## GMT Seminar 15th January.

The most important Theorem in this weeks reading is Theorem 14.3. It is a very profound and deep result. It is worthwhile to focus a little extra on the result and on its proof. I have the following questions relating to the Theorem:

**Question 1:** What is the geometric meaning of  $\partial^* E$  defined in formula (14.2). More specifically, what is the meaning of the limit

$$\lim_{\rho \to 0} \frac{\int_{B_{\rho}(x)} \nu d\mu_E}{\mu_E(B_{\rho}(x))}$$
 exists and has length 1? (1)

**Question 2:** Are there any counterexamples to the existence of the limit in (1)?

**Question 3:** Are there any examples where the limit (1) exists but has non-unit length?

**Question 4:** I mentioned above that Theorem 14.3 is deep. Why is it so deep?<sup>1</sup>

**Question 5:** Why does the right hand side in equation (4) on p.74 exist for a.e.  $\rho$ ?

**Question 6:** What does equation (5) on page 74 mean geometrically?

**Question 7:** Can you say something about the first equation, and the line following that equation, on page 75? That is the pivotal point of the proof. <sup>2</sup>

**Question 8:** How does it follow that  $\chi_H$  is non-decreasing in  $\mathbb{R}$  on p.75?

**Question 9:** After equation (8) on p.75 follows a rather difficult (at least non-intuitive) argument to show that  $\lambda = 0$ .

- 1. Is it, or isn't it, obvious that the tangent plane must cut through the origin since we assume that  $0 = y \in \operatorname{spt}(\mu_E)$ ?
- 2. Can one find an example of a BV function<sup>3</sup> u(x) such that if  $\nabla u(x) = \nu(x)\mu(x)$  for some vector  $\nu(x)$  with  $|\nu(x)| = 1$  a.e. and  $\mu$  a measure and  $0 \in \operatorname{spt}(\mu)$  and

$$\lim_{\rho \to 0} \frac{\int_{B_{\rho}(0)} \nu(x)\mu(x)}{\mu(B_{\rho}(0))} = (0, 0, \dots, 0, 1)$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Of course, I may be mistaken and it might be trivial - in that case why?

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$ This question is very undefined - but it is worth focusing on that equation for a few minutes.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Obviously not a function of the form  $\chi_E$ .

but, for some subsequence  $\rho_j \to 0$ ,

$$\operatorname{spt}\left(\lim_{\rho_{j}\to 0}\frac{\nabla u_{j}(\rho x)}{\mu(B_{\rho_{j}}(0))}\right)\neq\{y\in\mathbb{R}^{n};\;y_{n+1}=0\}?$$

In that case, how is it used that the BV function is of the form  $\chi_E$  in the proof of Theorem 14.3?

Question 10: Exactly what is integrated on p. 76 to deduce equation (9)?