

## Lösningar till tentan i SF1861 Optimeringslära, 18 Aug 2014

### 1.(a)

The considered LP problem is a minimum cost network flow problem with three nodes: 1, 2 and 3, and six arcs: (1,2), (2,1), (1,3), (3,1), (2,3) and (3,2).

The suggested solution  $\hat{\mathbf{x}} = (15, 0, 10, 0, 0, 0)^\top$  corresponds to a spanning tree with the arcs (1,2) and (1,3), i.e. a basic solution. It is a feasible basic solution since all the balance equations (in all nodes) are satisfied and all variables are non-negative.

The simplex variables  $y_i$  are obtained from the equations  $y_i - y_j = c_{ij}$  for basic variables, together with  $y_3 = 0$ . This gives

$$y_3 = 0,$$

$$y_1 = y_3 + c_{13} = 0 + 2 = 2,$$

$$y_2 = y_1 - c_{12} = 2 - 3 = -1.$$

Then the reduced costs for the non-basic variables are obtained from  $r_{ij} = c_{ij} - y_i + y_j$ :

$$r_{21} = 1 - (-1) + 2 = 4,$$

$$r_{31} = 1 - 0 + 2 = 3,$$

$$r_{23} = 1 - (-1) + 0 = 2,$$

$$r_{32} = 1 - 0 + (-1) = 0.$$

Since all  $r_{ij} \geq 0$ , the suggested solution is optimal.

However, since  $r_{32} = 0$ , the objective value will not change if we let  $x_{32}$  become a new basic variable. Let  $x_{32} = t$  and increase  $t$  from 0. Then the basic variables will change according to  $x_{12} = 15 - t$  and  $x_{13} = 10 + t$ .

In particular, with  $t = 15$ , we obtain a new optimal basic solution  $\tilde{\mathbf{x}} = (0, 0, 25, 0, 0, 15)^\top$ .

Check:  $\mathbf{c}^\top \hat{\mathbf{x}} = 3 \cdot 15 + 2 \cdot 10 = 65$ .  $\mathbf{c}^\top \tilde{\mathbf{x}} = 2 \cdot 25 + 1 \cdot 15 = 65$ .

1.(b)

We apply Gauss–Jordan’s method on the given matrix  $\mathbf{B} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 4 \\ 8 & 16 & 32 \\ 64 & 128 & 256 \end{bmatrix}$ .

Add  $-8$  times the first row to the second row and  $-64$  times the first row to the third row.

Then the matrix  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  is obtained, and  $\mathbf{B}$  has been transformed to *reduced row*

*echelon form* with only one *leading one*:  $\mathbf{U} = [ 1 \ 2 \ 4 ]$ .

Note that  $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{B}^\top)^\perp = \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{B})$ , and that a basis to  $\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{B})$  is obtained by choosing the columns in  $\mathbf{B}$  corresponding to the “leading ones” in  $\mathbf{U}$ , i.e. the first column in  $\mathbf{B}$ .

Thus, the single vector  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 8 \\ 64 \end{pmatrix}$  forms a basis to  $\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{B})$ , and thus also to  $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{B}^\top)^\perp$ .

In order to find a basis for  $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{B})$ , note that the system  $\mathbf{B}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$  is equivalent to the system  $\mathbf{U}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ , i.e.  $x_1 + 2x_2 + 4x_3 = 0$ , for which the general solution is obtained by letting  $x_2 = t$  and  $x_3 = s$ , where  $t$  and  $s$  are arbitrary real numbers. Then  $x_1 = -2t - 4s$ , and the general

solution to  $\mathbf{B}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$  can thus be written  $\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix} = t \cdot \begin{pmatrix} -2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + s \cdot \begin{pmatrix} -4 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ .

It follows that the two vectors  $\begin{pmatrix} -2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} -4 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$  form a basis for  $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{B})$ .

By repeating the above steps on  $\mathbf{B}^\top$  instead of  $\mathbf{B}$  the following is obtained:

The single vector  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix}$  forms a basis to  $\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{B}^\top)$ , and thus also to  $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{B})^\perp$ .

The two vectors  $\begin{pmatrix} -8 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} -64 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$  form a basis for  $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{B}^\top)$ .

Check of orthogonality:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 8 \\ 64 \end{pmatrix}^\top \begin{pmatrix} -8 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = 0, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 8 \\ 64 \end{pmatrix}^\top \begin{pmatrix} -64 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = 0, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix}^\top \begin{pmatrix} -2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = 0, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix}^\top \begin{pmatrix} -4 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = 0.$$

The vector  $(1, b, 1)^\top$  belong to  $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{B})$  if and only if  $[1 \ 2 \ 3](1, b, 1)^\top = 0$ , i.e. if and only if  $1 + 2b + 4 = 0$ , i.e. if and only if  $b = -5/2$ .

**2.(a)** Introduce the following new non-negative variables  $x'_j$ :

$$x'_1 = x_1, \quad x'_2 = x_2, \quad x'_3 - x'_4 = x_3,$$

$$x'_5 = \text{slack variable for the constraint } x_1 - x_2 + x_3 \geq 0,$$

$$x'_6 = \text{slack variable for the constraint } x_2 + x_3 \geq 0.$$

Further, introduce the variable vector  $\mathbf{x}' = (x'_1, x'_2, x'_3, x'_4, x'_5, x'_6)^\top$ .

Then the problem can be written as the following LP problem on standard form:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{minimize} && \mathbf{c}^\top \mathbf{x}' \\ & \text{subject to} && \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}' = \mathbf{b}, \quad \mathbf{x}' \geq \mathbf{0}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{where } \mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{b} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \mathbf{c} = (0, 0, 1, -1, 0, 0)^\top.$$

**2.(b)** and **2.(c)**

The suggested solution  $\hat{\mathbf{x}} = (2, 1, -1)^\top$  corresponds to the solution  $\hat{\mathbf{x}}' = (2, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0)^\top$  to the above problem on standard form. The optimality of this solution can be verified by showing that  $\hat{\mathbf{x}}'$  is a feasible basic solution with non-negative reduced costs.

Alternatively, the optimality of  $\hat{\mathbf{x}} = (2, 1, -1)^\top$  can be verified using the complementarity theorem. This is the approach used here, and then 2.(c) is simultaneously solved.

When the primal problem is

$$\begin{aligned} \text{P: minimize} & \quad x_3 \\ \text{subject to} & \quad x_1 - x_2 + x_3 \geq 0, \\ & \quad x_2 + x_3 \geq 0, \\ & \quad x_1 + x_2 = 3, \\ & \quad x_1 \geq 0, \quad x_2 \geq 0, \quad x_3 \text{ "free"}, \end{aligned}$$

the corresponding dual problem is

$$\begin{aligned} \text{D: maximize} & \quad 3y_3 \\ \text{subject to} & \quad y_1 + y_3 \leq 0, \\ & \quad -y_1 + y_2 + y_3 \leq 0, \\ & \quad y_1 + y_2 = 1, \\ & \quad y_1 \geq 0, \quad y_2 \geq 0, \quad y_3 \text{ "free"}. \end{aligned}$$

The complementary theorem says that  $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$  and  $\hat{\mathbf{y}}$  are optimal solutions to P and D, respectively, if and only if

- (1)  $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$  and  $\hat{\mathbf{y}}$  are feasible solutions to P and D,
- (2)  $\hat{y}_1(\hat{x}_1 - \hat{x}_2 + \hat{x}_3) = 0$ ,  $\hat{y}_2(\hat{x}_2 + \hat{x}_3) = 0$ ,  $\hat{x}_1(\hat{y}_1 + \hat{y}_3) = 0$  and  $\hat{x}_2(-\hat{y}_1 + \hat{y}_2 + \hat{y}_3) = 0$ .

Since the suggested point  $\hat{\mathbf{x}} = (2, 1, -1)^\top$  is a feasible solution to P, it is an optimal solution to P if and only if there is a feasible solution  $\hat{\mathbf{y}}$  to D such that  $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$  and  $\hat{\mathbf{y}}$  satisfy (2) above.

Note that  $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$  satisfies  $\hat{x}_1 - \hat{x}_2 + \hat{x}_3 = 0$ ,  $\hat{x}_2 + \hat{x}_3 = 0$ ,  $\hat{x}_1 + \hat{x}_2 = 3$ ,  $\hat{x}_1 > 0$  and  $\hat{x}_2 > 0$ .

Thus,  $\hat{\mathbf{y}}$  must satisfy  $\hat{y}_1 + \hat{y}_3 = 0$ ,  $-\hat{y}_1 + \hat{y}_2 + \hat{y}_3 = 0$ ,  $\hat{y}_1 + \hat{y}_2 = 1$ ,  $\hat{y}_1 \geq 0$  and  $\hat{y}_2 \geq 0$ .

The unique solution to the first three equations is  $\hat{\mathbf{y}} = (1/3, 2/3, -1/3)^\top$ , and since this solution satisfies  $\hat{y}_1 \geq 0$  and  $\hat{y}_2 \geq 0$ , it follows that  $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$  and  $\hat{\mathbf{y}}$  satisfy (1) and (2) above.

Thus,  $\hat{\mathbf{x}} = (2, 1, -1)^\top$  and  $\hat{\mathbf{y}} = (1/3, 2/3, -1/3)^\top$  are optimal solutions to P and D.

The optimal value of P =  $\hat{x}_3 = -1$ . The optimal value of D =  $3\hat{y}_3 = 3 \cdot (-1/3) = -1$ .

**3.(a)**

The objective function is  $f(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{H} \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x}$ , with  $\mathbf{H} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -3 & -3 \\ -3 & 2 & -3 \\ -3 & -3 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $\mathbf{c} = \begin{pmatrix} 10 \\ 20 \\ 30 \end{pmatrix}$ .

LDL<sup>T</sup>-factorization of  $\mathbf{H}$  gives

$$\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{L} \mathbf{D} \mathbf{L}^T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1.5 & 1 & 0 \\ -1.5 & 3 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -2.5 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 20 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1.5 & -1.5 \\ 0 & 1 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Since there is a negative diagonal element in  $\mathbf{D}$ , the matrix  $\mathbf{H}$  is *not* positive semidefinite, which in turn implies that there is no optimal solution to the problem of minimizing  $f(\mathbf{x})$  without constraints. (With e.g.  $\mathbf{d} = (1, 1, 1)^T$ ,  $f(t\mathbf{d}) = -12t^2 + 60t \rightarrow -\infty$  when  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .)

**3.(b)**

We now have a QP problem with equality constraints, i.e. a problem of the form minimize  $\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{H} \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x}$  subject to  $\mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ ,

where  $\mathbf{A} = [1 \ 1 \ 1]$ ,  $\mathbf{b} = 3$ ,  $\mathbf{H} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -3 & -3 \\ -3 & 2 & -3 \\ -3 & -3 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $\mathbf{c} = \begin{pmatrix} 10 \\ 20 \\ 30 \end{pmatrix}$ .

The general solution to  $\mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ , i.e. to  $x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = 3$ , is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot v_1 + \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot v_2, \text{ for arbitrary values on } v_1 \text{ and } v_2,$$

which means that  $\bar{\mathbf{x}} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$  is a feasible solution, and  $\mathbf{Z} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  is a matrix

whos columns form a basis for the null space of  $\mathbf{A}$ .

After the variable change  $\mathbf{x} = \bar{\mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{Z} \mathbf{v}$  we should solve the system  $(\mathbf{Z}^T \mathbf{H} \mathbf{Z}) \mathbf{v} = -\mathbf{Z}^T (\mathbf{H} \bar{\mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{c})$ , provided that  $\mathbf{Z}^T \mathbf{H} \mathbf{Z}$  is at least positive semidefinite.

We have  $\mathbf{Z}^T \mathbf{H} \mathbf{Z} = \begin{bmatrix} 10 & 5 \\ 5 & 10 \end{bmatrix}$ , which is positive definite (since  $10 > 0$ ,  $10 > 0$ ,  $10 \cdot 10 - 5 \cdot 5 > 0$ ).

The system  $(\mathbf{Z}^T \mathbf{H} \mathbf{Z}) \mathbf{v} = -\mathbf{Z}^T (\mathbf{H} \bar{\mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{c})$  becomes

$$\begin{bmatrix} 10 & 5 \\ 5 & 10 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 5 \\ -5 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ with the unique solution } \hat{\mathbf{v}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ which implies that}$$

$\hat{\mathbf{x}} = \bar{\mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{Z} \mathbf{v} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$  is the unique optimal solution to our problem.

**4.(a)**

The objective function is  $f(\mathbf{x}) = (x_1^2 + x_2^2 + 1)^{1/2} - 0.3x_1 - 0.4x_2$ .

The gradient of  $f$  becomes  $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}) = \left( \frac{x_1}{(x_1^2 + x_2^2 + 1)^{1/2}} - 0.3, \frac{x_2}{(x_1^2 + x_2^2 + 1)^{1/2}} - 0.4 \right)$ .

The Hessian of  $f$  becomes  $\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{(x_1^2 + x_2^2 + 1)^{3/2}} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 + x_2^2 & -x_1x_2 \\ -x_1x_2 & 1 + x_1^2 \end{bmatrix}$ .

The starting point is given by  $\mathbf{x}^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ , and then

$$f(\mathbf{x}^{(1)}) = 1, \quad \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^{(1)}) = (-0.3, -0.4) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}^{(1)}) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since a diagonal matrix with strictly positive diagonal elements is positive definite, the Hessian  $\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}^{(1)})$  is positive definite, and then the first Newton search direction  $\mathbf{d}^{(1)}$  is obtained by solving the system

$$\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}^{(1)})\mathbf{d} = -\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^{(1)})^\top, \quad \text{i.e.} \quad \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{d} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.3 \\ 0.4 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{with the solution} \quad \mathbf{d}^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.3 \\ 0.4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

First try  $t_1 = 1$ , so that  $\mathbf{x}^{(2)} = \mathbf{x}^{(1)} + t_1\mathbf{d}^{(1)} = \mathbf{x}^{(1)} + \mathbf{d}^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.3 \\ 0.4 \end{pmatrix}$ .

Then  $f(\mathbf{x}^{(2)}) = \sqrt{1.25} - 0.09 - 0.16 < 1.2 - 0.25 < 1 = f(\mathbf{x}^{(1)})$ , so  $t_1 = 1$  is accepted, and the first iteration is completed.

**4.(b)**

The function  $f$  is convex on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  if and only if the Hessian

$$\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{(x_1^2 + x_2^2 + 1)^{3/2}} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 + x_2^2 & -x_1x_2 \\ -x_1x_2 & 1 + x_1^2 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{is positive semidefinite for all } \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^2,$$

which holds if and only if  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 + x_2^2 & -x_1x_2 \\ -x_1x_2 & 1 + x_1^2 \end{bmatrix}$  is positive semidefinite for all  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^2$ .

But  $1 + x_2^2 > 0$ ,  $1 + x_1^2 > 0$ , and  $(1 + x_2^2)(1 + x_1^2) - (-x_1x_2)(-x_1x_2) = 1 + x_1^2 + x_2^2 > 0$  for all  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^2$ , which implies that  $\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x})$  is in fact positive definite for all  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^2$ , which in turn implies that  $f$  is strictly convex on the whole set  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

**4.(c)**

We should solve  $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}) = (0, 0)$ , i.e.  $\frac{x_1}{(x_1^2 + x_2^2 + 1)^{1/2}} = 0.3$  and  $\frac{x_2}{(x_1^2 + x_2^2 + 1)^{1/2}} = 0.4$ .

Some analytical calculations show that the only solution to this system is

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}} = (\hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2)^\top = \left( \frac{0.6}{\sqrt{3}}, \frac{0.8}{\sqrt{3}} \right)^\top.$$

Since  $f$  is strictly convex on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$  is the unique globally optimal solution to the problem of minimizing  $f(\mathbf{x})$  on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

**5.(a)**

Lagrangefunktionen kan skrivas  $L(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = f(\mathbf{x}) + y_1 g_1(\mathbf{x}) + y_2 g_2(\mathbf{x}) =$

$$\frac{1}{1-x_1} + \frac{4}{1-x_2} + \frac{9}{1-x_3} + \frac{y_1}{1+x_1} + \frac{y_1}{1+x_2} + \frac{y_1}{1+x_3} - 3y_1 + y_2 x_1^2 + y_2 x_2^2 + y_2 x_3^2 - 0.5y_2.$$

KKT-villkoren kan delas upp i fyra grupper enligt följande.

(KKT-1)  $\partial L / \partial x_j = 0$  för  $j = 1, 2, 3$ :

$$\frac{1}{(1-x_1)^2} - \frac{y_1}{(1+x_1)^2} + 2y_2 x_1 = 0,$$

$$\frac{4}{(1-x_2)^2} - \frac{y_1}{(1+x_2)^2} + 2y_2 x_2 = 0,$$

$$\frac{9}{(1-x_3)^2} - \frac{y_1}{(1+x_3)^2} + 2y_2 x_3 = 0.$$

(KKT-2) Tillåten punkt, dvs  $g_i(\mathbf{x}) \leq 0$  för  $i = 1, 2$ :

$$\frac{1}{1+x_1} + \frac{1}{1+x_2} + \frac{1}{1+x_3} - 3 \leq 0,$$

$$x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 - 0.5 \leq 0.$$

(KKT-3) Lagrangemultiplikatorerna icke-negativa:

$$y_1 \geq 0,$$

$$y_2 \geq 0.$$

(KKT-4) Komplementaritetsvillkor, dvs  $y_i g_i(\mathbf{x}) = 0$  för  $i = 1, 2$ :

$$y_1 \left( \frac{1}{1+x_1} + \frac{1}{1+x_2} + \frac{1}{1+x_3} - 3 \right) = 0,$$

$$y_2 (x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 - 0.5) = 0.$$

**5.(b)**

Vi söker en lösning  $(\hat{\mathbf{x}}, \hat{\mathbf{y}})$  som dels uppfyller ovanstående KKT-villkor, dels uppfyller att  $g_1(\hat{\mathbf{x}}) = 0$  och  $g_2(\hat{\mathbf{x}}) < 0$ .

Men om  $g_2(\hat{\mathbf{x}}) < 0$  så måste  $\hat{y}_2 = 0$  enligt (KKT-4).

Då kan inte  $\hat{y}_1 = 0$ , ty om  $\hat{y}_1 = \hat{y}_2 = 0$  så finns ingen lösning till (KKT-1).

Vi kan alltså förutsätta att  $\hat{y}_1 > 0$  och  $\hat{y}_2 = 0$ .

Då ger (KKT-1) att  $\hat{x}_1 = \frac{\sqrt{\hat{y}_1} - 1}{\sqrt{\hat{y}_1} + 1}$ ,  $\hat{x}_2 = \frac{\sqrt{\hat{y}_1} - 2}{\sqrt{\hat{y}_1} + 2}$ ,  $\hat{x}_3 = \frac{\sqrt{\hat{y}_1} - 3}{\sqrt{\hat{y}_1} + 3}$ .

Vidare ger (KKT-4) att  $\frac{1}{1+\hat{x}_1} + \frac{1}{1+\hat{x}_2} + \frac{1}{1+\hat{x}_3} = 3$ , (eftersom  $\hat{y}_1 > 0$ ).

Om vi kombinerar dessa två senaste rader så erhålls efter enkla kalkyler att

$$\hat{y}_1 = 4, \hat{x}_1 = \frac{1}{3}, \hat{x}_2 = 0, \hat{x}_3 = -\frac{1}{5}. \text{ Dessutom är enligt ovan } \hat{y}_2 = 0.$$

Vi ser att  $g_2(\hat{\mathbf{x}}) = 1/9 + 1/25 - 3 < 0$  som vi önskade.

Därmed har vi hittat den lösning vi sökte.

**5.(c)**

Notera att varje tillåten lösning till problemet uppfyller att  $x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 \leq 0.5$ , vilket bland annat betyder att  $-1 < x_j < 1$  för alla tillåtna lösningar  $\mathbf{x}$ .

Andraderivatsmatriserna till målfunktionen och bivillkorsfunktionerna är

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{2}{(1-x_1)^3} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{8}{(1-x_2)^3} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{18}{(1-x_3)^3} \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \frac{2}{(1+x_1)^3} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{2}{(1+x_2)^3} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{2}{(1+x_3)^3} \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Eftersom (enligt ovan)  $-1 < x_j < 1$  för alla tillåtna lösningar så är samtliga ovanstående matriser positivt definita för alla tillåtna  $\mathbf{x}$  (ty de är alla diagonalmatriser med strikt positiva diagonalelement). Därmed är såväl målfunktionen som bivillkorsfunktionerna (strikt) konvexa, vilket enligt en känd sats medför att varje KKT-punkt är en globalt optimal lösning till problemet

$\hat{\mathbf{x}}$  från (b)-uppgiften är alltså en globalt optimal lösning.

**5.(d)**

Eftersom (enligt ovan) det studerade problemet är konvext med *strikt* konvex målfunktion så kan det inte finnas flera olika optimala lösningar.

Därför är  $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$  från (b)-uppgiften den enda globalt optimala lösningen.

Då kan det inte finnas någon KKT-lösning  $(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}, \tilde{\mathbf{y}})$  med  $\tilde{\mathbf{x}} \neq \hat{\mathbf{x}}$ , ty i såfall skulle även  $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}$  vara en globalt optimal lösning, vilket vi nyss konstaterat är omöjligt.

Slutligen kan det inte finnas någon KKT-lösning  $(\hat{\mathbf{x}}, \tilde{\mathbf{y}})$  med  $\tilde{\mathbf{y}} \neq \hat{\mathbf{y}}$ , ty om  $(\hat{\mathbf{x}}, \tilde{\mathbf{y}})$  är en KKT-lösning så måste  $\tilde{y}_2 = 0$  (ty  $g_2(\hat{\mathbf{x}}) < 0$ ) och då måste enligt (KKT-1)  $\tilde{y}_1 = 4$ .