(L, Z_n) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Using the optimal portfolio we get the optimal payoff:

$$\hat{A} = E[L] - h^T E[Z] + \underbrace{(\Sigma_Z^{-1} \Sigma_{L,Z})^T}_{=\Sigma_{L,Z}^T \Sigma_Z^{-1}} Z = E[L] + \Sigma_{L,Z}^T \Sigma_Z^{-1} (Z - E[Z]).$$

Since

$$E[\hat{A}] = E[L] + \Sigma_{L,Z}^T \Sigma_Z^{-1} (E[Z] - E[Z]) = E[L]$$

we have

$$E[(\hat{A} - L)^2] = \left( E[\hat{A} - L] \right)^2 + \text{Var}(\hat{A} - L) = \text{Var}(\hat{A} - L).$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} E[(\hat{A} - L)^2] &= \text{Var}(\hat{A} - L) \\ &= \text{Var}(E[L] + \Sigma_{L,Z}^T \Sigma_Z^{-1} (Z - E[Z]) - L) \\ &= \text{Var}(\Sigma_{L,Z}^T \Sigma_Z^{-1} Z - L) \\ &= \Sigma_{L,Z}^T \Sigma_Z^{-1} \Sigma_Z \Sigma_Z^{-1} \Sigma_{L,Z} - 2 \Sigma_{L,Z}^T \Sigma_Z^{-1} \Sigma_{L,Z} + \text{Var}(L) \\ &= \Sigma_{L,Z}^T \Sigma_Z^{-1} \Sigma_{L,Z} - 2 \Sigma_{L,Z}^T \Sigma_Z^{-1} \Sigma_{L,Z} + \text{Var}(L) \\ &= \text{Var}(L) - \Sigma_{L,Z}^T \Sigma_Z^{-1} \Sigma_{L,Z}. \end{aligned}$$

(b) In this case  $L = S_T^2$  and we get

$$h = \frac{\text{Cov}(S_T^2, S_T)}{\text{Var}(S_T)} \text{ and } h_0 = E[S_T^2] - \frac{\text{Cov}(S_T^2, S_T)}{\text{Var}(S_T)} \cdot E[S_T].$$

Since

$$\text{Var}(S_T) = E[S_T^2] - (E[S_T])^2$$

and

$$\text{Cov}(S_T^2, S_T) = E[S_T^3] - E[S_T^2] E[S_T]$$

we need  $E[S_T^n]$  for  $n = 1, 2, 3$ . Let  $Z \sim N(0, 1)$ . Using the fact that

$$E[e^{a+bZ}] = e^{a+b^2/2}$$

for  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  we get

$$\begin{aligned}
E[S_T] &= S_0 E \left[ e^{\mu T + \sigma \sqrt{T} Z} \right] \\
&= S_0 e^{\mu T + \sigma^2 T/2}, \\
E[S_T^2] &= S_0^2 E \left[ e^{2\mu T + 2\sigma \sqrt{T} Z} \right] \\
&= S_0^2 e^{2\mu T + 2\sigma^2 T}, \text{ and} \\
E[S_T^3] &= S_0^3 E \left[ e^{3\mu T + 3\sigma \sqrt{T} Z} \right] \\
&= S_0^3 e^{3\mu T + 9\sigma^2 T/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Cov}(S_T^2, S_T) &= S_0^3 e^{3\mu T + 9\sigma^2 T/2} - S_0^2 e^{2\mu T + 2\sigma^2 T} S_0 e^{\mu T + \sigma^2 T/2} \\
&= S_0^3 e^{3\mu T} e^{5\sigma^2 T/2} \left( e^{2\sigma^2 T} - 1 \right)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Var}(S_T) &= S_0^2 e^{2\mu T + 2\sigma^2 T} - \left( S_0 e^{\mu T + \sigma^2 T/2} \right)^2 \\
&= S_0^2 e^{2\mu T} e^{\sigma^2 T} \left( e^{\sigma^2 T} - 1 \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
h &= \frac{S_0^3 e^{3\mu T} e^{5\sigma^2 T/2} \left( e^{2\sigma^2 T} - 1 \right)}{S_0^2 e^{2\mu T} e^{\sigma^2 T} \left( e^{\sigma^2 T} - 1 \right)} \\
&= S_0 e^{\mu T + 3\sigma^2 T/2} \left( e^{\sigma^2 T} + 1 \right)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
h_0 &= S_0^2 e^{2\mu T + 2\sigma^2 T} - S_0 e^{\mu T + 3\sigma^2 T/2} \left( e^{\sigma^2 T} + 1 \right) S_0 e^{\mu T + \sigma^2 T/2} \\
&= -S_0^2 e^{2\mu T + 3\sigma^2 T}.
\end{aligned}$$

The minimal expected square hedging error is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
E \left[ (\hat{A} - L)^2 \right] &= \text{Var}(L) - \frac{(\text{Cov}(L, Z))^2}{\text{Var}(Z)} \\
&= \text{Var}(S_T^2) - \frac{(\text{Cov}(S_T^2, S_T))^2}{\text{Var}(S_T)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Var}(S_T^2) &= E[S_T^4] - \left( E[S_T^2] \right)^2 \\
&= S_0^4 e^{4\mu T + 8\sigma^2 T} - S_0^4 e^{4\mu T + 4\sigma^2 T} \\
&= S_0^4 e^{4\mu T + 4\sigma^2 T} \left( e^{4\sigma^2 T} - 1 \right),
\end{aligned}$$

so

$$\begin{aligned}
E[(\hat{A} - L)^2] &= \text{Var}(S_T^2) - \left( \frac{\text{Cov}(S_T^2, S_T)}{\text{Var}(S_T)} \right)^2 \text{Var}(S_T) \\
&= S_0^4 e^{4\mu T + 4\sigma^2 T} (e^{4\sigma^2 T} - 1) - \\
&\quad \left( S_0 e^{\mu T + 3\sigma^2 T/2} (e^{\sigma^2 T} + 1) \right)^2 S_0^2 e^{2\mu T} e^{\sigma^2 T} (e^{\sigma^2 T} - 1) \\
&= S_0^4 e^{4\mu T + 5\sigma^2 T} (e^{2\sigma^2 T} - 1) \cdot (e^{\sigma^2 T} - 1).
\end{aligned}$$

### Problem 3

The inverse of the covariance matrix is

$$\Sigma^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1/\sigma_1^2 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/\sigma_2^2 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & & \ddots & & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1/\sigma_n^2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

(a) The minimum-variance portfolio solves the problem

$$\begin{cases} \min & \frac{1}{2} w^T \Sigma w \\ \text{subject to} & w^T \mathbf{1} = 1. \end{cases}$$

The Lagrangian is

$$L = \frac{1}{2} w^T \Sigma w + \lambda(1 - w^T \mathbf{1}),$$

and the first order condition is

$$\Sigma w - \lambda \mathbf{1} = 0.$$

The solution is

$$w = \lambda \Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{1} = \lambda \begin{bmatrix} 1/\sigma_1^2 \\ 1/\sigma_2^2 \\ \vdots \\ 1/\sigma_n^2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Inserting this in the constraint yields

$$\lambda \mathbf{1}^T \Sigma \mathbf{1} = 1 \Rightarrow \lambda = \frac{1}{\mathbf{1}^T \Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{1}}.$$

Now

$$\mathbf{1}^T \Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{1} = [1 \ 1 \ \dots \ 1] \begin{bmatrix} 1/\sigma_1^2 \\ 1/\sigma_2^2 \\ \vdots \\ 1/\sigma_n^2 \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{\sigma_k^2}.$$

It follows that

$$w_{\text{mvp}} = \frac{1}{\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{\sigma_k^2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1/\sigma_1^2 \\ 1/\sigma_2^2 \\ \vdots \\ 1/\sigma_n^2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

(b) The problem now is

$$\begin{cases} \max & w^T \mu - \frac{\gamma}{2} w^T \Sigma w \\ \text{subject to} & w^T \mathbf{1} = 1. \end{cases}$$

The Lagrangian is

$$L = w^T \mu - \frac{\gamma}{2} w^T \Sigma w + \lambda(1 - w^T \mathbf{1}),$$

and the first order condition is

$$\mu - \gamma \Sigma w - \lambda \mathbf{1} = 0.$$

We get

$$w = \frac{1}{\gamma} (\Sigma^{-1} \mu - \lambda \Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{1}),$$

and using the constraint we arrive at

$$\frac{1}{\gamma} (\mathbf{1}^T \Sigma^{-1} \mu - \lambda \mathbf{1}^T \Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{1}) = 1 \Rightarrow \lambda = \frac{\mathbf{1}^T \Sigma^{-1} \mu - \gamma}{\mathbf{1}^T \Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{1}}.$$

We know the expression for  $\mathbf{1}^T \Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{1}$  from above, and get

$$\mathbf{1}^T \Sigma^{-1} \mu = \mu^T \Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{1} = [\mu_1 \ \mu_2 \ \cdots \ \mu_n] \begin{bmatrix} 1/\sigma_1^2 \\ 1/\sigma_2^2 \\ \vdots \\ 1/\sigma_n^2 \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\mu_k}{\sigma_k^2}.$$

Hence,

$$\lambda = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\mu_k}{\sigma_k^2} - \gamma}{\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{\sigma_k^2}}$$

and the optimal portfolio for any  $\gamma > 0$  is given by

$$w_i = \frac{1}{\gamma \sigma_i^2} \left( \mu_i + \frac{\gamma - \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\mu_k}{\sigma_k^2}}{\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{\sigma_k^2}} \right).$$

(c) The problem we want to solve is

$$\begin{cases} \min & \frac{1}{2} w^T \Sigma w \\ \text{subject to} & w^T \mu = \mu_0 \\ & w^T \mathbf{1} = 1. \end{cases}$$

The Lagrangian is

$$L = \frac{1}{2}w^T \Sigma w + \lambda_1(\mu_0 - w^T \mu) + \lambda_2(1 - w^T \mathbf{1}),$$

and the first order condition is

$$\Sigma w - \lambda_1 \mu - \lambda_2 \mathbf{1} = 0.$$

The solution is given by

$$w = \Sigma^{-1}(\lambda_1 \mu + \lambda_2 \mathbf{1}) = \lambda_1 \Sigma^{-1} \mu + \lambda_2 \Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{1}.$$

Inserting this into the constraints we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_0 &= \lambda_1 \mu^T \Sigma^{-1} \mu + \lambda_2 \mu^T \Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{1} \\ \mathbf{1} &= \lambda_1 \mathbf{1}^T \Sigma^{-1} \mu + \lambda_2 \mathbf{1}^T \Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{1}. \end{aligned}$$

In our case

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^T \Sigma^{-1} \mu &= \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\mu_k^2}{\sigma_k^2} =: a \\ \mathbf{1}^T \Sigma^{-1} \mu &= \mu^T \Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{1} = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\mu_k}{\sigma_k^2} =: b \\ \mathbf{1}^T \Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{1} &= \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{\sigma_k^2} =: c. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ b & c \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mu_0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{ac - b^2} \begin{bmatrix} c & -b \\ -b & a \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mu_0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{ac - b^2} \begin{bmatrix} c\mu_0 - b \\ a - b\mu_0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Hence for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  we have the optimal weights

$$\begin{aligned} w_i &= \lambda_1 \frac{\mu_i}{\sigma_i^2} + \lambda_2 \frac{1}{\sigma_i^2} \\ &= \frac{\left( \mu_0 \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{\sigma_k^2} - \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\mu_k}{\sigma_k^2} \right) \frac{\mu_i}{\sigma_i^2} + \left( \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\mu_k^2}{\sigma_k^2} - \mu_0 \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\mu_k}{\sigma_k^2} \right) \frac{1}{\sigma_i^2}}{\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{\sigma_k^2} \cdot \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\mu_k^2}{\sigma_k^2} - \left( \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\mu_k}{\sigma_k^2} \right)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

#### Problem 4

(a) With  $u(x) = \ln(x + 10)$  we get the coefficient of absolute risk aversion

$$A(x) = -\frac{u''(x)}{u'(x)} = -\frac{-\frac{1}{(x+10)^2}}{\frac{1}{x+10}} = \frac{1}{x+10}.$$

(b) The general problem we want to solve is

$$\begin{cases} \max & \sum_{k=1}^n p_k \ln(w_k \theta_k + m) \\ \text{subject to} & \sum_{k=1}^n w_k = V_0, \end{cases}$$

where  $n = 5$ , for  $k = 1, \dots, 5$  we have introduced  $p_k$  and  $\theta_k$  as the probabilities and odds respectively,  $m = 10$  and  $V_0 = 100$ . We get the Lagrangian

$$L = \sum_{k=1}^n p_k \ln(w_k \theta_k + m) + \lambda \left( V_0 - \sum_{k=1}^n w_k \right),$$

and the first order conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{p_k \theta_k}{w_k \theta_k + m} - \lambda &= 0, \quad k = 1, \dots, n \\ \sum_{k=1}^n w_k &= V_0. \end{aligned}$$

The first set of equations yields

$$w_k = \frac{p_k}{\lambda} - \frac{m}{\theta_k}, \quad k = 1, \dots, n,$$

and inserting this into the constraint yields

$$V_0 = \sum_{k=1}^n \left( \frac{p_k}{\lambda} - \frac{m}{\theta_k} \right) = \frac{1}{\lambda} - m \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{\theta_k}.$$

Hence, the optimal solution is

$$w_k = V_0 p_k + m \left( p_k \sum_{\ell=1}^n \frac{1}{\theta_\ell} - \frac{1}{\theta_k} \right), \quad k = 1, \dots, n.$$

Inserting the values given we get

$$w_1 = 24.95, \quad w_2 = 14.84, \quad w_3 = 8.83, \quad w_4 = 46.86 \quad \text{and} \quad w_5 = 4.52.$$

(c) The certainty equivalent  $C$  solves

$$E[u(V_1)] = u(C),$$

where  $u(x) = \ln(x + 10)$ . Now the value of  $V_1$  in state  $k$ , which we denote  $(V_1)_k$ , is given by

$$(V_1)_k = w_k \theta_k.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
E[u(V_1)] &= \sum_{k=1}^n p_k \ln((V_1)_k + 10) \\
&= 0.25 \ln(24.95 \cdot 3.75 + 10) + 0.15 \ln(14.84 \cdot 5.75 + 10) \\
&\quad + 0.10 \ln(8.83 \cdot 4.5 + 10) + 0.45 \ln(46.86 \cdot 3.5 + 10) \\
&\quad + 0.05 \ln(4.52 \cdot 10 + 10) \\
&= 4.7565.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, the certainty equivalent  $C$  solves

$$\ln(C + 10) = 4.7565 \Rightarrow C = 106.33.$$

The absolute risk premium  $\pi$  of  $V_1$  is defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi &= E[V_1] - C \\
&= 0.25 \cdot 24.95 \cdot 3.75 + 0.15 \cdot 14.84 \cdot 5.75 + 0.10 \cdot 8.83 \cdot 4.5 \\
&\quad + 0.45 \cdot 46.86 \cdot 3.5 + 0.05 \cdot 4.52 \cdot 10 - 4.7565 \\
&= 116.23 - 4.7565 \\
&= 9.90.
\end{aligned}$$

### Problem 5

We want to calculate the value-at-risk and expected shortfall for the random variable

$$X = V_1 - R_0 V_0.$$

(a) We know that with for  $p \in (0, 1)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{VaR}_p(X) &= \min\{m \mid P(mR_0 + X < 0) \leq p\} \\
&= \min\{m \mid P(mR_0 + V_1 - R_0 V_0 < 0) \leq p\} \\
&= \min\{m \mid P(V_1 < R_0(V_0 - m)) \leq p\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $V_1$  has a continuous distribution we have

$$P(V_1 \leq R_0(V_0 - \text{VaR}_p(X))) = p.$$

Now

$$F_{V_1}(x) = \int_0^x f_{V_1}(t) dt = \left[ -e^{-x/a} \right]_0^x = 1 - e^{-x/a},$$

and it follows that

$$1 - e^{-R_0(V_0 - \text{VaR}_p(X))/a} = p \Rightarrow \text{VaR}_p(X) = V_0 + \frac{a}{R_0} \ln(1 - p).$$

Inserting the parameter values and using  $R_0 = 1/B_0$  we get for  $p \in (0, 1)$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{VaR}_p(X) &= 100\,000 + 150\,000 \cdot 0.96 \ln(1 - p) \\
&= 100\,000 + 144\,000 \ln(1 - p).
\end{aligned}$$



(b) The expected shortfall of  $X$  at level  $p \in (0, 1)$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{ES}_p(X) &= \frac{1}{p} \int_0^p \text{VaR}_u(X) du \\
&= \frac{1}{p} \int_0^p (100\,000 + 144\,000 \ln(1-u)) du \\
&= 100\,000 + 144\,000 \cdot \frac{1}{p} \int_0^p \ln(1-u) du \\
&= 100\,000 + 144\,000 \cdot \frac{1}{p} \int_{1-p}^1 \ln v dv \\
&= 100\,000 + 144\,000 \cdot \frac{1}{p} [v \ln v - v]_{1-p}^1 \\
&= 100\,000 + 144\,000 \cdot \frac{1}{p} (0 - 1 - ((1-p) \ln(1-p) - (1-p))) \\
&= 100\,000 + 144\,000 \frac{-(1-p) \ln(1-p) - p}{p} \\
&= -44\,000 + 144\,000 \left( \frac{1}{p} - 1 \right) \ln \frac{1}{1-p}.
\end{aligned}$$