

SF2972 GAME THEORY
Problem set 1

JORGEN WEIBULL

January 20, 2017

1. Peters (2015) Problem 2.5.
2. Peters (2015) Problem 3.3.
3. Peters (2015) Problem 3.4.
4. Consider the 2-player normal-form game:

	<i>A</i>	<i>B</i>
<i>A</i>	a, b	$0, 0$
<i>B</i>	$0, 0$	$1, 1$

for arbitrary payoffs $a, b > 0$.

- (a) Draw a diagram showing each player's set of best replies to any mixed-strategy profile. [Suggestion: let the horizontal axis be 1's probability (x) for playing her first pure strategy, and let the vertical axis be 2's probability (y) for playing his first pure strategy.]
- (b) Use the diagram to identify the set of Nash equilibria.
- (c) Study how the mixed Nash equilibrium depends on a and b , in particular if 1's probability depends on her payoff a or on the other player's payoff b . Explain!
- (d) Calculate the (expected) payoffs in the mixed equilibrium and compare with the payoffs in the two strict equilibria.
- (e) Show that each player's mixed Nash equilibrium strategy also is the player's min-max strategy against the other player.

5. Consider a two-player simultaneous-move game G with normal form

	L	M	R
A	8, 11	-3, 0	0, 0
B	9, -1	4, 1	0, 0
C	0, -2	0, 0	1, 4

- (a) Find all *rationalizable* pure strategies in G .
 - (b) Find all Nash equilibria (in pure and mixed strategies).
 - (c) Find all (normal-form) *perfect equilibria* (in pure and mixed strategies).
 - (d) Find all *proper equilibria* (in pure or mixed strategies).
6. Consider the following normal-form game G , arising from price competition between two firms with the same average and marginal cost $c = 1$ per unit facing aggregate demand $D(p) = 10 - 2p$. (Hence, the monopoly price in this market is $p = 3$ and the monopoly profit is 8.) Each firm i is constrained to choose an integer price, $p_i \in P = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$. In the following payoff bi-matrix, firm 1 chooses row and firm two column:

$p_1 \backslash p_2$	0	1	2	3	4
0	-5, -5	-10, 0	-10, 0	-10, 0	-10, 0
1	0, -10	0, 0	0, 0	0, 0	0, 0
2	0, -10	0, 0	3, 3	6, 0	6, 0
3	0, -10	0, 0	0, 6	4, 4	8, 0
4	0, -10	0, 0	0, 6	0, 8	3, 3

- (a) Find all strictly dominated pure strategies.
 - (b) Find all pure-strategy Nash equilibria.
 - (c) Find all weakly dominated strategies.
 - (d) Find all perfect pure-strategy Nash equilibria.
7. Lecture Notes Example 12.
8. The example at the end of Sethi and Weibull (2016).