

Markov chains

- Let $(X_n)_{n \geq 0}$ be a stationary Markov chain. Show that $\text{Cov}(X_k, X_\ell)$ depends only on $|k - \ell|$.
- Let $(\varepsilon_n)_{n \geq 0}$ be i.i.d. Gaussian variables with zero mean and variance σ^2 . Let $|\alpha| < 1$ and consider the AR(1) process

$$X_n = \alpha X_{n-1} + \varepsilon_n, \quad n \geq 1,$$

with $X_0 = \varepsilon_0$.

- Find the mean and the variance of X_n . Is $(X_n)_{n \geq 0}$ stationary?
- Show that for all $0 \leq h \leq n$,

$$\text{Corr}(X_n, X_{n-h}) = \alpha^h \sqrt{\frac{\text{Var}(X_{n-h})}{\text{Var}(X_n)}}.$$

- Show that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Var}(X_n) = \sigma^2 / (1 - \alpha^2)$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Corr}(X_n, X_{n-h}) = \alpha^h$.
- Now, suppose that $X_0 = \varepsilon_0 / \sqrt{1 - \alpha^2}$. Is $(X_n)_{n \geq 0}$ stationary?

The Gibbs sampler

- Let p and q be Markov transition densities on $\mathbf{X} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$. The *product* $p \otimes q$ of p and q is defined as

$$[p \otimes q](z | x) = \int p(y | x) q(z | y) dy \quad ((x, z) \in \mathbf{X}^2).$$

- Show that $p \otimes q$ is a Markov transition kernel on \mathbf{X} .
 - Assume that p and q both allow π as a stationary distribution. Show that also $p \otimes q$ allows π as a stationary distribution.
- Recall that the Gibbs sampler simulates an m -variate Markov chain $(X_n)_{n \geq 0}$ having some multivariate distribution π as stationary distribution by, in each sub-step, sampling from the conditional distributions $\pi_\ell(x^\ell | x^{-\ell})$, where $x^{-\ell} = (x^1, \dots, x^{\ell-1}, x^{\ell+1}, \dots, x^m)$.
 - Show that each sub-step of the Gibbs sampler is π -reversible (i.e., satisfies detailed balance for π).
 - Show that one full iteration (comprising m sub-steps) of the Gibbs sampler (see Lecture 10) allows π as a stationary distribution.

Barker's MCMC algorithm

- Barker's MCMC algorithm* targeting some density π (known up to a normalizing constant) on $\mathbf{X} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ generates a Markov chain $(X_n)_{n \geq 0}$ as follows: given X_n ,

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{draw } X^* \sim r(x | X_n); \\ &\text{set } X_{n+1} \leftarrow \begin{cases} X^* & \text{w. pr. } \frac{\pi(X^*)}{\pi(X^*) + \pi(X_n)}; \\ X_n & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Here r is some *symmetric* proposal transition density.

- Find the transition density q of Barker's algorithm.
- Show that q is π -reversible.

- (c) What should be regarded as a main difference between Barker's algorithm and the Metropolis-Hastings algorithm (with symmetric proposal distribution r)? Which method seems preferable and why? (Hint: consider the situation where $\pi(X^*)$ is very close to $\pi(X_n)$.)