

Lecture 6: General Phi-irreducible Chains

Lecturer: Jimmy Olsson

March 17

Goals of this lecture

- To extend the recurrence-transience dichotomy to general phi-irreducible chains via the split chain.
- Establish, using again the split chain, that general recurrent phi-irreducible chains admit a unique invariant measure.

The recurrence-transience dichotomy for general phi-irreducible chains

In the following, let (X, \mathcal{X}) be a given measurable space. Next, we will use the splitting construction introduced in Lecture 5 for establishing the recurrence-transience dichotomy for general phi-irreducible chains.

Theorem 6.1. *Let P be a phi-irreducible transition kernel on $X \times \mathcal{X}$ that admits an accessible $(1, \varepsilon, \nu)$ -small set $C \in \mathcal{X}$. Then P is either recurrent or transient. It is recurrent if and only if the small set C is recurrent.*

Proof. Since the split chain possesses an accessible atom, it is, by Theorem 3.14, phi-irreducible and either recurrent or transient. By Exercise 5.12, for all $B \in \mathcal{X}$ and $x \in X$,

$$\check{\mathbb{E}}_{\delta_x^*} [\eta_{B \times \{0,1\}}] = \mathbb{E}_x [\eta_B]. \quad (6.2)$$

Assume first that the split chain is recurrent. Note that for all $\check{A} \in \check{\mathcal{X}}$ and $x \in X$, using Proposition 2.4 and the definition (5.6) of a split measure,

$$\begin{aligned} \check{\mathbb{E}}_{\delta_x^*} [\eta_{\check{A}}] &= \int \check{\mathbb{E}}_{\check{y}} [\eta_{\check{A}}] \delta_x^*(d\check{y}) = (1 - \varepsilon) \mathbb{1}_C(x) \check{\mathbb{E}}_{(x,0)} [\eta_{\check{A}}] \\ &\quad + \mathbb{1}_{C^c}(x) \check{\mathbb{E}}_{(x,0)} [\eta_{\check{A}}] + \varepsilon \mathbb{1}_C(x) \check{\mathbb{E}}_{(x,1)} [\eta_{\check{A}}]. \end{aligned} \quad (6.3)$$

Let B be an accessible set for P . By Theorem 5.22, $B \times \{0, 1\}$ is accessible for \check{P} and by recurrence of the split chain it holds, for all $x \in B$, using (6.3) with $\check{A} = B \times \{0, 1\}$,

that $\check{\mathbb{E}}_{\delta_x^*}[\eta_{B \times \{0,1\}}] = \infty$. Hence, by (6.2), $\mathbb{E}_x[\eta_B] = \infty$. Thus, B is recurrent for P , which implies that P is recurrent.

Now, assume that the split chain is transient. Then by Theorem 3.14, the atom $\check{\alpha}$ is transient. As in the proof of Theorem 3.14, define $B_j = \{x \in X : \sum_{\ell=1}^j \check{P}^\ell((x, 0), \check{\alpha}) \geq 1/j\}$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}^*$.

Exercise 6.4. Show $B_j \times \{0, 1\}$ is transient for all $j \in \mathbb{N}^*$.

Now by (6.2) and (6.3), each B_j is transient for P and since the atom $\check{\alpha}$ is accessible, $X = \cup_{j=1}^\infty B_j$. We conclude that P is transient.

It remains to establish the last statement. We have shown that P is recurrent if and only if \check{P} is so. Moreover, by Theorem 3.14, \check{P} is recurrent if and only if $\check{\alpha}$ is so. It is hence enough to show that $\check{\alpha}$ is recurrent if and only if C is so. For $x \in C$, using the Markov property, Exercise 5.8, and (6.2),

$$\begin{aligned} \check{\mathbb{E}}_{\delta_x^*}[\eta_{\check{\alpha}}] &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \check{\mathbb{E}}_{\delta_x^*}[\mathbb{1}_C(X_k) \mathbb{1}_{\{D_k=1\}}] \\ &= \varepsilon + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \check{\mathbb{E}}_{\delta_x^*}[\mathbb{1}_C(X_k) \mathbb{1}_{\{D_k=1\}}] = \varepsilon + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \check{\mathbb{E}}_{\delta_x^*}[\check{\mathbb{E}}_{\check{X}_{k-1}}[\mathbb{1}_C(X_1) \mathbb{1}_{\{D_1=1\}}]] \\ &= \varepsilon + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \check{\mathbb{E}}_{\delta_x^*}[\check{\mathbb{E}}_{\check{X}_{k-1}}[\mathbb{1}_C(X_1) \check{\mathbb{P}}_{\check{X}_{k-1}}(D_1 = 1 \mid X_1)]] = \varepsilon + \varepsilon \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \check{\mathbb{E}}_{\delta_x^*}[\mathbb{1}_C(X_k)] \\ &= \varepsilon \check{\mathbb{E}}_{\delta_x^*}[\eta_{C \times \{0,1\}}] = \varepsilon \mathbb{E}_x[\eta_C]. \end{aligned} \quad (6.5)$$

Thus, if C is recurrent there exists, by (6.5) and (6.3) (use the latter identity with $\check{A} = \check{\alpha}$), an $\check{x} \in C \times \{0, 1\}$ such that $\mathbb{E}_{\check{x}}[\eta_{\check{\alpha}}] = \infty$. But since

$$\mathbb{E}_{\check{x}}[\eta_{\check{\alpha}}] = \mathbb{P}_{\check{x}}(\sigma_{\check{\alpha}} < \infty) \mathbb{E}_{\check{\alpha}}[\eta_{\check{\alpha}}] \quad (6.6)$$

(recall the computation (3.17) in the proof of Theorem 3.14) and $\check{\alpha}$ is accessible, $\mathbb{E}_{\check{\alpha}}[\eta_{\check{\alpha}}] = \infty$, showing that $\check{\alpha}$ is recurrent. On the other hand, if $\check{\alpha}$ is recurrent, then again by (6.6), for all $\check{x} \in C \times \{0, 1\}$, $\mathbb{E}_{\check{x}}[\eta_{\check{\alpha}}] = \infty$, implying, again via (6.3), that $\mathbb{E}_{\delta_x^*}[\eta_{\check{\alpha}}] = \infty$ for all $x \in C$. Thus, by (6.5), C is recurrent. \square

General phi-irreducible chains and invariant measures

Theorem 6.7. Let P be a recurrent phi-irreducible transition kernel that admits an accessible $(1, \varepsilon, \nu)$ -small set $C \in \mathcal{X}$. Then it admits a non-trivial invariant measure π such that any other nontrivial P -invariant measure μ with $\mu(C) < \infty$ is proportional to π . In addition, any such invariant measure is a maximal irreducibility measure.

Proof. We start off the proof with the following exercise.

Exercise 6.8. Show that $\mu \in \mathbf{M}_+(\mathcal{X})$ is invariant with respect to P if and only if μ^* is invariant with respect to \check{P} .

Now, given some \check{P} -invariant $\check{\mu} \in \mathbf{M}_+(\check{\mathcal{X}})$, define

$$\mu : \mathcal{X} \ni A \mapsto \int_{C \times \{0\}} R(x, A) \check{\mu}(d\check{x}) + \int_{C^c \times \{0\}} P(x, A) \check{\mu}(d\check{x}) + \check{\mu}(X \times \{1\})\nu(A). \quad (6.9)$$

Exercise 6.10. Check that $\check{\mu}\check{P} = \mu^*$.

On the basis of the previous exercises, the proof will be completed in the exercise class on 19 April.

□

References

- [1] S. P. Meyn and R. L. Tweedie. *Markov Chains and Stochastic Stability*. Springer, 1993.