

Lecture 3: Atomic Chains and Irreducibility

Lecturer: Jimmy Olsson

March 2

Goals of this lecture

- Introduce the concept of phi-irreducibility describing the communication between states and sets.
- Establish the transience-recurrence dichotomy for atomic chains.
- Introduce briefly Harris recurrence.

Irreducibility and transience/recurrence

For some given measurable space (X, \mathcal{X}) and some Markov kernel P on $X \times \mathcal{X}$, let $\{X_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be the canonical chain with kernel P (as defined last time).

Definition 3.1 (accessible set). *A set $A \in \mathcal{X}$ is said to be accessible for the kernel P (or, P -accessible) if $\mathbb{P}_x(\sigma_A < \infty) > 0$ for all $x \in X$.*

Exercise 3.2. *Show that $A \in \mathcal{X}$ is accessible if $\mathbb{P}_x(\sigma_A < \infty) > 0$ for all $x \in A^c$.*

Definition 3.3 (phi-irreducibility). *The transition kernel P (or, alternatively, the Markov chain $\{X_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ with transition kernel P) is said to be phi-irreducible if there exists $\phi \in M_+(\mathcal{X})$ such all $A \in \mathcal{X}$ with $\phi(A) > 0$ are accessible. Such a measure is called an irreducibility measure for P .*

Example 3.4. *Assume that X is countable. In this case, we say that a state $x \in X$ leads to another state $y \in X$, denoted $x \rightarrow y$, if $\mathbb{P}_x(\sigma_y < \infty) > 0$. If $x \rightarrow y$ and $y \rightarrow x$, x and y are said to communicate, which is denoted $x \leftrightarrow y$. The transition kernel (or chain) is called irreducible if $x \leftrightarrow y$ for all states $(x, y) \in X^2$. Note that phi-irreducibility is weaker than this notion of irreducibility (since all measures on $\wp(X)$ are irreducibility measures if the chain is irreducible). The concepts are however not equivalent.*

Exercise 3.5. *Find an example of a chain on a countable state space that is phi-irreducible but not irreducible.*

In general there are many irreducibility measures. We will however show next that there exist *maximal irreducibility measures* ψ , which are such that any irreducibility measure ϕ is absolutely continuous with respect to ψ (i.e., for all $A \in \mathcal{X}$, $\psi(A) = 0 \Rightarrow \phi(A) = 0$). Our construction of a maximal irreducibility measure for P is based on the so-called *resolvent kernel associated with P* , which is, for $\eta \in (0, 1)$, defined by

$$K_\eta : \mathbf{X} \times \mathcal{X} \ni (x, A) \mapsto (1 - \eta) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \eta^n P^n(x, A).$$

Note that K_η is a Markov kernel for all $\eta \in (0, 1)$.

Exercise 3.6.

- (a) Assume that $\mu \in \mathbf{M}_+(\mathcal{X})$ is invariant with respect to P . Show that μ is also invariant with respect to K_η for all $\eta \in (0, 1)$.
- (b) Show that for all $A \in \mathcal{X}$ and $\eta \in (0, 1)$,

$$\{x \in \mathbf{X} : \mathbb{P}_x(\sigma_A < \infty) > 0\} = \{x \in \mathbf{X} : K_\eta(x, A) > 0\}.$$

Theorem 3.7. Let P be a transition kernel on $\mathbf{X} \times \mathcal{X}$ and let ϕ be an irreducibility measure for P . Then for all $\eta \in (0, 1)$, $\phi_\eta = \phi K_\eta$ is a maximal irreducibility measure. In addition,

$$A \in \mathcal{X} \text{ is accessible} \Leftrightarrow \phi_\eta(A) > 0. \quad (3.8)$$

Proof. To show that ϕ_η is an irreducibility measure, let $A \in \mathcal{X}$ be such that $\phi_\eta(A) > 0$. In addition, let

$$\bar{A} = \{x \in \mathbf{X} : \mathbb{P}_x(\sigma_A < \infty) > 0\} = \{x \in \mathbf{X} : K_\eta(x, A) > 0\},$$

where the equality holds by [Exercise 3.6\(b\)](#). If $\phi(\bar{A}) = 0$, then $K_\eta(\cdot, A) = 0$ ϕ -a.s., which implies that $\phi_\eta(A) = \phi K_\eta(A) = 0$. Thus, if $\phi_\eta(A) > 0$, then $\phi(\bar{A}) > 0$. Now, let $\bar{A}_m = \{x \in \mathbf{X} : \mathbb{P}_x(\sigma_A < \infty) > 1/m\}$, so that $\bar{A} = \cup_{m=1}^{\infty} \bar{A}_m$. Thus, there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$ such that $\phi(\bar{A}_m) > 0$, and since ϕ is an irreducibility measure, \bar{A}_m is accessible. Now, using the strong Markov property, for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}_x(\sigma_A < \infty) &\geq \mathbb{P}_x(\sigma_{\bar{A}_m} < \infty, \sigma_{\bar{A}_m} + \sigma_A \circ \sigma_{\bar{A}_m} < \infty) = \mathbb{P}_x(\sigma_{\bar{A}_m} < \infty, \sigma_A \circ \sigma_{\bar{A}_m} < \infty) \\ &= \mathbb{E}_x \left[\mathbb{1}_{\{\sigma_{\bar{A}_m} < \infty\}} \mathbb{E}_x \left[\mathbb{1}_{\{\sigma_A \circ \sigma_{\bar{A}_m} < \infty\}} \mid \mathcal{F}_{\sigma_{\bar{A}_m}} \right] \right] = \mathbb{E}_x \left[\mathbb{1}_{\{\sigma_{\bar{A}_m} < \infty\}} \mathbb{P}_{X_{\sigma_{\bar{A}_m}}}(\sigma_A < \infty) \right] \\ &\geq \frac{1}{m} \mathbb{P}_x(\sigma_{\bar{A}_m} < \infty) > 0, \end{aligned}$$

implying that ϕ_η is an irreducibility measure, which is also the sufficiency in the equivalence (3.8). To establish the other direction, note that for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $A \in \mathcal{X}$, by the monotone convergence and Chapman-Kolmogorov theorems,

$$\begin{aligned} \int \phi_\eta(dx) \eta^m P^m(x, A) &= (1 - \eta) \int \phi(dy) \int \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \eta^\ell P^\ell(y, dx) \eta^m P^m(x, A) \\ &= (1 - \eta) \int \phi(dy) \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \eta^{\ell+m} P^{\ell+m}(y, A) \leq \phi K_\eta(A) = \phi_\eta(A), \end{aligned}$$

showing that $\phi_\eta K_\eta$ is absolutely continuous with respect to ϕ_η . Consequently, $\phi_\eta(A) = 0$ implies that $\phi_\eta K_\eta(A) = 0$ and, by definition, that $\phi_\eta(\bar{A}) = 0$. Thus,

$$\phi_\eta(\bar{A}) > 0 \Rightarrow \phi_\eta(A) > 0. \quad (3.9)$$

Hence if A is accessible, in which case $\bar{A} = \mathcal{X}$, then $\phi_\eta(A) > 0$, which is the necessity in (3.8).

Finally, let $\tilde{\phi} \in \mathcal{M}_+(\mathcal{X})$ be some other irreducibility measure and $A \in \mathcal{X}$ such that $\tilde{\phi}(A) > 0$. Then A is accessible and the by previous, $\phi_\eta(A) > 0$. Thus, $\tilde{\phi}$ is absolutely continuous with respect to ϕ_η , which completes the proof. \square

For $A \in \mathcal{X}$, we define the *occupation time* η_A as the number of visits by $\{X_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ to A , i.e.,

$$\eta_A = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{1}_A(X_k) = \mathbb{1}_A(X_0) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{1}_{\{\sigma_A^{(n)} < \infty\}}.$$

Definition 3.10 (recurrence and uniform transience). *A set $A \in \mathcal{X}$ is called uniformly transient if $\sup_{x \in A} \mathbb{E}_x[\eta_A] < \infty$. It is called recurrent if $\mathbb{E}_x[\eta_A] = \infty$ for all $x \in A$.*

For ϕ -irreducible transition kernels, the main result is the following *recurrence-transience dichotomy*.

Claim 3.11 (the recurrence-transience dichotomy). *Let P be a ϕ -irreducible Markov kernel. Then either of the following statements holds true.*

- (i) *Every accessible set is recurrent, in which case we call P recurrent.*
- (ii) *There is a countable cover of \mathcal{X} with uniformly transient sets, in which case we call P transient.*

During the coming lectures, we will establish Claim 3.11 under increasingly general assumptions. We will next establish Claim 3.11 in the particular case where the chain possesses an accessible *atom* (to be defined). Next time we will extend this result to the general case using *small*—“atom-like”—sets and the famous *splitting construction*.

Atomic chains

Definition 3.12. A set $\alpha \in \mathcal{X}$ is called an atom if there exists $\nu \in \mathbf{M}_1(\mathcal{X})$ such that $P(x, A) = \nu(A)$ for all $x \in \alpha$ and $A \in \mathcal{X}$.

Exercise 3.13. Show that if $\alpha \in \mathcal{X}$ is an atom for P , then it is also an atom for P^n for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

For all $x \in \alpha$, the common measure $P^n(x, \cdot)$ is denoted $P^n(\alpha, \cdot)$. Similarly, we will write \mathbb{P}_α , etc.

The recurrence-transience dichotomy for atomic chains

We now establish the recurrence-transience dichotomy in the case of an accessible atom.

Theorem 3.14. Assume that $\{X_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ possesses an accessible atom $\alpha \in \mathcal{X}$ with associated $\nu \in \mathbf{M}_1(\mathcal{X})$. Then the following holds true.

- (i) The chain is ϕ -irreducible, ν is an irreducibility measure, and a set $A \in \mathcal{X}$ is accessible if and only if $\mathbb{P}_\alpha(\sigma_A < \infty) > 0$.
- (ii) The atom α is recurrent if and only if $\mathbb{P}_\alpha(\sigma_\alpha < \infty) = 1$ and (uniformly) transient otherwise; moreover, the chain is recurrent if α is recurrent and transient otherwise.

Proof. To prove (i), write for $x \in \mathbf{X}$ and $A \in \mathcal{X}$, using the strong Markov property (last time),

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbb{P}_x(\sigma_A < \infty) &\geq \mathbb{P}_x(\sigma_\alpha < \infty, \sigma_A \circ \theta_{\sigma_\alpha} < \infty) \\
 &= \mathbb{E}_x \left[\mathbb{1}_{\{\sigma_\alpha < \infty\}} \mathbb{E}_x \left[\mathbb{1}_{\{\sigma_A \circ \theta_{\sigma_\alpha} < \infty\}} \mid \mathcal{F}_{\sigma_\alpha} \right] \right] \\
 &= \mathbb{E}_x \left[\mathbb{1}_{\{\sigma_\alpha < \infty\}} \mathbb{E}_\alpha \left[\mathbb{1}_{\{\sigma_A < \infty\}} \right] \right] \\
 &= \mathbb{P}_x(\sigma_\alpha < \infty) \mathbb{P}_\alpha(\sigma_A < \infty).
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

Now, assume that $\mathbb{P}_\alpha(\sigma_A < \infty) > 0$; then, since α is accessible, the previous bound implies that $\mathbb{P}_x(\sigma_A < \infty) > 0$ for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$, which means that A is accessible. On the contrary, assume that A is accessible; then $\mathbb{P}_x(\sigma_A < \infty) > 0$ for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$, and in particular $\mathbb{P}_\alpha(\sigma_A < \infty) > 0$. This proves the last claim of (i). To prove the first claim, note that

$$\mathbb{P}_x(\sigma_A < \infty) \geq \mathbb{P}_x(\sigma_\alpha < \infty) \mathbb{P}_\alpha(\sigma_A < \infty) \geq \mathbb{P}_x(\sigma_\alpha < \infty) \mathbb{P}_\alpha(X_1 \in A) = \mathbb{P}_x(\sigma_\alpha < \infty) \nu(A).$$

Since α is accessible, this implies that ν is a ϕ -irreducibility measure for P .

We turn to (ii). Recall the definition of the successive hitting times and note that

$$\mathbb{P}_\alpha \left(\sigma_\alpha^{(n)} < \infty \right) = \mathbb{P}_\alpha \left(\sigma_\alpha^{(n-1)} < \infty, \sigma_\alpha \circ \theta_{\sigma_\alpha^{(n-1)}} < \infty \right).$$

Thus, repeating the arguments of (3.15) yields for all $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$,

$$\mathbb{P}_\alpha \left(\sigma_\alpha^{(n)} < \infty \right) = \mathbb{P}_\alpha \left(\sigma_\alpha^{(n-1)} < \infty \right) \mathbb{P}_\alpha \left(\sigma_\alpha < \infty \right),$$

implying, by iteration, $\mathbb{P}_\alpha(\sigma_\alpha^{(n)} < \infty) = \mathbb{P}_\alpha(\sigma_\alpha < \infty)^n$. Consequently,

$$\mathbb{E}_\alpha [\eta_\alpha] = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}_\alpha(\sigma_\alpha < \infty)^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}_\alpha(\sigma_\alpha < \infty)^n,$$

from which we conclude that α is recurrent if and only if $\mathbb{P}_\alpha(\sigma_\alpha < \infty) = 1$. Otherwise, α is uniformly transient.

We turn to the second part of (ii). First note that for all $x \in \mathsf{X}$ and $A \in \mathcal{X}$,

$$\mathbb{P}_x(\sigma_A < \infty) = \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}_x(\sigma_A = \ell) \leq \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}_x(X_\ell \in A) = \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} P^\ell(x, A). \quad (3.16)$$

Assume that α is recurrent. Recall that the chain is recurrent if all accessible sets are recurrent. Thus, let A be accessible and pick $x \in A$. Then by (3.16) there exists $s \in \mathbb{N}^*$ such that $P^s(x, \alpha) > 0$. In addition, there exists $t \in \mathbb{N}^*$ such that $P^t(\alpha, A) > 0$. Then, as α is recurrent, using the Chapman-Kolmogorov theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_x[\eta_A] &\geq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P^{s+n+t}(x, A) \geq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_\alpha \int_\alpha P^s(x, dx') P^n(x', dx'') P^t(x'', A) \\ &= P^s(x, \alpha) P^t(\alpha, A) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P^n(\alpha, \alpha) = \infty, \end{aligned}$$

showing that the chain is recurrent.

Now, assume that α is transient. Then, since

$$\eta_\alpha = \eta_\alpha \circ \theta_{\tau_\alpha} \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau_\alpha < \infty\}},$$

using the strong Markov property, for all $x \in \mathsf{X}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_x[\eta_\alpha] &= \mathbb{E}_x \left[\mathbb{E}_x \left[\eta_\alpha \circ \theta_{\tau_\alpha} \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau_\alpha < \infty\}} \mid \mathcal{F}_{\tau_\alpha} \right] \right] = \mathbb{E}_x \left[\mathbb{E}_\alpha [\eta_\alpha] \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau_\alpha < \infty\}} \right] \\ &= \mathbb{P}_x(\tau_\alpha < \infty) \mathbb{E}_\alpha [\eta_\alpha] \leq \mathbb{E}_\alpha [\eta_\alpha] < \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

For all $j \in \mathbb{N}^*$, define $B_j = \{x \in \mathsf{X} : \sum_{\ell=1}^j P^\ell(x, \alpha) \geq 1/j\}$; then, by (3.16), since α is accessible, $\mathsf{X} = \cup_{j=1}^{\infty} B_j$. Now, note that for all $(j, n) \in \mathbb{N}^{*2}$,

$$\begin{aligned} j \sum_{\ell=1}^j \int_{B_j} P^n(x, dx') P^\ell(x', \alpha) &= j \int_{B_j} P^n(x, dx') \sum_{\ell=1}^j P^\ell(x', \alpha) \\ &\geq P^n(x, B_j) j \inf_{x' \in B_j} \sum_{\ell=1}^j P^\ell(x', \alpha) \geq P^n(x, B_j). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$j \sum_{\ell=1}^j \int_{B_j} P^n(x, dx') P^\ell(x', \boldsymbol{\alpha}) \leq j \sum_{\ell=1}^j \int P^n(x, dx') P^\ell(x', \boldsymbol{\alpha}) = j \sum_{\ell=1}^j P^{n+\ell}(x, \boldsymbol{\alpha}).$$

Combining the last two inequalities yields, for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P^n(x, B_j) \leq j \sum_{\ell=1}^j \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P^{n+\ell}(x, \boldsymbol{\alpha}) \leq j^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P^n(x, \boldsymbol{\alpha}),$$

where the right hand side is finite by (3.17). Hence, each B_j is transient, which completes the proof. \square